

HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES
25 MARCH 2004

These minutes summarize the discussions and presentations from the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting held from 6:05 P.M. to 8:40 P.M., Thursday, 25 March 2004 at Dago Mary's Restaurant (Building #916 at the Shipyard). A verbatim transcript was also prepared for the meeting and is available in the Information Repository for Hunters Point Shipyard (HPS) and on the Internet at www.efdsw.navfac.navy.mil/Environmental/HuntersPoint.htm. The list of agenda topics is provided below. Attachment A provides a list of attendees. Attachment B includes action items that were requested and/or committed to by RAB members during the meeting.

AGENDA TOPICS:

- 1) Welcome/Introductions/Agenda Review
- 2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from 26 February 2004 RAB Meeting
- 3) Subcommittee Reports
- 4) Update on Cleanup Projects for Dry Dock 4 and Parcel E Shoreline
- 5) Parcel E Removal Actions at Metal Debris Reef and Metal Slag Area
- 6) Parcel A and the Finding of Suitability for Transfer (FOST)
- 7) Future Agenda Topics/Open Question & Answer
- 8) Adjournment

MEETING HANDOUTS:

- Agenda for 25 March 2004 RAB
- Meeting/Minutes from 26 February 2004 RAB Meeting
 - Includes: Action Items from 26 February 2004 RAB Meeting; and
 - Table 1, RAB Roll-Call Sheet
- Monthly Progress Report, February 2004
- PowerPoint Presentation, Dry Dock #4 and Parcel E Shoreline, 25 March 2004
- PowerPoint Presentation, Parcel A and the Finding of Suitability to Transfer, 25 March 2004
- Meeting Minutes, Membership/Bylaws & Community Outreach Subcommittee, 10 March 2004
- Letter from Kevyn Lutton to Keith Forman, Comments on Time Critical Removal Action at Parcel D, 11 March 2004
- Letter from Lea Loizos to Keith Forman, Action Memorandum, Time Critical Removal Action for the Parcel D Soil Excavations Sites, 25 March 2004
- Fact Sheets No. 5 and 6, Historical Radiological Assessment, February 2004
- Flyer, Business Contracting Expo – Opportunities at Hunters Point Shipyard, 27 March 2004
- Booklet, Hunters Point Shipyard Historical Radiological Assessment – A Guide to the Findings

Welcome / Introductions / Agenda and Meeting Minutes Review

Marsha Pendergrass, facilitator, called the meeting to order at 6:05 P.M. All in attendance made self-introductions. Ms. Pendergrass began the meeting and asked if there were any changes to the agenda; of which there were none. Ms. Pendergrass called for a motion to approve the meeting minutes and the minutes were approved with no revisions.

Ms. Pendergrass reviewed the Action Items contained in the February minutes and asked for a status of each item. All the action items were resolved to the satisfaction of the RAB.

Navy and Community Co-chair Reports/Other Announcements

Keith Forman, Navy RAB Co-Chair, began by thanking everybody who came out and attended the Navy's Historical Radiological Assessment Information Day, which was held from 11:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M. on Saturday, March 20, at the E.P. Mills Auditorium. Navy and Radiological Affairs Support Office (RASO) personnel were in attendance to answer questions from the community. Mr. Forman said that 14 members of the community attended the function.

He also announced that the Navy will host a Business Contracting Expo to provide an opportunity for local businesses to meet with Navy representatives and Navy contractors and learn about the available contracts on the base. The Small Business Administration (SBA) and Young Community Developers (YCD) will also be present to talk about employment opportunities. The Business Contracting Expo will be held from 10:30 A.M. to 3:30 P.M. on Saturday, March 27, at the E.P. Mills Auditorium. Mr. Forman thanked RAB members Jesse Mason and Maurice Campbell for their participation and help at the Economic Development Subcommittee meetings.

Mr. Forman also said that the Navy recently prepared another fact sheet (Number 6 in the series) that discusses that the radiological activities at Hunters Point at the metal reef and metal slag areas. He said copies are available as a handout in the back of the room.

Lynne Brown, RAB Community Co-Chair, had no announcements.

Reminder: The next RAB meeting will be held from 6:00 to 8:00 P.M., Thursday evening, 22 April 2004 at Dago Mary's Restaurant, Building #916 on the Shipyard.

Subcommittee Updates

Economic Development Subcommittee (Maurice Campbell, Leader)

Maurice Campbell, RAB member, encouraged people to come to the Business Contracting Expo on March 27th. He said they are expecting an appearance by some congressional delegates coming out to support the community.

Mr. Campbell said the next meeting of the subcommittee will be at 2:30 P.M., April 6th, at the Anna Waden Library.

Ad-Hoc Radiological Subcommittee (Ahimsa Sumchai, Leader)

Ahimsa Sumchai, RAB member, said the subcommittee meeting coincided with the Navy's Historical Radiological Assessment Information Day. With regards to the subcommittee meeting, Dr. Sumchai said there was discussion about the findings of the HRA and conclusions in the document that did not appear fully supported by the information contained in the document. She added that Lea Loizos, RAB member, was concerned that there were some outstanding surveys that hadn't been included in the document and yet there were conclusions that were extrapolated from those surveys. Dr. Sumchai restated that she felt that some of the broad-sweeping conclusions in the HRA are not fully substantiated.

Dr. Sumchai also said that there is some concern about the Navy's decision to revise the Parcel A boundary to exclude two buildings and their impact on the proposed property transfer.

The Radiological Subcommittee will meet from 3:00-5:00 P.M. on April 21st, at the Greenhouse, located at 4919 Third Street, at Palou.

Technical Review Subcommittee (Lea Loizos, Leader)

Lea Loizos, RAB member, said that the last meeting reviewed the Action Memorandum for the Time-Critical Removal Action (TCRA) for the soil removal at Parcel D. Ms. Loizos said a letter

1 was prepared at the subcommittee that presented a few concerns related to the proposed action.
2 The letter was presented to Mr. Forman and copies were distributed to RAB members in
3 attendance.

4 Ms. Loizos relinquished the remainder of her time to Kevyn Lutton, RAB member. Ms. Lutton
5 said that the Parcel D Time-Critical Removal Action began 15 days before the deadline for
6 submitting comments on the document. She said this demonstrates the Navy's disregard for the
7 concerns on the community.

8 Ms. Loizos said that the Technical Review Subcommittee will meet from 6:00-8:00 P.M., April
9 13th, at the Community Window on the Shipyard, 4634 Third Street. The topics of that meeting
10 will be primarily related to the Parcel A property transfer and an update on landfill gas removal
11 action.

12 A second subcommittee meeting, in conjunction with the Risk Review and Health Assessment
13 Subcommittee, will meet to review and discuss HRA issues at 5:30 P.M., April 20th, at the
14 Community Window on the Shipyard.

15 Membership, Bylaws & Community Outreach Subcommittee (Melita Rines, interim leader)

16 Melita Rines, RAB member, gave the report for the Membership, Bylaws and Community
17 Outreach Subcommittee and said handouts are available. She made a motion to accept Chris
18 Hanif, Young Community Developers (YCD), as a new RAB member. Mr. Hanif introduced
19 himself and stated his interest in joining the RAB. The motion to accept Mr. Hanif was carried.

20 Ms. Rines said that Marie J. Franklin is hereby removed the RAB due to excessive absences. A
21 letter will be mailed informing Ms. Franklin of the determination. She said that Ms. Franklin will
22 be encouraged to re-apply to the RAB.

23 Ms. Rines also passed out copies of the HPS RAB Bylaws and encouraged everybody to review
24 them and begin thinking of changes or revisions, if necessary. She reminded the RAB that the
25 Bylaws may only be revised at the August RAB meeting, but suggestions for changes will be
26 collected throughout the year.

27 Lastly, Ms. Rines said the subcommittee is still has not heard from Don Capobres from the San
28 Francisco Redevelopment Agency (SFRA) regarding the term-sheet from the San Francisco
29 Police Department (SFPD). Ms. Rines said the subcommittee will not have an opportunity to
30 review the term-sheet until later.

31 The next meeting of the Membership & Bylaws Subcommittee will be 6:30 P.M., April 14th, at
32 the Anna Waden Branch Library.

33 Update on Cleanup Projects for Dry Dock 4 and Parcel E Shoreline

34 Jose Payne, Navy Remedial Project Manager, introduced himself and said he will be giving two
35 presentations this evening. The first will be on the topic of restoration projects completed at the
36 Dry Dock 4 and Parcel E shoreline. After the break, he said the topic of his second presentation
37 will be the metal debris reef and metal slag areas.

38 Mr. Payne began by showing on a map where Dry Dock 4 was located in Parcel C, and that
39 restoration activities were completed over a period of five months. An additional restoration was
40 conducted along the shoreline of Parcel E and Mr. Payne indicated that area on a map as well. He
41 explained the reason the Navy conducted the restoration was to remove hazardous (e.g. asbestos)
42 and non-hazardous waste (e.g. tires, wood, barges) as well as some recyclable materials, such as
43 scrap metal. Mr. Payne said that the Navy recycled approximately \$20,000 worth of materials
44 and the money went back into the environmental cleanup fund. He showed photographs of the

1 dry dock before and after the restoration. Mr. Payne pointed out some large cranes in the
2 photograph and said the cranes are owned by Astoria Metals Corp. (AMC) from when they
3 leased the dry dock from the Navy. He said that AMC has been contacted and will be removing
4 the cranes in the next few months.

5 Mr. Payne also said that approximately 150 keel blocks from around the base were consolidated
6 as part of the restoration activity. He explained that the keel blocks were placed in the dry docks
7 and used as part of ship maintenance. They are non-hazardous, comprised of concrete and wood,
8 and weigh between 2,000 and 2,500 pounds each. Mr. Payne said the keel blocks were staged at
9 Building 336 and will remain when the Parcel is transferred.

10 Mr. Payne then explained the restoration activities conducted along the Parcel E shoreline.
11 Similar types of waste were removed from all along the shoreline, such as metal debris, wood,
12 concrete, and tires. Recyclable material was again collected and separated for recycling. He
13 showed photographs of the cleanup process from all along the shoreline.

14 Mr. Payne concluded his presentation by saying that a number of local businesses were used as
15 part of the Dry Dock 4 and Parcel E shoreline restoration; Young Community Developers
16 (YCD), Circosta Metals, and Al Curry Trucking.

17 Break called at 6:55 P.M. Ms. Pendergrass called the meeting back to order at 7:10 P.M.

18 Ms. Pendergrass opened the floor for questions from the RAB. Georgia Oliva, RAB member,
19 asked for laboratory reports on any radioactive hazardous waste removed from Dry Dock 4.
20 Mr. Payne replied that the Navy scanned for radioactive material and none was detected.
21 Ms. Oliva also commented that there is a lack of notification to the artists at the Shipyard prior to
22 cleanup activities. Mr. Payne replied that the restoration activities at Dry Dock 4 and along the
23 Parcel E shoreline did not include any excavation and was primarily limited to collection and
24 disposal of scrap and debris.

25 Ms. Oliva also asked if the cranes remaining at Dry Dock 4 have been, or will be, scanned for
26 radiological contamination. Mr. Payne replied that they have not. Pat Brooks, Navy Lead
27 Remedial Project Manager, added that the cranes were not used during Operation Crossroads but
28 were installed by AMC long after the Navy ceased operations at the Shipyard and therefore there
29 is no reason to suspect they are impacted. Ms. Oliva requested that the Navy notify the artists at
30 the Shipyard prior to demolition of the cranes. Mr. Forman replied that since the cranes are
31 privately-owned, there is a chance that he will not be notified prior to AMC returning to remove
32 them. He added that if AMC notifies him, he will certainly forward that to David Terzian, the
33 master tenant.

34 Mr. Campbell said that a previous removal action in the panhandle of the Parcel E shoreline
35 removed some radiological sites but that some were not removed due to debris blocking access.
36 He asked if that radiological material has now been removed as part of the Parcel E shoreline
37 restoration. Mr. Payne replied that those sites have not been removed. Mr. Forman added that
38 this will be addressed in Mr. Payne's next presentation.

39 Charles Dacus, RAB member, asked what method was used for removal of the asbestos.
40 Mr. Brooks replied that the asbestos removal was done in accordance with all the state and
41 federal laws and was accomplished by a licensed asbestos removal contractor.

42 Ms. Lutton asked if the keel blocks have been, or will be, scanned for radiological
43 contamination. Mr. Payne replied that they have not been scanned. Mr. Brooks added that the dry
44 docks and the rest of the infrastructure, like the drain systems, are considered impacted. He said
45 scanning the keel blocks is a good idea and he will forward that suggestion to Laurie Lowman
46 (RASO).

1 Ms. Rines asked where the 46 truck-loads of waste were from. Mr. Payne replied it was from the
2 Dry Dock 4 area.

3 **Parcel E Removal Actions at Metal Debris Reef and Metal Slag Area**

4 Mr. Payne began his second presentation on an upcoming TCRA at the metal debris reef and
5 metal slag area on Parcel E. Using a poster board, Mr. Payne showed the area of the metal debris
6 reef and the area of the metal slag. He said his presentation will provide a site background on
7 both areas, briefly discuss prior investigations, and the reasons for the upcoming removal action.

8 Mr. Payne said that the Navy operated a smelter at Building 408 and a foundry in Building 241
9 for about 20 years beginning in the 1940's. Together, they were used to make metal parts for
10 ships. The metal slag area contains material from the smelting and from the foundry. Mr. Payne
11 said apparently it was common practice to dispose of waste material along the shoreline. In total,
12 the area is approximately 21,000 square feet and about 5 feet in depth. Some radiological point
13 sources have been identified and attributed to instruments, gauges, and dials. Photographic slides
14 accompanied Mr. Payne's presentation. Mr. Payne also described the metal debris reef area. He
15 said the Navy had a burn site at that area. The material from the burn site went to the metal
16 debris reef area. Similar types of material were disposed of at the metal debris reef, and some
17 radiological point sources have also been identified in the area. In total, the metal debris reef area
18 is approximately one-third larger than the metal slag area.

19 Two radiological surveys were completed at these areas. In 1991, an investigation identified
20 radiation readings in the metal debris reef and metal slag areas. A second investigation in 2001
21 confirmed the results from the 1991 surveys. Mr. Payne said the Navy is doing this removal
22 action to eliminate any future potential risks due to migration of the radioactive material that
23 might be on the surface or might come up to the surface of the metal debris reef or the metal slag
24 area, or migration or release of this material into the San Francisco Bay by erosion or runoff.

25 The steps that will be taken, said Mr. Payne, include preparing a work plan for a site
26 characterization to better determine the volume of the metal debris reef and the metal slag area.
27 This work plan will be available for public review and comment once the draft is prepared. Then
28 a second work plan will be prepared that will cover the excavation for both areas. Again, the
29 work plan will be available for public review and comment. The radiological contaminated
30 material will be separated from the bulk of the metal waste and disposed of at separate facilities
31 off-site. The Navy will then prepare a third work plan which will address shoreline restoration.

32 Mr. Payne concluded his presentation by providing a time-line for the removal action. The
33 process will begin in April 2004 with the site characterization work plan, with field work
34 scheduled for June and August. A removal action work plan is scheduled for October, with the
35 associated field work scheduled for June 2005 through November 2005. The shoreline
36 restoration work plan is scheduled for December 2005.

37 Ms. Pendergrass opened the floor for questions. Mr. Campbell said he was concerned that the
38 material used during the smelting process may have included radiological contaminated waste
39 products and asked if either of the buildings have been surveyed. Mr. Brooks replied that the
40 buildings have not been surveyed but are considered impacted in the HRA and they will be
41 surveyed as part of that process. Mr. Campbell also asked about the Navy's designating this
42 removal action as time-critical if the problem was identified and confirmed in 2001. Mr. Forman
43 replied that there is a final basewide time-critical removal action for radiological sites and all of
44 these types of sites will be conducted under that basewide action memorandum. He added that
45 the series of work plans address the site-specific details to the work but the source document is
46 that basewide time-critical removal action action memorandum.

1 Ms. Oliva asked why removal actions are being conducted before the HRA has been finalized, in
2 view of the HRA being the first major step in the program. Mr. Forman replied that the Navy has
3 continued to move forward with radiological surveys and follow-on removal actions while the
4 HRA was being researched and prepared. Ms. Oliva said that she is concerned about the health
5 risks of the radiological removal actions and requested that the work plans be posted in Building
6 101. Mr. Forman replied that many RAB members receive copies of the work plans and that the
7 work plans will be available for review by the general public at the Information Repositories.
8 Ms. Pendergrass suggested that since copies of the work plans will be provided to the
9 Radiological Subcommittee, perhaps a member of the subcommittee could coordinate with
10 Ms. Oliva or the master tenant to get the information to the shipyard artists.

11 Lani Asher, RAB member, asked for further clarification about why the Navy characterized
12 these removal actions as time-critical. She said that in doing so, public participating in the
13 decision-making process is completely circumvented. She asked how the basewide designation
14 was reached, and added that the majority of the RAB members disagree with that decision.
15 Mr. Forman replied that the Action Memorandum for the basewide time-critical removal action
16 for radiological sites first came out in 1999 or 2000 for regulator and public review, and was
17 finalized in 2001. Community and regulator input on that draft document happened at that time.
18 Ms. Asher asked if the decision was made by the Navy or the regulators. Mr. Forman replied it
19 was the Navy. Ms. Asher stated that she is extremely unhappy with this situation which allows
20 the Navy to proceed in this manner without community input.

21 Andrew Bozeman, alternate for RAB member Marie Harrison, asked about the possibility that
22 material has already migrated off the site due to erosion and run-off in the time since the areas
23 were first surveyed in 1991. Mr. Forman replied that there is that possibility. Since the metal
24 debris reef and metal slag areas are along the shoreline of Parcel E, material migrating off-site
25 would likely end up in Parcel F. He said the Navy has conducted sampling in Parcel F, the results
26 to be included in the Draft Final Validation Study scheduled for publication in June 2004, and
27 further sampling in Parcel F is also planned.

28 Dr. Sumchai commented that Mr. Forman's reply to Mr. Bozeman contradicts one of the final
29 conclusions of the HRA, which states that no contaminant migration pathway off the Shipyard
30 has been identified. She said that Mr. Payne's presentation indicates that the metal slag area
31 presents a potential for migration and release of radioactive materials into the bay. She
32 particularly objected to the use of the term "potential" when describing the possibility of
33 migration off site. She stated that the conclusions in the HRA are inaccurate and insisted that
34 they be revised. Mr. Forman replied that Dr. Sumchai's concern is misplaced. He explained how
35 potential migration of contaminants from the metal slag area or the metal reef area into the bay
36 means migration of heavy metals into the sediment just off shore, which is Parcel F. Migration
37 from Parcel E to Parcel F does not constitute a migration pathway off the Shipyard.

38 J.R. Manual, RAB member, asked for further clarification on the process the Navy used to
39 determine that this cleanup is time-critical. He asked if there was some established process or
40 protocol that was used. Mr. Brooks replied that there is a process to determine if something can
41 be considered time-critical and the process was followed. Mr. Manual suggested that a
42 presentation should be made at one of the subcommittees outlining the process. He also asked
43 why anybody would want to slow down or delay the Navy's efforts at cleaning up the Shipyard.
44 Mr. Forman replied that he would be happy to make a presentation on the guidelines and
45 definitions the Navy uses. He also agreed with Mr. Manual about the Navy actively working to
46 clean up the Shipyard, and said it seems the Navy ought to have the complete and full support of
47 the community. Ms. Pendergrass closed the question and answer period for the presentation and
48 opened the floor to final presentation.

Parcel A and the Finding of Suitability for Transfer (FOST)

Ms. Pendergrass indicated that the RAB meeting was running long and asked Mr. Forman to condense his presentation to fit within the remaining 5-10 minutes. Mr. Forman began his presentation with an outline and said the Parcel A boundaries have recently been redrawn to allow the transfer process to continue. He also said that the Navy have released the draft Final Finding of Suitability to Transfer (FOST). Mr. Forman added that the draft FOST was initially released 16 months ago and that much has happened since that time. He said his presentation would conclude with a current schedule for the FOST.

Mr. Forman said the changes to the Parcel A boundary are in Parcel E East. Building 813, Building 819, and the sanitary sewer have been excluded from Parcel A due to information in the HRA that indicates they are considered impacted and warrant further investigation. Mr. Forman stressed that the term “impacted”, as related to the HRA, was discussed in detail last month and simply means that it has the potential for some radiological contamination – it does not necessarily mean that there is any.

Mr. Forman also discussed the rationale behind the proposed property transfer. He said that the Navy is required by federal law to convey Parcel A once it has been cleaned up. He said that now that the Record of Decision (ROD) and FOST have been completed, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) law requires the Navy to convey the property to the local reuse authority – in this case the City of San Francisco. Mr. Forman said the consequence of these impacted sites on Parcel A left the Navy with the choice of postponing the property transfer until after further radiological investigations or redraw the boundary to exclude them and proceed with the property transfer.

Mr. Forman also discussed some additional information in the FOST related to two other buildings, 816 and 821, as well as a satisfactory resolution on the groundwater issue. He also discussed the Parcel E Landfill and the methane gas removal action. Mr. Forman said that results of monthly monitoring has indicated that methane is not migrating to Crisp Avenue gas monitoring probes, which were installed near the border of Parcel E/A boundary.

Mr. Forman concluded the presentation with the FOST schedule. The 30-day public and regulatory review comment period on the FOST began on March 19th. At the conclusion of the comment period, the City of San Francisco has 7 days to concur with the finding of the document. The Final FOST will be prepared on May 11th. The Navy commanding officer will then sign the Final FOST on behalf of the Navy on May 18th. Public notices will be placed in local newspapers announcing the signing of the Final FOST on May 25th with the actual conveyance occurring on 15 June 2004.

Ms. Pendergrass said that the RAB meeting has gone beyond the scheduled ending time. She said the RAB will take a short break and take questions when the meeting reconvenes.

Break called at 8:10 P.M. Ms. Pendergrass called the meeting back to order at 8:15 P.M.

Mr. Brown made a motion to extend the RAB meeting for 15 minutes to allow more time for questions and answers. The motion was approved by the RAB.

Mr. Campbell asked if the Navy had considered the combined effects of liquefaction of the Parcel E landfill and breach of the methane barrier as it relates to Parcel A and the conveyance. Mr. Brooks replied that in the event that a large earthquake compromises the methane barrier, the monthly monitoring would detect it. Mr. Campbell asked if that means the Navy will continue the monthly monitoring as long as the landfill generates gas, to which Mr. Brooks replied that they will.

1 Dr. Sumchai stated that information contained in the original Parcel A ROD is cause for concern
2 regarding the proposed conveyance. She summarized some information and conclusions from a
3 number of other Parcel A decision documents that she believes have not been adequately
4 addressed in the FOST. This included a discussion of detection of chemicals of potential concern
5 on Parcel A that exceed the Hazard Index for protection of human health and the environment, as
6 well as cancer risks that exceed the EPA risk range. Dr. Sumchai continued to explain that the
7 Navy calculated a Hunters Point Ambient Level to explain away these high concentrations of
8 chemicals, such as arsenic, chromium, and manganese. She said she disagrees with the rationale
9 behind the Hunters Point Ambient Level – the levels are not truly ambient since they came from
10 bay fill – and said it was used by the Navy to avoid taking cleanup actions on Parcel A.
11 Ms. Pendergrass suggested that Dr. Sumchai put this information into the form of a presentation
12 for the next RAB meeting instead of taking time during this question and answer period. A
13 motion to that effect was made and carried.

14 Ms. Lutton said that the public comment period for the FOST began on March 19th but was just
15 finding out about it tonight, and therefore asked for a one-week extension. Mr. Forman replied
16 that he did not have the authority to make that decision but would look into the request.

17 Mr. Manual asked who was responsible for preparing the report. Mr. Forman replied that Tetra
18 Tech prepared the report with direct involvement from the Navy.

19 Ms. Rines asked for comment from the Navy on a newspaper article that stated that Mayor
20 Newsome recently traveled to Washington D.C. to discuss the property transfer. Ms. Rines said
21 that the article gave the impression that the Navy opposed the transfer. Mr. Forman replied that
22 he was aware the Mayor traveled to D.C. to meet with the Secretary of the Navy to discuss
23 conveyance agreement issues but does not know the specifics of his meeting there.

24 Mr. Campbell seconded Ms. Lutton's request for an extension on the public comment period,
25 stating that he only just received the document a few days ago. Mr. Forman restated that he
26 would make that request to the appropriate chain of command.

27 **Future Agenda Topics**

28 Aside from the standard agenda topics and subcommittee updates, the following topic was
29 proposed for the April RAB meeting:

- 30 • A presentation by Dr. Sumchai on issues related to the Parcel A FOST.

31 **Other Discussions/Topics**

32 The following items were also discussed at the RAB meeting. A verbatim account of these
33 discussions is included in the Information Repository for HPS and may also be found on the HPS
34 web page at www.efds.w.navy.mil/Environmental/HuntersPoint.htm

- 35 • The RAB approved a motion to request an extension for the public comment period on
36 the Parcel A FOST until 27 April 2004.

37 There were no further announcements. The meeting was adjourned at 8:40 p.m.

38 **Reminder: The next RAB meeting will be held from 6:00 to 8:00 P.M., Thursday evening,**
39 **22 April 2004 at Dago Mary's Restaurant, Building #916 on the Shipyard.**

ATTACHMENT A

25 MARCH 2004 - RAB MEETING
LIST OF ATTENDEES

Name	Association
1. Christine M. Niccoli	Niccoli Reporting, court reporter
2. Marsha Pendergrass	Pendergrass & Associates
3. Keith Forman	Navy, RAB Co-chair
4. Pat Brooks	Navy, Lead Remedial Project Manager
5. Jose Payne	Navy, Remedial Project Manager
6. Lee Saunders	Navy, Public Affairs Office (PAO)
7. Peter Stroganoff	Navy, ROICC Office
8. Lynne Brown	RAB Community Co-chair , Communities for a Better Environment, CFC
9. Lani Asher	RAB member, Communities for a Better Environment, CFC
10. Barbara Bushnell	RAB member, ROSES, Silverview Terrace Homeowners Association
11. Andrew Bozeman	Alt for RAB member Marie Harrison
12. Maurice Campbell	RAB member, BDI, CFC, New California Media
13. Charles Dacus	RAB member, R.O.S.E.S.
14. Mitsuyo Hasegawa	RAB member, JRM Associates
15. Lea Loizos	RAB member, ARC Ecology
16. Kevyn Lutton	RAB member, resident
17. J.R. Manual	RAB member, JRM Associates
18. Alan Nunley, Jr.	RAB member, resident
19. Georgia Oliva	RAB member, CBE, CCA member
20. Karen Pierce	RAB member, BVHP Democratic Club, HEAP
21. Melita Rines	RAB member, India Basin Neighborhood Association
22. Ahimsa Sumchai	RAB member, BVHP Health and Environmental Resource Center
23. Amy Brownell	RAB member, SF Dept of Public Health
24. Tom Lanphar	RAB member, Dept Toxic Substances Control
25. James Ponton	RAB member, SF Regional Water Quality Control Board
26. Michael Work	RAB member, US EPA
27. Arvind Acharya	Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc
28. Michael Boyd	CARE
29. Ross Braver	
30. Patricia Brown	Shipyards artist
31. Don Capobres	San Francisco Redevelopment Agency
32. Linda Carlsen	Bayview-Hunters Point
33. Francisco Da Costa	Environmental Justice Advocacy
34. Benjamin Feick	Waste Solutions Group
35. Miguel Galarza	Yerba Buena Engineering & Construction Inc.
36. Chris Hanif	Young Community Developers (YCD)
37. Carolyn Hunter	Tetra Tech EM Inc
38. Ronald Keichline	Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc
39. Dennis Kelly	Tetra Tech EM Inc
40. Lau Kitiona	
41. Firdy Liu	M.A.I.T.
42. Quijuan Maloof	Pendergrass & Associates
43. Debra Moore	Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc
44. James Morrison	Environmental Technology
45. Sherlina Nageer	Literacy for Environmental Justice
46. Albert Patterson	
47. Dennis Robinson	Shaw Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc

48. Matthew L. Shaps, Esq.	Paul Hastings LLP for Lennar
49. Clifton J. Smith	CJ Smith and Assonates, Eagle Environmental Construction
50. Sue Ellen Smith	Morgan Heights Homeowners Association
51. Glenn Starr	Tetra Tech Foster Wheeler
52. David Terzian	The Point
53. Winnie Tran	
54. Allison Turner	Katz & Associates
55. Stacie Wissler	CDM/Kleinfelder
56. Stephanie Yow	Office of Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

ATTACHMENT B

**25 MARCH 2004 - RAB MEETING
ACTION ITEMS**

Item No.	Action Item	Due Date	Person/Agency Committing to Action Item	Resolution Status
Carry-Over Items				
1.	none			
New Items				
1.	Navy to respond to letter from Kevyn Lutton (introduced at the March RAB meeting) objecting to beginning Parcel D removal action activities prior to closing of comment period	April RAB	Navy	
2.	ITSI to forward to RAB members an electronic copy of letter from Kevyn Lutton	ASAP	ITSI/ Ronald Keichline	E-mailed to RAB on 13 April
3.	Navy to provide list of items/material removed during Dry Dock 4 and Parcel E Shoreline restoration	April RAB	Navy/ Keith Forman	
4.	Navy to notify David Terzian and Navy Caretaker Site Office prior to removal of AMC's cranes at Dry Dock 4	ASAP	Navy/ Keith Forman	
5.	Status of request for 7-day extension on public comment period for Parcel A FOST	ASAP	Navy/Keith Forman	Public comment period extended to 26 April 04.