

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

---

HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD  
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

---

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING

July 24, 2003

Dago Mary's Restaurant  
Hunters Point Shipyard, Building 916  
Donahue Street at Hudson Avenue  
San Francisco, California

Reported by Christine M. Niccoli, RPR, C.S.R. No. 4569

===== \*\*\*\*\* =====

NICCOLI REPORTING

619 Pilgrim Drive

Foster City, CA 94404-1707

(650) 573-9339

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS SERVING THE BAY AREA

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

P A R T I C I P A N T S

FACILITATOR: MARSHA PENDERGRASS - Pendergrass &  
Associates  
CO-CHAIRS: KEITH FORMAN - United States Navy SWDIV  
LYNNE BROWN - Communities for a Better  
Environment (CBE), Community  
First Coalition (CFC)

RAB MEMBERS

LANI ASHER - Communities for a Better Environment (CBE),  
Community First Coalition (CFC)  
AMY BROWNELL - San Francisco Department of Public Health  
BARBARA BUSHNELL - R.O.S.E.S., resident  
MAURICE CAMPBELL - Business Development, Inc. (BDI);  
Community First Coalition (CFC); New California Media;  
NEW BAYVIEW NEWSPAPER  
MARIE J. FRANKLIN - Shoreview Environmental Justice  
Movement  
MARIE HARRISON - Communities for a Better Environment  
(CBE), SAN FRANCISCO BAY VIEW, Greenaction  
MITSUYO HASEGAWA - JRM Associates  
HELEN JACKSON - All Hallows Gardens Residents Association

1 RAB MEMBERS [Cont.]:  
2  
3 CHEIN KAO - California Department of Toxic Substances  
4 Control (DTSC)  
5 KEVYN D. LUTTON - Resident  
6 J. R. MANUEL - JRM Associates, India Basin resident  
7 JESSE MASON - Community First Coalition (CFC)  
8 JULIE MENACK - San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality  
9 Control Board  
10 GEORGIA OLIVA - Communities for a Better Environment  
11 (CBE), CCA member  
12 KAREN G. PIERCE - Bayview Advocates, BVHP Democratic Club  
13 KEITH TISDELL - Hunters Point resident  
14 RAYMOND TOMPKINS - Bayview-Hunters Point Coalition on  
15 Environment  
16 CAROLINE WASHINGTON - Southeast Community College Advisory  
17 Board, Network for Elders  
18 MICHAEL WORK - U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)  
19 LEILANI WRIGHT - JRM Associates  
20 ---oOo---  
21

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

OTHER ATTENDEES

- ARVIND ACHARYA - Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc.  
(I.T.S.I.)
- DOUG BIELSKIS - Tetra Tech EM Inc.
- ANDREW L. BOZEMAN - Southeast Sector Community  
Development Corp., Heaven's Glade
- RICK BRACKEN - Intech Services
- PATRICK BROOKS - United States Navy
- GEARY L. BROWN SR. - Geary L. Brown & Son Trucking
- A. DON CAPOBRES - San Francisco Redevelopment Agency
- DEBORAH CLARK - Katz & Associates
- FRANCISCO DA COSTA - Environmental Justice Advocacy
- JAMES F. FIELDS - San Francisco Human Rights Commission
- MIGUEL GALARZA - Yerba Buena Engineering & Construction,  
Inc.
- CHRIS HANIF - Young Community Developers (YCD)
- BOB HOCKER - Lennar/Bayview-Hunters Point Team
- CAROLYN HUNTER - Tetra Tech EM Inc.
- MICHELLE HURST - United States Navy
- OSCAR F. L. JAMES - Resident
- JONI JORGENSEN-RISK - Innovative Technical Solutions,  
Inc. (I.T.S.I.)
- STEPHEN LA PLANTE - Mariner's Village resident
- QUIJUAN MALOOF - Pendergrass & Associates

1                           OTHER ATTENDEES [Cont.]:

2

3 CHARLES R. MAZOWIECKI - United States Navy

4 DEBRA MOORE - Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc.

5 CUMMINGS NAUER - Community member

6 JOHN NAUER - Resident

7 AUDREY NAUER-ALLEN - Community member

8 ALLEN NUNLEY - Business owner, resident

9 MARTIN OFFENHAUER - United States Navy

10 DENNIS M. ROBINSON - Shaw Environmental &

11    Infrastructure, Inc.

12 DEBORAH BERMAN SANTANA - Mills College Ethnic Studies

13    Department

14 LEE H. SAUNDERS - United States Navy

15 CLIFTON SMITH - C.J. Smith & Associates, Eagle

16    Environmental Construction

17 CHON SON - United States Navy

18 PETER STROGANOFF - United States Navy ROICC Office

19 STEPHEN F. TYAHLA - Department of the Navy

20 ELLA TYLER - Resident

21 PETER WILSEY - San Francisco Department of Public Health

22 STEFANIE YOW - Office of Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi

23                           ----oOo----

1 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, JULY 24, 2003

2 6:05 P.M.

3 ---oOo---

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can I get this party started?

5 This is the first RAB meeting ever that we have started  
6 five minutes late that I've been here. First one. This  
7 is the first. I don't know what's going on.

8 MS. BROWNELL: Summertime.

9 MR. FORMAN: Come on, Lynne. You really need  
10 to be up here. We have to get started.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: No excuses.

12 Well, welcome, everybody, to the San Francisco  
13 Hunters Point Shipyard Restoration Advisory Board  
14 meeting for Thursday, the 24th of July.

15 Everybody has an agenda?

16 MS. HARRISON: Maybe.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Just a couple of  
18 housekeeping things. All the RAB members should be  
19 around the table, audience on the sides or anywhere  
20 else.

21 Agendas are in the back. There's also a  
22 sign-in sheet. All the RAB members, as well as any  
23 guests, are invited to sign in.

24 The first thing we want to do is have minutes  
25 approved.

1 MS. HARRISON: Okay.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: But we can't have minutes  
3 approved until we get Mr. Brown to the table.

4 MR. JORGENSEN-RISK: Ooh.

5 MR. ATTENDEE: Yeah.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Has everybody had a chance to  
7 look over the minutes from the last RAB meeting? Has  
8 everybody had a chance to do that?

9 Does anybody have any questions on the said RAB  
10 minutes? We're talking about the minutes of June 26.

11 Yes, sir. Mr. Tisdell.

12 MR. TISDELL: I like to make a correction to  
13 the minutes of June 26th in which Jim -- James Morrison  
14 was pronounced as resigning instead of Jim Rodriguez.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh. Well, okay. We'll make  
16 that change.

17 MS. JORGENSEN-RISK: To the subcommittee  
18 minutes, not -- not the minute meetings. Just so we  
19 know.

20 MR. TISDELL: Yeah.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, okay. So that's  
22 subcommittee meeting minutes. Oh, okay. I'm sorry.  
23 That's different. Okay.

24 Any other --? Right now we're trying to get  
25 the official board minutes approved. Any other

1 additions or deletions to those minutes?

2           Somebody move.

3           MR. BROWN: I make a motion to accept the

4 minutes.

5           MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Anybody second that?

6           MR. CAMPBELL: I'll second it.

7           MS. PENDERGRASS: All rightie.

8           So all in favor of accepting the June 26th

9 minutes as they are printed, say, "Aye."

10          THE BOARD: Aye.

11          MS. PENDERGRASS: All opposed?

12                    (No verbal response elicited.)

13          MS. PENDERGRASS: Any abstentions?

14                    (No verbal response elicited.)

15          MS. PENDERGRASS: Ayes have it. I think we

16 have a quorum today. One, two, three, four, five, six.

17 Six. Seven. Seven. Regional Water Quality Control

18 Board. Six. So we have six. Keith is seven.

19          MR. BROWN: We have a lot now.

20          MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Those minutes --

21 I'm sorry. Those minutes are accepted and approved.

22           Let's just make sure we have covered all the

23 action items. Okay. Action items or carry-over items.

24 There weren't any.

25           But we have some new items. "Navy and RAB

1 member to report on the recent ship activity in the bay;  
2 possibly associated with some clean-up activity."

3 MR. TISDELL: That was dead and it's all  
4 handled.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: That was handled?

6 MR. TISDELL: Yes.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can you give us a little --  
8 an o- -- an overview of what happened on that?

9 MR. TISDELL: They don't know nothing about it.  
10 They -- you know, it was -- it was stated that the Navy  
11 didn't know nothing about it. There could have been  
12 someone there, you know --

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

14 MR. TISDELL: -- someone else and -- and so --

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

16 MR. TISDELL: -- so I can't blame him --

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you.

18 MR. TISDELL: -- not yet.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: The second one was: Navy to  
20 determine the feasibility of developing a database of  
21 contractors from the local community as a resource tool  
22 available to prime contractors.

23 Mr. Forman? I'm sorry. Number 2.

24 MR. FORMAN: Yes. During the month, Chon Son  
25 had a conference call, I believe, with Mr. Campbell; and

1 I believe they resolved any issues and clarified where  
2 the positions were.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: That's correct.

4 MR. FORMAN: And Chon -- Chon --

5 I'm sorry, sir.

6 And Chon Son is here tonight. He is here at  
7 the meeting, and he is available during the meeting or  
8 after the RAB meeting to again meet with anybody on the  
9 Economic Development Subcommittee to plan any future  
10 presentations.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

12 Mr. Campbell, are you satisfied that that item  
13 is completed?

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes. Chon did talk about a  
15 plan, and we'll talk about it more later.

16 MR. FORMAN: Okay.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: If necessary, we have to  
18 re-add this to an action item, if you so -- if you see  
19 fit at another time. Okay.

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Navy to determine the  
22 feasibility of providing a monthly reporting of  
23 newly released scope of work/contracts with a  
24 percentage breakdown of local participation.  
25 Report to be cumulated in a quarterly report.

1 Mr. Forman, you were designated lead on that?

2 MR. FORMAN: Yes. That was a related issue  
3 that Chon Son and Maurice Campbell spoke of.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. And that's also been  
5 resolved?

6 (No verbal response heard.)

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Very fine. All right.  
8 Okay. Mr. Forman, would you like to present on  
9 behalf of the Navy? Do you have some announcements?

10 MR. FORMAN: Yes, I do.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Proceed.

12 MR. FORMAN: I've got a few quick  
13 announcements, if I could have your attention. Couple  
14 of things.

15 The Community Involvement Plan that used to be  
16 known as the Community Relations Plan -- we had a  
17 subcommittee meeting, and I won't steal any thunder from  
18 Mr. Brown if he wants to talk about that. But I thought  
19 we had a good subcommittee meeting.

20 Got to understand that the review period, the  
21 formal input comment period, ended Monday; and I don't  
22 have the comments that I wish to have on the plan. We  
23 had provided -- If you go to the back of the table  
24 during the break, there is a comment form for the  
25 Community Involvement Plan that you can fill out today,

1 hopefully, or you can take it with you and fill it out  
2 at home. Please just let me know or Carolyn Hunter.

3 Carolyn Hunter, if you could stand up for a  
4 sec. Thanks.

5 MR. BROWN: She's eating.

6 MR. FORMAN: She is the Community Involvement  
7 Plan Program Manager at Tetra Tech that works with me,  
8 and if you could come to one of us and let us know what  
9 the status of your comments is, but we really want to  
10 have some good comments.

11 I think we have good subcommittee meetings, but  
12 I -- I'd like you to provide some criticism of the plan  
13 to make it better. And also, any ideas that you want  
14 included in the plan, I need to see them on that comment  
15 sheet.

16 Couple of other things here. I talked a little  
17 bit with Lynne Brown, and we are in the very beginning  
18 stages of trying to put together what we're going to do  
19 for the information fair.

20 Mr. Brown has requested that the Navy put  
21 together a Saturday information fair sometime in the  
22 month of October or thereabouts when we can fit it in  
23 and at a good location in the 94124 area; and we will be  
24 working on the details of that, and I'll let you know  
25 more when we have something more specific.

1           Is a representative from the San Francisco  
2 Police Department here? Okay. Just doing a  
3 double-check.

4           And I have one last item. You have seen -- If  
5 you are on the Community Notification Plan, the CNP,  
6 list that gets e-mail messages; and if you have received  
7 them, you know how -- you've seen how that's grown, the  
8 number of e-mail addressees lately.

9           There's been quite a few CNP messages, and they  
10 all center around different Hunters Point fires. Even  
11 in the last four days, we've had three fires, three  
12 separate fires.

13           One of the things that I've noticed, among  
14 other things, is that some of you have done an  
15 extraordinary job of helping out the Navy and the  
16 federal fire department and the San Francisco Fire  
17 Department by being the first or among the first to  
18 observe a fire and call it in. And I've got something  
19 here tonight that I need to divulge to all of you, and  
20 that's going to require Keith Tisdell to come up.

21           MR. TISDELL: What?

22           MR. FORMAN: Mr. Tisdell, if you could come up  
23 here.

24           MS. JORGENSEN-RISK: Oh, my.

25           MR. TISDELL: All right. Let me get some

1 knives.

2 MR. BROWN: Put your gun away.

3 MR. FORMAN: Why don't -- why don't we in the  
4 spirit here come halfway?

5 All right. Mr. Tisdell -- Mr. Tisdell here has  
6 called me -- I can't count how many times, and he has  
7 always been a great source of information, helping us  
8 out in providing an extra layer of safety at Hunters  
9 Point. So tonight we're awarding him fairly  
10 spontaneously here and as a surprise with the Hunters  
11 Point Shipyard watchdog award.

12 (Applause.)

13 MR. TISDELL: Show me the money.

14 MR. FORMAN: No money with this one. However,  
15 a nice certificate.

16 This -- this is presented to Keith Tisdell.  
17 The Navy would like to thank Keith Tisdell for keeping  
18 an eye on the Shipyard and presents two little watchdogs  
19 with bones.

20 And I'm sorry I don't have the bones for you in  
21 any form. But I just want to say, Keith, thank you very  
22 much. You've done a great service to everybody, the  
23 community, the Navy; and I appreciate it.

24 MR. TISDELL: All right. Thank you.

25 (Applause.)

1 MR. TISDELL: All right, y'all. Keep on  
2 you-all P's and Q's.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Brown?

4 MR. BROWN: Okay. I just have one announcement  
5 that Saturday on the 26th --

6 Excuse me. Saturday on the 26th the  
7 Residential Stock Ownership Corporation will be meeting  
8 up at Milton Meyers gym pertaining to ownership of the  
9 Shipyard, alternative community -- real community  
10 benefits plan. That starts at 10 o'clock.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All done?

12 MR. BROWN: Okay.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: We have one other  
14 announcement from the EPA, and then we'll do  
15 introductions. I just kind of wanted to wait till  
16 everybody got settled.

17 MR. WORK: U.S. EPA has selected Community  
18 First Coalition to receive our next Technical Assistance  
19 Grant of \$50,000 to -- for the community to use to help  
20 them get expert help on reviewing documents on technical  
21 issues, and I just wanted to let you all know that  
22 that's now in the pipeline. Funding should occur, I  
23 think, in October.

24 MR. BROWN: All right.

25 (Applause.)

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: So why don't we back up now  
2 and have introductions? And I know it's a little bit --  
3 a little late, but we didn't have everybody here.  
4 So why don't we start with the RAB members?  
5 And we can start with the attractive Dr. Sumchai.  
6 MR. DA COSTA: Francisco Da Costa. I'm sitting  
7 in for Ahimsa Sumchai, Environmental Justice Advocacy.  
8 MR. TISDELL: Watchdog Keith Tisdell, resident.  
9 MS. JACKSON: Helen Jackson, resident.  
10 MS. HARRISON: Marie Harrison, all of the  
11 above.  
12 MS. BUSHNELL: Barbara Bushnell, RAB member.  
13 I'm also an alternate tonight for Mr. Dacus who's sister  
14 died in Texas this week.  
15 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry to hear that.  
16 MS. BROWNELL: Amy Brownell, San Francisco  
17 Health Department.  
18 MR. KAO: Chein Kao, DTSC.  
19 MS. MENACK: Julie Menack, Regional Water  
20 Quality Control Board.  
21 MR. WORK: Michael Work, U.S. EPA.  
22 MS. WRIGHT: Leilani Wright, RAB member.  
23 MS. HASEGAWA: Mitsuyo Hasegawa, RAB member.  
24 MR. BROOKS: Pat Brooks, Navy.  
25 MS. FORMAN: Keith Forman, Navy co-chair and

1 BRAC Environmental Coordinator.

2 MS. BROWN: Lynne Brown, co-chair Restoration  
3 Advisory Board.

4 MS. OLIVA: Georgia Oliva, Shipyard artist and  
5 CBE member.

6 MR. CAMPBELL: Maurice Campbell, RAB member.

7 MS. MOORE: Debra Moore, Innovative Technical  
8 Solutions.

9 MS. JORGENSEN-RISK: Joni Jorgensen-Risk,  
10 I.T.S.I.

11 MR. MALOOF: Quijuan Maloof, Pendergrass &  
12 Associates.

13 MS. ASHER: Lani Asher, Shipyard artist.

14 MS. PIERCE: Karen Pierce, RAB.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right, and if you can  
16 start back here.

17 MR. ROBINSON: Dennis Robinson, Shaw  
18 Environmental.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Dennis Robinson?

20 MR. ROBINSON: Yes.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

22 MS. CLARK: Deborah Clark, Katz & Associates.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Deborah Clark, Katz &  
24 Associates?

25 MS. CLARK: (Nods.)

1 MS. SANTANA: Deborah Santana, Mills College.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Did you get that?

3 MR. MAZOWIECKI: Charles Mazowiecki, Navy.

4 MS. HUNTER: Carolyn Hunter, Tetra Tech.

5 MS. HURST: Michelle Hurst, Navy.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: What's your last name,  
7 Michelle?

8 MS. HURST: Hurst, H-u-r-s-t.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Gentleman, sir?

10 MR. NUNLEY: Allen Nunley, business owner.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Alan --

12 MR. NUNLEY: -- Nunley.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Lunlen? Lunley? Okay. Very  
14 good.

15 All rightie. If we can start over to my right  
16 here. Yes, sir.

17 MR. SON: Hi. My name's Chon, last name Son.  
18 I'm the contract specialist taking care of all the  
19 projects that take place at Hunters Point along with the  
20 Moffett Airfield.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

22 THE REPORTER: What's the last name? I'm  
23 sorry.

24 MR. SON: S-o-n, Son.

25 THE REPORTER: Oh.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, sir.

2 MR. BRACKEN: Rick Bracket, Intech Services

3 [phonetic].

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Rick Bracken?

5 MR. BRACKEN: Yes.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

7 MR. ACHARYA: Arvind Acharya, I.T.S.I.

8 MR. HANIF: Chris Hanif, Young Community

9 Developers.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Chris, what's your last name?

11 MR. HANIF: Hanif.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Hanif. How do you --

13 MR. HANIF: H- --

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- spell that?

15 MR. HANIF: H-a-n-i-f.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: H-a-n-i-f, Young Community

17 Developers.

18 Yes, ma'am.

19 MS. TYLER: Ella Tyler, interested.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Ella Tyler?

21 MS. TYLER: Tyler.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Interested resident, I

23 assume.

24 MS. TYLER: (Nods.)

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.

1 Yes, sir.

2 MR. FIELDS: James Fields, San Francisco Human  
3 Rights Commission.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: James what?

5 MR. FIELDS: Fields, like Mrs. Fields cookies.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. The reason I'm  
7 repeating everything is so that we get it on the record  
8 that you were here.

9 Yes, sir.

10 MR. SMITH: Clifton Smith, Eagle Environmental  
11 Construction.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Clifton Smith? EPA  
13 Environmental --

14 MR. SMITH: Eagle, Eagle.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Eagle Environmental, okay.  
16 Very good. All right.

17 MR. WILSEY: Peter Wilsey, San Francisco  
18 Department of Public Health.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: San Francisco Department  
20 of --

21 MR. WILSEY: -- Public Health.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Public Health, okay. Thank  
23 you.

24 MR. WILSEY: Health Department, San Francisco  
25 Health Department.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

2 Yes, sir.

3 MR. STROGANOFF: Peter Stroganoff from the Navy  
4 ROICC office.

5 MR. GALARZA: Miguel Galarza with Yerba Buena  
6 Engineering.

7 MR. HOCKER: Bob Hocker with Lennar-BVHP team.

8 MR. SAUNDERS: Lee Saunders, US Navy public  
9 affairs.

10 MR. CAPOBRES: Don Capobres, Redevelopment  
11 Agency.

12 THE REPORTER: Don w- --? Oh.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, ma'am.

14 MS. LUTTON: Kevyn Lutton, resident.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Kevyn.

16 Yes, sir.

17 MR. BOZEMAN: Andrew Bozeman, Southeast Sector  
18 Community Development Corp.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Is there anyone else in the  
20 room that I've overlooked?

21 (No verbal response elicited.)

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Very fine. Thank you for  
23 those introductions.

24 Just for the people that are new tonight, we do  
25 have a court reporter. We do try to keep this to

1 forty-five minutes to an hour for this section so she  
2 can have a break, and everything we say is on record.

3 All right. At this point, I think we have --  
4 Keith is going to do a presentation on Parcel B. And  
5 then we'll take a break after that.

6 Do we -- do we expect a lot of questions on  
7 that? So --

8 MR. FORMAN: I don't know.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Leave some time for  
10 questions? Okay.

11 MR. FORMAN: We'll see how it goes. In the  
12 middle?

13 MR. BROOKS: Stand up.

14 MR. FORMAN: Yeah, stand up. Okay. Do we  
15 need --? I'm sorry.

16 MR. TISDELL: Thank you.

17 MR. FORMAN: Is that better?

18 Okay. For tonight I'm Keith Forman, again, the  
19 BRAC Environmental Coordinator and the Navy Co-chair,  
20 and Pat Brooks is the lead Remedial Program Manager for  
21 Hunters Point. You've seen us before. We are both  
22 jointly going to give you a presentation here on one of  
23 the latest documents to come out, the draft version of  
24 the five-year review of remedial actions at Hunters  
25 Point Shipyard. Okay.

1           Now, this presentation, ladies and gentlemen,  
2 is meant to just give you a better feel for the document  
3 so that if you desire to go and review it and read it,  
4 comment on it, or just ask the Navy some questions in  
5 the future, you'll have a better feel for what the  
6 document is, why we do it, and what its role is in the  
7 whole process.

8           So in that sense, it's meant to guide you; and  
9 we realize that many of you haven't had a chance to look  
10 at the document yet, and we encourage you to do that.  
11 So we're not going into a terrible degree of detail  
12 tonight, but we think this will help you with your  
13 review.

14           MR. BIELSKIS: I got it.

15           MR. FORMAN: Did you get it?

16           Okay. All right. The five-year review  
17 document is a requirement under the Superfund law that's  
18 also known as CERCLA, and it's also a requirement of the  
19 Federal Facility Agreement, known as the FFA.

20           The FFA is the agreement that is essentially  
21 the rules of the road for the Navy and the regulators,  
22 the regulatory agencies, as they apply to this whole  
23 process at Hunters Point.

24           The five-year review period was triggered with  
25 the start of Parcel B remedial action in July of 1998.

1 Now, Parcel B . . . right here? Okay.

2 Parcel B is right here in the Shipyard. And as  
3 many of you know, we had a Record of Decision -- that's  
4 the decision document for Parcel B that declares what  
5 the remedies for all the sites in Parcel B are. We had  
6 one of those in 1997, began the remedial action in 1998.  
7 Lo and behold, our five years -- five whole years has  
8 passed since we did that.

9 And one of the functions of this five-year  
10 review is to go out into the public and to the  
11 regulators and tell them what have you done in the five  
12 years, and how do you assess the situation now five  
13 years after having a Record of Decision and starting to  
14 do cleanup work.

15 So the scope of this review is going to focus  
16 on Parcel B, because that's where we have the Record of  
17 Decision that indicated to everybody that we have  
18 remedial actions that are going to take place.

19 But another requirement in the EPA guidelines  
20 for this document is to also do an overview of the other  
21 parcels of the Shipyard. And because of that, when you  
22 review the document, you'll see that those are included  
23 to follow the EPA guidelines.

24 Next slide.

25 Some of the objectives of the document. And

1 these are very important things to know before you read  
2 it because there's a lot that this document doesn't say  
3 because it doesn't need to say it or the guidelines  
4 don't say to include it. It's a pretty specific  
5 document in what the objectives are.

6           We're supposed to evaluate the remedy at  
7 Parcel B, the one that we declared to the world in the  
8 Record of Decision back in 1997. And we have to ask  
9 some pretty basic questions here, and we have to answer  
10 them to you and the regulators.

11           Those questions are: Is the remedy selected  
12 protective of human health and the environment? Is the  
13 remedy working? And are the factors that were used in  
14 the decision document to set up those cleanup levels --  
15 are they still valid?

16           As you know, as all the RAB members who have  
17 attended, many of you, for years know, sometimes the  
18 rules of cleanup change over the years. The regulatory  
19 agencies will discover more about a chemical; and then  
20 those standards, cleanup standards, or screening levels,  
21 for a chemical will change over the years.

22           Also, as we -- as we learn more about the  
23 science of risk assessment, sometimes the factors that  
24 are built into the equations that calculate all of this  
25 risk and calculate what is protective -- sometimes those

1 factors change too as we learn more. And that's another  
2 part of what we discussed in the document.

3 Another objective is to make recommendations;  
4 and at the end of the document, that's what you'll find.  
5 Here we have recommended additional steps to protect  
6 human health and the environment in the long term. In  
7 our case, our recommendations are -- are very  
8 significant.

9 In many of these documents, if you've  
10 implemented a remedial action, it may be more just  
11 summarizing what's been done and telling people of the  
12 progress. This document goes a little bit further  
13 because of the Parcel B ROD and what's occurred since  
14 then.

15 Next slide.

16 Okay. Couple of the requirements. We have to  
17 follow the EPA guidelines, and we have done so to this  
18 point and we will continue through the process. That  
19 includes putting in a public notice at the start and the  
20 finish of the review and doing progress reports to the  
21 community. One of the things we're doing, of course,  
22 is: We'll give you progress reports at the RAB meetings  
23 during the review.

24 In addition to that, we're going to have a  
25 public meeting. The tentative date for that public

1 meeting is 30 September, and we'll keep you informed  
2 when we know of a location and an exact time.

3           Where are we now in the document? Well,  
4 we're -- the document came out -- the draft document  
5 came out on July 8th. Now, this document will go  
6 through different stages. It will go draft, draft  
7 final, and final.

8           Some of you who have requested the document or  
9 have re- -- reviewed it, received a copy and reviewed  
10 it, or have it to review, have until the 22nd of  
11 September.

12           MS. BIELSKIS: August.

13           MR. FORMAN: Pardon?

14           MR. BIELSKIS: August.

15           MR. FORMAN: What am I saying?

16           I'm sorry. Have the 30 days for -- for  
17 August 8th -- from 8 -- July 8th to August 8th for the  
18 draft.

19           The draft final that -- will then come out.  
20 We'll get comments in. We'll make changes between the  
21 draft and the draft final.

22           Thank you for that, Doug. I was getting a  
23 little confused here.

24           MR. BROWN: (Inaudible.)

25           MR. TISDELL: What's the date? August 22nd?

1 MR. FORMAN: And the draft final will come out  
2 on July 22nd.

3 Now, we -- the draft is already out now. It  
4 was out 8th of July. You review it for a 30-day period  
5 for a draft document. It ends 8th of July.

6 The draft final then comes out the 22nd of  
7 September --

8 MR. BIELSKIS: August 22nd.

9 MR. FORMAN: What did I say?

10 MR. BIELSKIS: Forty-five-day review period.

11 MR. FORMAN: I'm sorry. It shows me what I  
12 should have put up there. Okay.

13 The public comment period here is what you want  
14 to focus on, this line, 22nd of September through the  
15 22nd of August. That 30-day period is when --

16 MR. TISDELL: 22nd of August to the 22nd of  
17 September, 'cause September, you know, you got a whole  
18 year to come back to August.

19 MR. FORMAN: All right. Let me get my --  
20 Permit me to get my act straight here.

21 MR. BROWN: Right.

22 MR. FORMAN: All right. You have a draft  
23 report --

24 MS. PIERCE: Just --

25 MR. FORMAN: -- that --

1 MS. PIERCE: -- read the slide.

2 MR. FORMAN: Keith can't do that. He's not at  
3 the proper angle. But that's a good point.

4 The public comment -- Let -- let's just focus  
5 on the public comment period, which I think many of you  
6 are interested in. 22 September to 22 October is when  
7 that will occur.

8 When that occurs, you will have a draft final  
9 document to review, okay? It will already have gone  
10 through one round of comments.

11 During that comment -- public comment period,  
12 there will be a public meeting. Tentatively we  
13 scheduled it for 30 September.

14 The other milestone we show here is that the  
15 final report will come out on November 21st. Okay.  
16 There's quite a bit of time there because after the  
17 comment -- the draft final comment period ends  
18 22 October, we have to gather the comments in, make  
19 changes, and then issue a final report. Okay.

20 Great. All right. Here is some of the  
21 components in this graphic -- and if you have the  
22 handout, you can -- you can probably look at it and see  
23 it a little clearer -- that go into the five-year review  
24 report.

25 We have to assess protectiveness. We check on

1 community involvement and notification, and that's done  
2 again within the EPA guidelines. We do a document  
3 review. We also do a review of the data, much of the  
4 sampling that has occurred.

5           And then we also go out and do another site  
6 inspection, and we focus on a couple of things there  
7 that include things like groundwater -- groundwater  
8 monitoring wells and the security of the site.

9           And then we do some targeted interviews, again  
10 per EPA guidelines. In this case, we focused on for our  
11 interview pool RAB members and base tenants, both of  
12 which are more likely to be involved in the process and  
13 know about Parcel B and know about the history of  
14 Parcel B and the remedy there. Okay?

15           All right. The report structure. We're going  
16 to -- we're going to show a chronology of events there.  
17 We'll give you an overview of what's occurring on the  
18 other parcels which are in progress, and then we're  
19 going to to focus on Parcel B.

20           We're going to tell you all the background of  
21 Parcel B that led to the elements that are in the  
22 decision documents, and then we're going to describe all  
23 the fieldwork that we have done in Parcel B since the  
24 Record of Decision. Okay.

25           And then we're going to review the activities

1 that are components that were put into the five-year  
2 review report; and that includes the community  
3 involvement, the site inspection, and the interviews.

4           And we're also going to review the data that we  
5 have, and the documents that have been generated are  
6 going to be summarized that have occurred since the  
7 Record of Decision in 1997.

8           Okay. Okay. In addition to that, we're going  
9 to do a technical assessment of the fieldwork, the  
10 remedial actions, which are the fieldwork and the  
11 cleanup that we have done.

12           We're going to also assess whether the -- how  
13 the remedy is functioning and whether the various  
14 remedies at the sites are functioning as they were  
15 intended to.

16           We're also going to look again at the cleanup  
17 objectives, and we're going to look at the factors that  
18 go into those and ask ourselves a question and present  
19 to the public have things changed since 1997 when we did  
20 the decision document. And then again, we're going to  
21 look for any new information that might affect our  
22 ability to say we are protective of human health and the  
23 environment.

24           We are also going to identify issues that have  
25 come up during the five-year -- five years since the

1 ROD, and then we're going to make recommendations.

2           And the important thing when you read the  
3 document is to get an idea, a grasp, of the background  
4 and then understand some of the recommendations that the  
5 Navy's going to make to ensure protectiveness.

6           And then the final part in order to be in  
7 compliance with the guideline is to make a  
8 protectiveness statement, which we summarize near the  
9 back of the document. Okay.

10           MR. BROOKS: Okay. Tag team here.

11           One of the things we did is: We inspected the  
12 groundwater monitoring well network, because you recall  
13 the remedy for groundwater at Parcel B is monitoring;  
14 and what we're looking to see is that we don't have  
15 groundwater contamination that moves from the Parcel B  
16 groundwater into the bay or into Parcel F.

17           So we just -- we have some monitoring wells  
18 along the shoreline, and we're just wanting to make sure  
19 that the groundwater that enters the bay meets the  
20 criteria that is identified in the ROD, the Record of  
21 Decision.

22           Let's see here. Do we have another picture?  
23 Maybe not.

24           We identified some community concerns with the  
25 interviews, and dust came up a couple of times, so dust

1 from excavations and the soil piles, noise and dust from  
2 the trucks, security around the open excavations, the  
3 need for increased communication with the community  
4 during fieldwork, and the role of local businesses in  
5 the -- in the cleanup itself.

6           And I think everyone has seen that new fencing  
7 that has gone up at Parcel B. We also identified some  
8 concerns of safety issues around the waterfront there  
9 around the piers.

10           Next slide.

11           Three categories that we're going to look at  
12 tonight: soil, groundwater issues, and radiological.  
13 And so we'll talk about the soil issues first. The  
14 recommendations that are going to address these issues,  
15 they are in the blue, and they are also indented so if  
16 you can see that on the slide there.

17           The first issue that we identified was: The --  
18 the debris fill at Sites 7 and 18 is different from the  
19 rest of the Parcel B, and that would be over in this  
20 area [indicating] where the little Parcel B beach is.

21           This is the last episode of filling in the bay,  
22 and the fill is not the ordinary serpentine fill of just  
23 rock fill. It's got a lot of debris in it. It's got  
24 concrete. It's got some contamination mixed in with it,  
25 PCBs, PAHs, stuff like that.

1           And the recommendation that we had in the  
2 five-year review report was to present the cleanup  
3 strategies in the Parcel B risk management review  
4 summary report, 'cause we see that soil excavation is  
5 not really working for us as a remedial action over  
6 here, and we're just trying to come up with a way to  
7 deal with that.

8           For example, if we're trying to excavate  
9 manganese from an excavation, we could get to a -- to  
10 where we reach our cleanup level in one area and go on a  
11 little bit further and it wouldn't be clean. And so it  
12 got to the point where it looked like we were going to  
13 have to dig right up to the property line, and it was --  
14 it was not what we had expected.

15           The next issue identified with the soil was the  
16 proximity of some of the excavations to the bay to  
17 prevent complete characterization. And that, again, was  
18 over here by Site 7 and 18 where we had some  
19 contamination over here [indicating] that we didn't  
20 really know how far it extended.

21           So what we did is: We contracted for some work  
22 for some additional shoreline characterization. That  
23 work has been completed, although the report is not  
24 finished yet.

25           And so using that data, we want to evaluate the

1 potential need for further action in the Parcel B RMR  
2 summary report, or the risk management review report.  
3 And that is to make sure that soil contaminants that are  
4 in Parcel B don't move over into Parcel F and cause us a  
5 problem.

6           Like, if we have contamination up here on the  
7 land and we can deal with it on the land before it gets  
8 into the bay, that would be something we would want to  
9 do.

10           The potential risk to the bay from Parcel B  
11 soil contaminants was not evaluated for the ecological  
12 receptors that are in the bay, the creatures that live  
13 in the bay. So our recommendation there to ourselves is  
14 conduct this evaluation.

15           Next slide.

16           More soil issues and recommendations here. The  
17 metals concentrations that we found in the soil were  
18 higher than what we expected, and we think they may be  
19 naturally occurring.

20           I've talked a little bit about this study that  
21 we did out in the city of San Francisco where we  
22 collected about between 90 and 95 soil samples from  
23 residential neighborhoods, parks. None of these soil  
24 samples meet the cleanup levels for metals.

25           So what we did is: We went out to

1 nonindustrial areas, areas where we wouldn't expect  
2 contamination, collected the soil samples and had them  
3 analyzed for metals.

4           And what we see is kind of what we see at the  
5 Shipyard. There's a -- several different rock types:  
6 serpentine, basalt, the chert that you -- you know,  
7 you've heard us talk about. And each one of them has  
8 their own peculiar signature with metals in there, and  
9 all the -- all of those constituents are present at the  
10 Shipyard.

11           So it's either -- one of the things that, you  
12 know, you could come up with would be to dig the  
13 Shipyard completely off the face of the earth, and I  
14 didn't put that one up there because I didn't think it  
15 was very practical.

16           But the other things that you can do would  
17 either be modify the soil cleanup objectives or  
18 implement some land-use controls, because these metals,  
19 they do have -- when you estimate the risk to -- from  
20 these metals that are in the soil, they don't meet the  
21 Hunters Point cleanup goals, and there's some risk  
22 associated with them.

23           So either you deal with the risk by modifying  
24 the cleanup objectives, or you implement -- implement  
25 some land-use controls to prevent exposure to the soil.

1 So those are the two things that we came up with on that  
2 one.

3           The factors used to develop the cleanup goals  
4 have been updated, and the cumulative risk wasn't  
5 calculated underneath the existing Record of Decision.

6           What that means was: Each constituent, each  
7 contaminant, was judged by itself, and if we didn't --  
8 we didn't look at the additive risk. Let's say you have  
9 two or three contaminants present. We didn't look at  
10 the additive risk; and we wanted to do that, update the  
11 risk assessment, look at the new criteria if they change  
12 for a given contaminant, and then add them all together.

13           So if the risk compounds with two or three  
14 contaminants, then we want to be able to present that  
15 risk.

16           So right now with the ROD, when you just do a  
17 contaminant at a time, it would underestimate the risk  
18 compared to what we are proposing with the  
19 recommendation.

20           The -- Thirdly here on this slide, "A soil  
21 vapor extraction treatability study is ongoing at  
22 Site 10," or at Building 123; and we wanted to evaluate  
23 soil vapor extraction as a remedy to remove the  
24 chlorinated solvents from the soil and then include that  
25 as a remedy in the amended ROD if it's appropriate, if

1 it looks like it's going to work for us.

2           Okay. Next slide.

3           Here's a picture of Parcel B back in 1946. And  
4 I just spoke of Building 123 over here at Site 10. This  
5 is -- It doesn't show up real good because of the  
6 light, but it's kind of in a yellow color here. Kind of  
7 an interesting photo just to look at too. Here is a  
8 pier that burned down. No longer there. Here are the  
9 submarine pens. And you can see the old-style Navy  
10 ships that are moored to these piers.

11           Okay. Next slide.

12           The treatability study at Site 10 began with  
13 extraction of vapor from the soil with 14 wells, and we  
14 had vapor monitoring at 18 wells.

15           What we -- what we saw at the conclusion of  
16 that study is: We had about almost an 80 percent  
17 reduction of the trichloroethene, or the TCE, in the  
18 soil.

19           So the next phase we wanted to include some  
20 additional wells in areas that weren't previously  
21 evaluated, and that work is -- has been contracted.  
22 It's not quite yet started, but we are in kind of the  
23 planning phase there.

24           Okay. Next slide.

25           Again, this is a -- a picture of kind of a

1 close-up of Site 10, and what this shows you is kind of  
2 the -- the footprint of the -- I believe this is the  
3 footprint of the soil contamination that we know about,  
4 and then some of the other areas that we want to  
5 investigate with the expansion of the treatability  
6 study.

7           Okay. Next slide, please.

8           So that kind of does it for the soil issues and  
9 the soil recommendations.

10          And now we want to talk about the groundwater  
11 issues and the groundwater recommendations. Again, the  
12 recommendations are in blue color, and they are  
13 indented.

14          There's a lot of cell phones going off.

15          MS. HARRISON: One more time.

16          MS. PENDERGRASS: Can we just wait till the end  
17 of the presentation? Okay. Thank you.

18          MR. BROOKS: So we've got an existing  
19 groundwater monitoring plan at Parcel B that guides --  
20 that's our remedy, remember, for Parcel B, remedy for  
21 groundwater: Monitor it and make sure that the  
22 contaminants aren't getting into the bay above the  
23 criteria that we set for ourselves in the ROD.

24          And it's our feeling that we can optimize this  
25 groundwater monitoring plan. And what we're doing right

1 now is: We're in the process of some discussions with  
2 the regulators as part of the development of what we  
3 want to do, a basewide groundwater monitoring plan to  
4 monitor groundwater across the entire base. We  
5 haven't -- we haven't got to this level yet.

6           We want to look at our trigger le- -- trigger  
7 levels for groundwater and make sure they reflect the  
8 current guidance. Some may go up. Some may go down.  
9 And we want to reevaluate those, and we want to correct  
10 them as necessary.

11           And the main thing we want to do is look at the  
12 potential risk to the bay from Parcel B groundwater  
13 contaminants and do that evaluation. That's our  
14 recommendation.

15           Okay. Next slide.

16           Kind of like with the soil where -- where our  
17 metals results in soil were higher and more variable  
18 than we expected, the same thing is happening in  
19 groundwater. Of course, the groundwater is submerged in  
20 the soil, and so we're seeing kind of same thing of  
21 variability in the groundwater concentrations of these  
22 metals.

23           So we'd like to adjust the groundwater  
24 monitoring plan to account for the variability of metal  
25 concentrations in the groundwater.

1 I just want to point out, we don't really have  
2 a bunch of exceedances from Parcel B groundwater going  
3 into the San Francisco Bay. Every once in a while we'll  
4 get a small exceedance.

5 Let's see. Over in this area here, I believe,  
6 we have a trigger level in the ROD that's about  
7 0.9 parts per billion for mercury, and we get results  
8 that are 1.5, 1.6, 1.7 that -- it does exceed our  
9 trigger level, but it -- the groundwater's just not as  
10 contaminated as we have problems over here on Parcel C  
11 and Parcel E.

12 Again, the same again with the soil. The  
13 factors used to develop the cleanup goals have been  
14 updated, and cumulative risk or the additive risk from  
15 groundwater was never estimated.

16 So if you have one contaminant in groundwater,  
17 then we look at that risk from that one contaminant; and  
18 if there are several together, we still just look at  
19 them individually, and we set our cleanup goals based on  
20 the individual risk. So we want to add those together  
21 and make sure that we're being protective.

22 We conducted a zero-valent iron study,  
23 injecti- -- a zero-valent iron injection treatability  
24 study, over at Parcel C; and we had good success  
25 cleaning up some of the -- or at least reducing the

1 concentrations of chlorinated solvents over there in the  
2 Parcel C groundwater.

3           So we wanted to come over and try it in a  
4 different environment at Parcel B. This work has been  
5 contracted. We are in the planning stages. And we'd  
6 like to evaluate this zero-valent iron injection and, if  
7 it's appropriate, include it in the amended ROD, which  
8 would be above the groundwater monitoring that we're  
9 already doing.

10           So this would be an active cleanup method that  
11 we would include if it looks like it has a chance of  
12 working, and we would also continue with the groundwater  
13 monitoring.

14           So next slide.

15           It's just an aerial photograph, and it shows  
16 where we have Site 10 here. This is Building 123, and  
17 this is where we have the soil vapor extraction  
18 treatability study. This is also where we want to do  
19 zero-valent iron-injection treatability study to see if  
20 these two technologies can be used to reduce the  
21 concentrations of chlorinated solvents that were  
22 released into the soil and groundwater.

23           And then I think I showed this slide  
24 previously, but this is just the results of the  
25 treatability study we did over at Building 272. And

1 what you see here is a measure --

2           I don't know how many people were -- remember  
3 from before. But oxidation reduction potential, or ORP,  
4 kind of the measure of the free electrons in the  
5 groundwater that help us destroy the contaminants, comes  
6 off of the iron when it corrodes.

7           So when that level goes down, this is a good  
8 thing, has more free electrons in the water. You can  
9 see how the reduction in the TCE concentration kind of  
10 mirrors our oxidation reduction potential. That's what  
11 we expect.

12           So we had about -- for most of the contaminants  
13 at this site, we had about a 99 percent reduction in the  
14 contaminant levels, which was really good.

15           And one of the things that we'd like to do is  
16 to destroy the contaminants instead of just move them  
17 from one place to another. Some of your other  
18 technologies you might remove the contaminants, stick  
19 them to carbon, ship them to Utah.

20           But in this case, these are our destruction  
21 products here. So when we see this one going down and  
22 we see the harmless destruction products are the  
23 by-products of the destruction increasing, that's a good  
24 sign for us. And so I feel like it worked pretty well  
25 over at Parcel C. Conditions are different at Parcel B,

1 but we want to try it at Parcel B also.

2           In the schematic diagram of what we have our  
3 first proposal for the iron injection, that figure is  
4 really not very good. It comes out of the work plan.  
5 But it's much the same as the treatability study we did  
6 at Parcel B. The conditions are different.

7           We have fractured bedrock at Parcel B -- or  
8 excuse me -- Parcel C, higher concentrations of the  
9 contaminant. Over here we're entirely in artificial  
10 fill, and the concentrations are lower. So you'll be  
11 hearing more about this one as we get closer to actually  
12 performing the test.

13           MS. PENDERGRASS: Pat, you have about three  
14 minutes before we can take questions.

15           MR. BROOKS: I only have two more slides.

16           Radiological issues and recommendations. I  
17 mean, the big issue here for radiological is: It was --  
18 The radiological cleanup is covered under the Basewide  
19 Radiological Action Memorandum, and it was never even  
20 referenced in the ROD.

21           So what we want to do is: We want to document  
22 the methods and the cleanup goals that -- for the  
23 radiological contaminants that are in the action memo,  
24 get those in the amended ROD. When the Historical  
25 Radiological Assessment comes out, that's going to

1 identify the areas that require surveys. If cleanup is  
2 needed, then it will be conducted under the action  
3 memorandum.

4           And this way is just a way to memorialize the  
5 whole cleanup process in the ROD for the radiological  
6 issues.

7           Next slide.

8           Keith already gave you the schedule, which I'm  
9 sure no one understood.

10          MR. BROWN: Oh, that's cold.

11          MR. BROOKS: I'll try to go over it again.

12          MR. FORMAN: It is confusing.

13          MR. BROOKS: Submitted July 8th, okay.

14 Comments on the draft report -- Doug kept saying,  
15 "22 August." Yeah, the comments on the draft report are  
16 due on the 22 August. But what we're doing is: We'll  
17 take those comments, put them into the report,  
18 incorporate them, put out a draft final report on the  
19 22nd of September. Now, that's when our review period  
20 starts for the public, 22 September to 22 October.

21           In the middle or somewhere near the beginning  
22 there when people have had a chance to look at the  
23 document, we want to have a public meeting so we can  
24 discuss what we found in the five-year review and talk  
25 about our recommendations, talk about the issues; and we

1 want to continue having RAB and technical subcommittee  
2 updates until the report is finalized.

3           And the next five-year review, July 2008. See  
4 who's left, huh?

5           MS. PENDERGRASS: Thanks, Pat.

6           MR. BROOKS: Questions?

7           MS. PENDERGRASS: Ms. Harrison and then  
8 Ms. Asher.

9           MS. HARRISON: Well, one of my questions was,  
10 you know, as I recall, a while back you were doing --  
11 you were doing soil extractions and examinations out  
12 here on "B," and you kept stepping out and you kept  
13 stepping out and you kept stepping out.

14           What was the full extent of --? You never  
15 actually told us; or if you did, maybe I was not here,  
16 so forgive me for me asking this question: What was the  
17 full extent of what you were finding? How much did you  
18 find out where the source was? Okay?

19           And we talked about the black sand and so forth  
20 that was found near the water's edge. What was the full  
21 extent of that? And I never got that information. So  
22 I'm hopeful that you can give me that.

23           MR. BROOKS: The site -- We're talking here, I  
24 think, about the Site 7 and 18. And what we found out  
25 was that much of the fill material in Site 7 and 18

1 was -- some of it was mixed with contaminants. Some of  
2 it had the black sand. All of it had the metals  
3 contamination.

4           So as we did our excavations and we did our  
5 step-out sampling, we would find --

6           For example, we -- as we dig along and we would  
7 say, "Okay, we have met our cleanup goals for  
8 contaminants A, B, and C, and we just need to go after  
9 one more and we will be done," we are thinking now that  
10 our -- our conceptual model here is: There's been a  
11 release, and it's kind of spread out; and as you keep  
12 digging, you'll get to an area where the concentrations  
13 get less and less and less, and finally you'll have it  
14 all.

15           But what we found out was: We would -- We  
16 could reach a cleanup goal and we might be trying to go  
17 after just one more constituent and we'd go after it; we  
18 take our confirmation samples, and then we don't meet  
19 any of the cleanup goals because the -- it -- our  
20 conceptual model is not correct.

21           The Site 7 and 18 is a mixture of fill that  
22 contains some of the black sand. It contains some oils.  
23 We have a few spots where it has the PCBs mixed in with  
24 the oils.

25           So just the way that we were trying to excavate

1 the contamination and characterize that whole site was  
2 just completely wrong, and we were -- we would have  
3 ended up just digging out the entire area.

4 MS. HARRISON: So is -- I -- I need to find  
5 out. So you found out that by stepping out, you didn't  
6 do anything. You just -- just continued to find  
7 contaminants. So --

8 MR. BROOKS: But -- but in --

9 MS. HARRISON: -- what exactly was the new plan  
10 to deal with that?

11 MR. BROOKS: The new plan is being developed,  
12 and it's going to be presented in the Parcel B risk  
13 management review summary report.

14 So what we're doing is: We're looking at all  
15 the areas where we have soil samples, and we calculate  
16 the environmental risk from those soil samples. From  
17 there we just take a whole step back and look at what  
18 kind of remedial action is available to us, if from  
19 excavation or what have you, to deal with this  
20 contamination.

21 MS. HARRISON: Okay. Now, my -- my last part  
22 of that question was about the black sand that was found  
23 near the water's edge. I was never quite clear on  
24 whether or not all of the black sand that you were  
25 finding was actually just near the water's edge, or was

1 it actually in the water itself?

2 MR. BROOKS: On Parcel B -- and correct me if  
3 I'm wrong, Doug, but I don't know of -- on Parcel B of  
4 sandblast grit at the water's edge, or in the water.

5 MR. BIELSKIS: I'm not aware of that either.

6 MR. BROOKS: I'm not aware of that. I know we  
7 did have such situations on Parcel B.

8 MR. BIELSKIS: Certainly with the new sampling  
9 that's being done at water's edge.

10 MR. BROOKS: Yeah. We've done -- again, we  
11 have done some additional sampling what I call the  
12 Parcel B beach area over here. We've done some  
13 additional sampling down in that -- this is kind of a  
14 beachy area here, and then it's rip rap and then more  
15 beach over in there. So we've had -- we just completed  
16 some additional sampling over there to have a look at.

17 Does the contamination -- soil contamination  
18 from Parcel B extend down into the bay? Because one of  
19 the things that we recognized right away, if you fill in  
20 the bay with fill that has contamination in it, it  
21 doesn't just drop off sharply like that. If you have --  
22 If you are filling a depth of the bay of 10, 20 feet,  
23 then it has to slope into the bay to support the fill  
24 that's on the land.

25 So that's why we wanted to go out there and

1 look at that.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Ms. Asher?

3 MS. ASHER: Okay. I was interested in what you  
4 said about land-use controls or -- as a way to deal with  
5 the -- that's one option.

6 But do you actually know how much it would cost  
7 to clean up Parcel B? Is there a breakdown of the cost?

8 MR. BROOKS: Just from --

9 MS. ASHER: And what's the Navy's position on  
10 that?

11 MR. BROOKS: Strictly from excavations? Is  
12 that what you mean? Excavate all the soil?

13 MS. ASHER: No. Cleaning it up.

14 MR. BROWN: (Inaudible.)

15 MR. BROOKS: Under the existing ROD, our  
16 existing ROD cleanup level?

17 MS. ASHER: It has to be under the existing  
18 ROD, yes.

19 MR. TOMPKINS: Yeah, '97.

20 MR. BROOKS: It would be horrendously expensive  
21 to clean up at that point because it would require  
22 excavation down to 10 feet pretty much across Parcel B.

23 Because of the variability and the metals  
24 concentrations, we would -- you could never actually  
25 reach a cleanup goal and be assured that you could dig

1 another 6 feet and still be at your cleanup goal because  
2 the metals in the soil are too variable. You take one  
3 bucket out, and you're at the cleanup goal. You go  
4 further and take one out, and you're above the cleanup  
5 goal.

6 MS. ASHER: And about "land-use controls,"  
7 could you explain exactly what you mean by that to the  
8 RAB board here?

9 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, I can do that. Because  
10 the -- a lot of the -- You look first at the exposure  
11 pathways to soil, and some of the driving ones are  
12 ingestion, actually, you know, consuming the soil,  
13 dermal exposure, stuff like that, so that the control  
14 would pretty much have to be some kind of --

15 MS. ASHER: Paving it over?

16 MR. BROOKS: Either with --

17 MS. ASHER: Covering it?

18 MR. BROOKS: Either with -- either with  
19 sidewalks, with maintained landscaping, roadways,  
20 building footprints, something like that. It would  
21 pretty much have to prevent exposure to soil.

22 MS. ASHER: So -- so it's the Navy's position  
23 pretty much that -- I know you can't speak, because it's  
24 not -- but it's prohibitive -- it's very expensive to  
25 actually clean up Parcel B, so you're sort of looking

1 more in the direction of the land-use -- land-use  
2 controls?

3 MR. BROOKS: Yeah. And what we did also is:  
4 We went out into the -- we went out in the city, and we  
5 collected soil samples from residential areas and from  
6 parks; and we saw the same metals that we see at the  
7 Shipyard.

8 So for us, our way of feeling is that these  
9 metals concentrations, at least many of them, are  
10 naturally occurring; but they still have a risk  
11 associated with them.

12 And so it's actually -- it would be against the  
13 Navy policy to remove, say -- let's say you got a  
14 bedrock outcrop out on Parcel B like you do up here  
15 against this hill. It would -- We'd be required to  
16 remove it to reach the cleanup goals, and we can't do  
17 that.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

19 MS. ASHER: So does the Navy have a site  
20 characterization which includes all the metals that are  
21 out on Parcel B? Is that --?

22 MR. BROOKS: Oh, yeah, every -- I mean,  
23 everywhere where we've collected soil samples and  
24 analyzed them for metals, yeah.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Mr. Kao --

1 MR. BROOKS: Okay.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- you wanted to weigh in on  
3 that real quickly?

4 MR. KAO: I was just wondering if I can jump in  
5 and make a very short clarification.

6 The -- regarding the cost issue, when they --  
7 when they wanted -- when they need to make adjustment of  
8 the ROD, to change that remedy from, say, excavation to  
9 a -- for example, the institutional controls, they have  
10 to provide a cost justification.

11 One of the major justification would be their  
12 estimate cost to excavate, how much is it, as opposed to  
13 how much is the institutional control. And then that  
14 will be in the ROD amendment proposal so everybody can  
15 comment on it.

16 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, that's correct.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

18 MS. ASHER: Thank you.

19 MS. BROWNELL: Can I --

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: We have two more questions  
21 before we -- before we close this section. Mr. Tisdell  
22 and Mr. Campbell, if you could be brief.

23 MR. TISDELL: Yes, very brief.

24 To your own words, you said it would -- it's --  
25 it is hard to clean up.

1 MR. BROOKS: Yes.

2 MR. TISDELL: And -- and how would you suppose  
3 put something on top of it to keep something down when  
4 you say that can't be cleaned up and can be clean?

5 MR. BROOKS: I don't understand.

6 MR. TISDELL: Okay. Just like if all this here  
7 was filled with contaminated stuff --

8 MR. BROOKS: Okay.

9 MR. TISDELL: -- why would you put a sidewalk  
10 or plant trees or grass on it when it's not clean?

11 MR. BROOKS: Well, what I'm trying to say was:  
12 The -- A lot of the things that we call contaminants at  
13 Parcel B occur naturally as part of God's green earth  
14 here in San Francisco because of the rock types that you  
15 have.

16 So we went out, and we collected samples from  
17 the types of formations that are here. We have the  
18 Hunters Point Shear Zone, and we have the Marin thrust  
19 sheet also, they're -- the geologic terms for the rock  
20 here. So we looked in the city where we can -- where we  
21 can find those similar geologic units, and we took  
22 samples from them.

23 And we have -- you have -- In this rock just  
24 naturally we have arsenic; you have iron; you have  
25 nickel. You have these things that cause our risk

1 estimates to go up to exceed our cleanup levels at  
2 Hunters Point. If I -- if I go anywhere in the city,  
3 it's going to be similar to that, I think.

4           And so we maintain that if the Navy is  
5 responsible for a spill or a release, we want to clean  
6 that up, and we want to clean it up to low levels. But  
7 if we have to tackle the metals that make up the bedrock  
8 and the fill material, then, you know, it's David and  
9 Goliath.

10           MR. TISDELL: But you also added contaminants  
11 to the natural -- well, God's contaminants, and you add  
12 your contaminants --

13           MS. PIERCE: Thank you.

14           MR. TISDELL: -- as double contaminants.

15           MR. BROOKS: Any -- anyplace where we have a  
16 spill or a release, it's our intention to clean it up to  
17 the levels that are in the ROD, the low cleanup levels  
18 in the ROD. So if we have -- we have the oils and the  
19 solvents and that kind of stuff --

20           MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you --

21           MR. BROOKS: -- we want to clean those up.

22           MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you, Mr. Brooks and  
23 Mr. Forman for your presentation.

24           Mr. Campbell and Mr. Tompkins, if you could  
25 hold your question till after the break so we can give

1 our reporter a rest. We have seven minutes.

2 MS. ATTENDEE: Great.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

4 (Recess 7:06 p.m. to 7:18 p.m.)

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can we readjourn, please?

6 MS. WRIGHT: "Can we adjourn"; is that what  
7 you --?

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry. Thank you. I'm  
9 so far at the end of the night, it's terrible. Can we  
10 reassemble, please? We don't want to readjourn. I  
11 said, "readjourn." I'm, like, losing my mind.

12 MR. FORMAN: So was I. It must be some bug.

13 MS. WRIGHT: That's subliminal --

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Campbell, are you ready  
15 with your questions so we can move the agenda along,  
16 please?

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Sure. I pass --

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can you speak a little  
19 louder, please?

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. The pack -- I'm sorry.

21 MR. FORMAN: We need the microphone.

22 MR. CAMPBELL: Elevated levels of the  
23 groundwater?

24 MR. FORMAN: Maurice, how about --? Yeah.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: You need a microphone or talk

1 louder, please.

2 MR. BROOKS: Maurice, talk about -- more about  
3 the elevated groundwater levels at Parcel B?

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Now ask -- Can you just ask  
5 a specific question?

6 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. That -- that is a  
7 specific question.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Just talk more about it.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

10 MR. BROOKS: Yeah. In groundwater we have two  
11 locations where we exceed our levels set in the ROD.  
12 One is at IR-10, and I talked about the treatability  
13 studies that we're doing to try to reduce those  
14 groundwater levels. And contaminants there are the  
15 chlorinated solvents, trichloroethene, and then we  
16 have --

17 MR. CAMPBELL: That's -- that's a reflection of  
18 the soil also?

19 MR. BROOKS: There's contamination in the soil  
20 also because the spill probably occurred near the  
21 surface, went through the soil and down to the  
22 groundwater.

23 MR. CAMPBELL: All right. Okay.

24 MR. BROOKS: And then we have another place  
25 where we have exceedances in Site 26 for mercury, and

1 this is the one where our trigger level is around .9  
2 parts per billion in the ROD. And we are -- we get  
3 levels in the wells about, like, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

5 The other thing too is your monitoring  
6 locations. Have you been stepping out on your  
7 monitoring locations, or have you been using fixed  
8 monitoring locations?

9 MR. BROOKS: Yeah. For the groundwater, we use  
10 fixed monitoring --

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Well, not in the groundwater,  
12 but the soil, because we heard part of the discussions  
13 on the soil.

14 MR. BROOKS: We don't -- We monitor the soil  
15 during excavation, but we don't keep going back like we  
16 do for the groundwater wells.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

19 MR. CAMPBELL: That was it.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. One final  
21 question.

22 MR. TOMPKINS: On your earlier discussion, you  
23 talked about contamination, natural-occurring elements  
24 within, for example, manganese, which we're concerned  
25 about in the black community because our susceptibility

1 increased to manganese exposure versus whites.

2           If -- it's a naturally occurring; but if the  
3 Navy ground the material up, you increase the surface  
4 area, thus you increase the exposure. Then we're  
5 talking about just mass weight. That's one thing I  
6 don't -- that's common. But you changed the shape, the  
7 physical property, of the particular element.

8           Therefore, you increased the risk health factor  
9 to the community and people of color because it has an  
10 infinitive attachment to melanin in the skin. How do  
11 you address this in terms of our safety?

12           And what is the view of the Health Department  
13 as well on this?

14           MR. BROOKS: Well, San Francisco is a hilly  
15 community. We have got a lot of hills here in San  
16 Francisco. And any time there's a development in San  
17 Francisco that's on a hillside, there's grading that  
18 takes place. In the geotechnical terminology, we call  
19 it cut-fill operations.

20           So you get a bulldozer. You cut from the high  
21 areas, and you fill on a low area so you can make a flat  
22 spot for a road or a house or a restaurant or what have  
23 you.

24           The Shipyard is an example of that where two --  
25 with a bigger scale, we have cut away from the highlands

1 here, pushed it out into the bay to create a flat area  
2 for development.

3           So it's -- it's the way development takes  
4 place. It's the way -- If you live on a hillside, your  
5 house is on a cut-fill pad. Your house is on a cut-fill  
6 pad.

7           You've had a bulldozer go up there before they  
8 built your house. They scraped off the top end of your  
9 hill, and they filled it down on the low end to make a  
10 flat place for your house to sit on.

11           MR. TOMPKINS: Would the Navy, then, be liable  
12 in terms of contamination that was created? Even though  
13 it's a naturally changed form and shape, you increase  
14 the risk. My concern is that, hey, it's contaminated.  
15 We are responsible, if I understand you correctly. The  
16 Navy's responsible for what they create with a  
17 contamination.

18           But in this case, you're responsible, in my  
19 opinion, for the contamination there that, even though  
20 it's a natural element, because you ground it, changed  
21 the form and shape and put us at greater risk.

22           If it's on the hillside and it's one lump  
23 solid, okay, it's not as grave of a risk if it's  
24 granulated powder, dust, in exposure.

25           MR. BROOKS: Okay. On a -- say, for example, a

1 house up here that's built on a cut-fill pad, who's  
2 responsible for that? Because you have ground up the  
3 serpentine rock; you've increased the surface area; it's  
4 got manganese in it; it's got the other metals that I  
5 spoke of. Who's responsible for that?

6 MR. TOMPKINS: And on the house there that me  
7 and my brother purchased, they turned around and sealed  
8 the bottom of it, and the serpentine, so the contractors  
9 assume the liability and responsibility and also gave us  
10 notification of the contamination on the property.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Tompkins --

12 MR. TOMPKINS: So you have to --

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excuse me --

14 MR. TOMPKINS: But --

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Brooks.

16 MR. TOMPKINS: -- my --

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: It sounds like you  
18 answered -- he had a question, and you answered the  
19 question. At this point, we're getting a little  
20 argumentative; and it would seem to me that in order to  
21 either flush this out a little bit more, it needs to be  
22 taken kind of off line, and maybe the Risk Review  
23 Committee might be the right place to do that.

24 MR. BROOKS: Okay. Well, if I was being  
25 argumentative, I want to apologize for that.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry. The argumentative  
2 part is -- is disagree, no, and -- and in doing your  
3 rebuttal kind of issues. So, I mean, there's -- that's  
4 healthy, and we want to encourage that; but at this  
5 point, we don't want to invite the whole group to that.

6 You can talk about that; and maybe if you can  
7 come to some conclusion to that and bring it back to the  
8 whole group, that would be great.

9 MR. TOMPKINS: It's Karen's turn.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

11 MR. FORMAN: So we can have a -- Karen's  
12 sub- --

13 Your committee can meet and we address this?

14 MS. PIERCE: (Nods.)

15 MR. FORMAN: Good.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So can we add that to  
17 our action item list? And Mr. Tompkins, could you make  
18 that report?

19 MR. TOMPKINS: I'd just like to invite state  
20 and health department as well to participate.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. But you will be  
22 prepared at some point or --?

23 MR. TOMPKINS: Sure.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

25 MR. TOMPKINS: She's chairman.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: She's chairman, but can you  
2 be the point person in charge of that making sure that  
3 this question is answered for the full body?

4 MR. TOMPKINS: Certainly.

5 MS. BROWNELL: I just wanted to add this. I --  
6 I would like to say some things, but it's a lot of  
7 details, and it would take a long time; and I would be  
8 happy to come to the subcommittee meeting so we can get  
9 into it.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. And then that way  
11 we'll have it -- we'll have it -- we will have in the  
12 meeting.

13 So can you all coordinate that before we leave  
14 today to make sure that that all happens? And we'll get  
15 that into your subcommittee report, and everybody will  
16 have the benefit of understanding this.

17 MR. KAO: Well, let me just say, this is  
18 ongoing issue we're having with the Navy, and I  
19 certainly -- you know, I agree with your view, and I can  
20 assure you that we will carry that message into our  
21 discussion.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

23 MR. TOMPKINS: Thank you.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

25 All right. We're supposed to have a

1 presentation by the San Francisco Police Department.  
2 They are not here. So -- okay. So can you take five  
3 minutes only and just kind of address what it is you  
4 want them to talk about and maybe what the steps are  
5 that you've taken, and then maybe an update can be for  
6 the next meeting?

7 MR. FORMAN: Sure.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

9 MR. FORMAN: I want to -- yes.

10 Obviously, Sergeant Mark Potter from San  
11 Francisco Police Department isn't -- isn't here. There  
12 must have been some miscommunication. I want to give --  
13 as you know, I want to give anybody the benefit of the  
14 doubt.

15 So let's make an action item for me. I will  
16 get to the bottom of this with SFPD and in --

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: For -- for the benefit of the  
18 people who don't know what you're getting to the bottom  
19 of . . . ?

20 MR. FORMAN: Okay.

21 MR. TOMPKINS: Subject.

22 MR. FORMAN: All right. There was an incident  
23 at Hunters Point where munitions were found, a couple of  
24 munitions that apparently were live munitions.

25 And San Francisco Police Department there --

1 they were found off base -- I'm not exactly sure where  
2 they were discovered -- and they were taken from that  
3 place down through the public roads into Hunters Point.  
4 And at that point, the SFPD bomb squad inspected the  
5 munitions and made a decision to detonate the munitions  
6 on Hunters Point.

7           So they took it to their station there on  
8 Hunters Point, and then they took it out from there with  
9 the bomb squad to a place away from their building,  
10 obviously, and they detonated the munitions there. And  
11 they did all of this without informing the San Francisco  
12 Redevelopment Agency or the US Navy.

13           And a couple of things have happened. We have  
14 written -- The Navy has written SFRA concerning this  
15 issue. And a couple of things we have said in the  
16 letter is that we want to get to the bottom of the  
17 details of how it occurred. We want to make sure  
18 something like this doesn't occur again.

19           And we also pointed out that several of their  
20 actions violated their lease, and we wanted them to know  
21 that we know this and that they will be held accountable  
22 for that and there are penalties in the future for any  
23 violations of the lease. And we wanted to be very  
24 forward on this.

25           The other thing we put in our letter is: We

1 wanted the sergeant in the bomb squad to come and  
2 address the RAB to tell everyone, us and the community,  
3 what occurred from their perspective and then  
4 essentially apologize for the incident and tell us that  
5 it won't happen again.

6           So apparently, again, I want to give the  
7 sergeant the benefit of the doubt; and so I will work to  
8 ensure that Sergeant Mark Potter is at the next RAB  
9 meeting.

10           MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So what we need is to  
11 have time to examine that, what happened and all that.  
12 Mr. Forman is saying he doesn't have all the facts. So  
13 at this point, it doesn't make a lot of sense for us to  
14 have questions about it.

15           MR. TISDELL: Yes, it do. Yes, it do. Yes, it  
16 do.

17           MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, ma'am.

18           Yes, sir.

19           Let's -- Then we need to start with Mr. Brown,  
20 Mr. Tisdell, and then Mr. Campbell. That's the way  
21 the --

22           MR. BROWN: Also --

23           MS. PENDERGRASS: -- hands went up.

24           MR. BROWN: Also, I --

25           MS. PENDERGRASS: And then Ms. Harrison.

1 MR. BROWN: Also, I would like to know, why  
2 does the police up at Parcel A have maneuvers up there?  
3 You know, they'd be shooting, and the lead be coming out  
4 of the guns.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: So, Mr. Brown, are you asking  
6 that that be part of the police report?

7 MR. BROWN: Right, right, exactly.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Ask Mr. Forman to --

9 MS. LUTTON: Practice to --

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can we ask Mr. Forman to  
11 apprise them that this is what we'd like to know at the  
12 next RAB meeting?

13 MR. BROWN: No. That's for Redevelopment --

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: I see.

15 MR. BROWN: -- because that's -- they are their  
16 tenants. They are the landlord right there.

17 MS. LUTTON: They are a nuisance.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So, Mr. Forman,  
19 that -- that makes sense that that would be addressed to  
20 the police department, but to the Redevelopment Agency  
21 as well? Could they be ready to talk about that as  
22 their tenant?

23 MR. FORMAN: Sure. Why don't we make that a  
24 joint action item. Don Capobres from SFRA and I will  
25 get together; and again, we will -- we'll explore what

1 the activities are on Parcel A, and we'll ask SFPD to  
2 come and address that too.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. The activities, but  
4 they were kind of specific. So if you could just make  
5 sure that you address that specifically.

6 MR. FORMAN: Sure.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

8 Mr. Tisdell.

9 MR. TISDELL: I like to say as far as the  
10 police department showing up here, that's just like a  
11 disrespect to the community in which they know and they  
12 did something wrong, and I'm going to leave that there.

13 But I like to address Don Capobres according to  
14 the memorandum of understanding --

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Tisdell, we are not --

16 MR. TISDELL: I have a question. I have a  
17 question.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, sir.

19 MR. TISDELL: And according to the summary of  
20 the master lease restrictions, and I'd like to know the  
21 results of him finding out of the -- of them detonating  
22 ammunitions that the lessee and sublessee are prohibited  
23 from the -- conducting activities that would disturb  
24 surrounding exposed soil. That is -- That includes,  
25 but is not limited to, installing wells, conducting

1 subsurface, excavating, digging, shoring or trenching.

2           And I like to ask Mr. Capobres, what action  
3 have you taken against the police department for  
4 breaking their lease?

5           MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Tisdell?

6           MR. TISDELL: Yes.

7           MS. PENDERGRASS: That question is now on  
8 record. It will be answered.

9           MR. TISDELL: Mr. Capobres is right there.

10          MS. PENDERGRASS: It will be addressed at the  
11 meeting when we discuss that topic. At this --

12          MR. TISDELL: But the topic is on the agenda,  
13 and Mr. Capobres is right there.

14          MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Tisdell, Mr. Capobres is  
15 not on the agenda today to speak, and the San Francisco  
16 Police --

17          MR. TISDELL: But --

18          MS. PENDERGRASS: -- Department is not here  
19 today. You are out of order on that.

20          MR. TISDELL: No. You're out of order.

21          MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah, I would like to request a  
22 meeting from EPA, Michael, if you can find out if  
23 there's any violation of rules, because we don't know  
24 where the munitions were exploded, and we don't know if  
25 it was an IR site or whatever and know it probably went

1 into the air. So that's a concern; and as a member of  
2 the RAB, I'm asking for a review by the EPA.

3 MR. WORK: Okay. Could I --? I'd like to do  
4 some -- It would take some time. I'd like to respond  
5 at the next month's meeting. So I -- Is that all  
6 right?

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

8 MR. WORK: We have some experts on this  
9 subject --

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

11 MR. WORK: -- back in my office, and I'd like  
12 to --

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: So will you be prepared as  
14 well to present next month? Thank you. That would be  
15 great. Thank you.

16 Ms. Harrison?

17 MS. HARRISON: Just one qui- -- just -- I mean,  
18 I had mentioned this to Keith and several other folks  
19 who called me and let me know that that had happened.

20 And it occurred to me that, I mean, outside of  
21 the fact that they were in violation for doing it here,  
22 but they also have this -- this lovely old ugly thing  
23 that they can stick bombs and munition -- munitions in  
24 to explode them in someplace else so they wouldn't  
25 disturb the soil and all that stuff, I mean, even put it

1 in that -- that thing might disturb something here. But  
2 they di- -- they didn't do that.

3           From my understanding, it was done in the open,  
4 away from their building. And I -- you know, if they  
5 have this equipment, why are they u- -- why did they not  
6 use it, one, especially if they were going to explode it  
7 out here and without asking anybody or letting anybody  
8 know? But you have it. Why not use it? I mean --

9           MS. PENDERGRASS: Ms. Harrison, I -- I think  
10 that that's another question that needs to be --

11           MS. HARRISON: Well, it's a question that needs  
12 to be answered.

13           MS. PENDERGRASS: I would also suggest that you  
14 all ask as another question what the future plan is to  
15 have something like that be prepared and in writing.

16           MS. HARRISON: I believe that the second  
17 question is when do you violate that lease and make them  
18 move.

19           MS. ATTENDEE: Yeah.

20           MS. PENDERGRASS: That might be one of those  
21 questions as well. Thank you.

22           So we have all of those on record, and  
23 everybody will be prepared on our next agenda item.

24           And if we might just add this, Joni, if we can  
25 make sure that we allow enough time for community

1 response to that as well next week [sic] and not just  
2 ten minutes, that would be great.

3 All right. So we need to move on now to the  
4 vote on RAB applications and renewal applications. And  
5 Mr. Brown and Mr. Tisdell, I understand, are handling  
6 that. Is that correct?

7 MR. BROWN: (Indicating.)

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Is that correct?

9 MR. TISDELL: What?

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Are you both handling that  
11 or --?

12 MR. BROWN: I don't know --

13 MR. TISDELL: I got it.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Very fine.

15 MR. TISDELL: On the subcommittee meeting on  
16 July 8th in 2003, Mr. Nunley, Mr. Nunley --

17 MS. ATTENDEE: Mr. Nunley.

18 MR. TISDELL: Is he -- is he here?

19 ATTENDEE: Yes.

20 MS. JORGENSEN-RISK: He's over here.

21 MR. TISDELL: Okay.

22 Would you stand up, please.

23 He appeared before and submitted a RAB  
24 application, and he appeared before the Membership &  
25 Bylaws. And I make a motion -- the motion would be made

1 to bring it to the --

2 MS. RAB MEMBER: The full RAB.

3 MR. TISDELL: -- to the full RAB for approval,  
4 and I make a motion that Mr. Albert Nunley be accepted  
5 as a RAB member.

6 MS. HARRISON: I'll second that.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Seconded by Ms. Harrison.  
8 All -- I'll restate the question. All in favor of  
9 accepting Mr. -- and can you state the name again,  
10 please?

11 MS. RAB MEMBER: Nunley.

12 MR. TISDELL: Albert Nun- -- Allen Nunley. I'm  
13 sorry.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Allen Nunley. That's the  
15 motion on the floor. Is there any discussion to that  
16 before I call for the question?

17 (No verbal response elicited.)

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Barring --

19 Yes, sir.

20 MR. MANUEL: I have a question. I was asking  
21 her if she knew who he was. I was late, obviously,  
22 but --

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: He's right here.

24 MR. MANUEL: Okay.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: He's right over here. He's

1 already introduced himself.

2 MR. MANUEL: Oh, okay.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

4 MR. MASON: (Inaudible.)

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry. If you can just  
6 stand up and say your name again.

7 MR. NUNLEY: I'm Allen Nunley.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you. All right.

9 MR. NUNLEY: I'm a resident of Hunters Point.  
10 I'm also a business owner in the Bayview.

11 MR. MANUEL: Oh, okay.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So we have the  
13 question on the floor. I'm going to call it. I'm going  
14 to -- We have a motion on the floor. I'm going to call  
15 it.

16 All in favor of accepting Mr. Nunley as a full  
17 RAB member to this board and body, say, "Aye."

18 THE BOARD: Aye.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: All opposed?

20 (No verbal response elicited.)

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Any abstentions?

22 (No verbal response elicited.)

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. The ayes have it.  
24 Welcome to the table.  
25 And welcome our new RAB member.

1 (Applause.)

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Nunley, if you could join  
3 the table, please. There's a space over here.

4 All right. The second piece of that,  
5 Mr. Tisdell?

6 MR. TISDELL: We have quite a few members who  
7 are -- who are up for renewal, and I make a motion that  
8 Lani Ashner -- A- -- Asher be -- application be renewed.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.  
10 All in favor of Ms. Asher being renewed?

11 THE BOARD: Aye.

12 MR. TISDELL: I make --

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: All the ayes?

14 THE BOARD: Aye.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All opposed?  
16 Any abstentions?

17 (No verbal response elicited.)

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Ms. Asher's  
19 membership has now been renewed.

20 MR. TISDELL: Okay. I make a motion that  
21 Barbara Bushnell application be accepted for renewal.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can we do this in bulk, or do  
23 I have to do each one?

24 MR. FORMAN: No, I don't think we have to do  
25 each one.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We'll do each one.

2 MR. TISDELL: One at a time.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

4 MR. TISDELL: Miss Barbara Bushnell -- I make a  
5 motion that Bar- -- Miss Barbara Bushnell --

6 MS. PIERCE: Second.

7 MR. TISDELL: -- a- -- application be accepted  
8 for renewal.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We have a second on  
10 that. Any questions or discussion?

11 (No verbal response elicited.)

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: All in favor of Ms. Bushnell  
13 being renewed, say, "Aye."

14 THE BOARD: Aye.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: All opposed?

16 (No verbal response elicited.)

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Any abstentions?

18 (No verbal response elicited.)

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Ayes have it.

20 MR. TISDELL: I make a motion that Marie  
21 Harrison application for renewal be accepted.

22 MR. MASON: I second.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: That's been seconded.

24 All in favor of Ms. Harrison being renewed RAB  
25 member, say, "Aye."

1 THE BOARD: Aye.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: All opposed?

3 MR. TISDELL: I make a motion --

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Abstentions?

5 (No verbal response elicited.)

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: The ayes have it.

7 MR. TISDELL: I make a motion that Jesse Mason

8 application be accepted for renewal.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: I need a second.

10 THE BOARD: Second.

11 (Laughter.)

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Seconded.

13 All right. All in favor of accepting Mr. --

14 who are we --? I'm sorry.

15 ATTENDEE: Jesse Mason.

16 THE BOARD: Aye.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: How many more do we have to

18 do?

19 Okay. I'll tell you what. This is what we are

20 going to do. We are going to do the rest of these this

21 way.

22 Okay. Can you name them? And we'll have them

23 stand up, please. Go ahead and name the rest of the

24 people for a renewal.

25 MR. TISDELL: Carolyn -- Caroline Washington,

1 Asimchai [sic] --

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Ms. Washington and  
3 Ms. Sumchai is not here.

4 MR. TISDELL: -- Lynne Brown, Raymond Tompkins,  
5 and myself --

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Stand up.

7 MR. TISDELL: -- J. R. Manuel --

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Manuel.

9 MR. TISDELL: -- Mits- --

10 MS. WRIGHT: Mitsuyo.

11 MR. TISDELL: Yeah, Mitsuyo -- Mitsuyo and  
12 Leilani Wright.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Are those folks all  
14 here?

15 MR. TISDELL: Everybody but Mitsuyo.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So at this point --  
17 and Ms. Harrison, can you stand up and the other people  
18 that were named and motioned earlier? So Ms. Asher.  
19 Who else?

20 MS. RAB MEMBER: Already --

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: No. Go ahead. Just humor  
22 me, please.

23 MS. HARRISON: That's like a double action.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Humor me, please.  
25 Ms. Bushnell. All right. Excuse me.

1 MR. TOMPKINS: There you go.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: So are these all the people  
3 that are being --?

4 MR. BROWN: Lani.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Lani?  
6 Anyone else that's being renewed today?

7 MS. HARRISON: Yes. Ms. Washington is, but  
8 she's ill.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: So Ms. Washington will not be  
10 renewed today. Who else will not be?

11 MR. TISDELL: Mits- -- Mits- -- Mitsu- --  
12 Mitsuyo --

13 MS. WRIGHT: Yes.

14 MR. TISDELL: Mitsuyo isn't here.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

16 MR. TISDELL: And Asimchai's [sic] not here.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So that's --

18 MR. MANUEL: Three.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- three.

20 All right. The following people -- We're  
21 going to make one motion and get everybody in the one  
22 motion so that it will be on the record. Ms. Marie  
23 Harrison, Ms. Barbara Bushnell, Mr. J. R. Manuel -- I'm  
24 sorry.

25 MS. WRIGHT: Leilani Wright.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- Leilani Wright, Mr. Lynne  
2 Brown, Mr. Ray Tompkins, Ms. Lani Asher, and Mr. --  
3 MR. MANUEL: We already voted --  
4 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- Jesse Mason --  
5 MR. MANUEL: We already voted on him, didn't  
6 we?  
7 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- and Mr. Keith Tisdell are  
8 all up for renewal as RAB members. Is there a second to  
9 that motion? Who's going to make that motion first?  
10 MS. RAB MEMBER: I second. He made the motion.  
11 MS. PENDERGRASS: You can't make the motion as  
12 a party to the motion.  
13 MS. PIERCE: I make -- I move that we renew  
14 all of the individuals named.  
15 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you. Okay.  
16 Have we got a second on that?  
17 MS. PIERCE: Somebody second on this, Maurice.  
18 MS. PENDERGRASS: That's seconded by  
19 Mr. Campbell. Trying to get this on the record. All  
20 right. Do you have all that?  
21 THE REPORTER: (Nods.)  
22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Any discussion prior  
23 to calling the question?  
24 MR. MASON: Yeah, yeah, discussion.  
25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes.

1 MR. MASON: I'm changing my affiliation from  
2 Bayview Advocates to Community First.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

4 Is there any discussion to that, Mr. Tisdell?

5 MR. TISDELL: You need to come to the  
6 Membership & Bylaws in order to do that.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All right.

8 All in favor of the motion as it stands, say,  
9 "Aye."

10 THE BOARD: Aye.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: All opposed?

12 (No verbal response elicited.)

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Any abstentions?

14 (No verbal response elicited.)

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: The following people have now  
16 been renewed their membership.

17 (Applause.)

18 MR. TISDELL: And --

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you, Christine. I'm  
20 sorry. I want to make sure that that got in the record  
21 correctly. Thank you.

22 MR. TISDELL: And announcement from the  
23 Membership & Bylaws Subcommittee, there will be  
24 elections for a leader, of the subcommittee co-chair.  
25 If you're interested in taking over the subcommittee,

1 please show up at the August meeting. And at the August  
2 meeting, any amendments that you would like to present  
3 to -- for the bylaws, you need to have them at the  
4 committee meeting in which they will be presented to the  
5 September RAB meeting for approval.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Mr. Tisdell, you said  
7 two things I heard. So I just want to make sure. Every  
8 subcommittee that has a --

9 MR. TISDELL: No, not every subcommittee.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: That's why I'm asking.

11 MR. TISDELL: No.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Which one?

13 MR. TISDELL: I say, "Membership & Bylaws  
14 Subcommittee" --

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

16 MR. TISDELL: -- will be holding a leader vote.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

18 MR. TISDELL: Not everybody. Just that one.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Very good. Very good. Okay.  
20 Very good.

21 MR. MASON: What date? August what?

22 MR. TISDELL: It's August the 12th. It's at  
23 the library from 6:00 to 8:00.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

25 And then the second part of that was if you --

1 if you had any -- anybody who had amendments --  
2 MR. TISDELL: Amendments to the bylaws.  
3 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- or recommendations for  
4 changes to the bylaws, you are to submit those to --  
5 MR. TISDELL: -- me or -- or -- or --  
6 MR. RAB MEMBER: Joni.  
7 MR. TISDELL: Oh -- Joni at I.T.S.I.  
8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. And then they will be  
9 discussed at the --  
10 MR. TISDELL: They will be discussed at the  
11 August 12th Membership & Bylaws and presented to the RAB  
12 in September.  
13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Very good.  
14 Does everybody have that --  
15 MS. HARRISON: Yes.  
16 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- understand this?  
17 And be mi- -- be mindful that our current  
18 bylaws only --  
19 MR. TISDELL: -- changes once a year.  
20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Exactly. Thank you.  
21 MR. TOMPKINS: What time?  
22 MR. TISDELL: 6:00 to 8:00.  
23 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you very  
24 much. Mr. Tisdell, do you have anything else to add to  
25 your subcommittee report before we move on?

1 MR. TISDELL: No.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you, sir.

3 We are moving it quickly into the subcommittee  
4 reports. And if we could have the Risk Review & Health  
5 Assessment Subcommittee. Karen? Who's doing that  
6 report?

7 MR. JORGENSEN-RISK: Apparently, nobody.

8 MS. PIERCE: Well, we met. But I thought . . .

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Did you do a report?

10 MS. PIERCE: Who's doing that report?

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We'll move on to the  
12 Economic Development report. We'll come back to you.

13 MR. CAMPBELL: Sure. The Economic Subcommittee  
14 met on July the 8th. Chon Son, who is the Navy contract  
15 specialist --

16 MR. BROWN: Stand up, Chon.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Chon. Stand up and identify  
18 yourself, please.

19 MR. SON: I'm sorry.

20 MR. CAMPBELL: Some of the things we talked  
21 about is local participation and how to smoothen out how  
22 things could work as a local community, okay. And Chon  
23 made some presentations, what some of the goals are and  
24 basically looking at instead of something -- very large  
25 contracts, producing some contracts for the 8As. And I

1 think that's where we are.

2 Chon, you have anything to add to that?

3 MR. SON: Well, at the -- at the last --

4 MR. MASON: Can't hear you. I can't hear you.

5 MR. SON: At the last subcommittee meeting two  
6 weeks ago at the meeting at the library --

7 MR. CAMPBELL: Right, right.

8 MR. SON: -- the first point was that we  
9 wanted -- the committee wanted the Navy to fund a local  
10 community office through which all the contracts would  
11 be run through for subcontracting opportunities, meaning  
12 that a local office would --

13 MR. CAMPBELL: A local da- --

14 MR. SON: -- suggest and recommend --

15 MR. CAMPBELL: A local database.

16 MR. SON: Right.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Right.

18 MR. SON: For the local vendors and  
19 contractors.

20 The problem is, statutorily or regulatorily  
21 [sic], we, the Navy, cannot force our prime contractors  
22 to a certain database populated with vendors. Then  
23 we'll be violating our own rules and statutes.

24 So even if we did find a way to fund such an  
25 effort, it would not result in necessarily more local

1 business, more businesses getting involved in more  
2 revenue-generating opportunities for themselves.

3           So the next best thing that I was thinking,  
4 flying in on that day, was, since it was being compete  
5 amongst the local business, for instance, trucking work,  
6 why don't we, the Navy, ask our primes -- instead of  
7 competing and having only one trucking business at a  
8 time getting the benefit, why don't we ask our primes to  
9 use all the trucking firms that are prequalified,  
10 licensed -- properly licensed and certified and with the  
11 means to support the trucking needs?

12           I talked with Foster Wheeler about this, and I  
13 will talk with other prime contractors we have already  
14 in place to look into that possibility, because, for  
15 instance, if one trucking company gets a haul,  
16 200 hauls, and the remaining prequalified truckers don't  
17 have any, then it's not really benefiting the committee  
18 as a whole.

19           And instead I'm going to ask our primes to  
20 consider using as many prequalified local trucking  
21 companies as possible each time to not compete, rather  
22 than competing, use two, three, maybe four, depending on  
23 how large the requirement is at a time so that more or  
24 less the opportunity -- the revenue opportunity is  
25 spread throughout the community.

1           And it also gives a chance for the local  
2 trucking -- I would call it industry to have a one  
3 voice, meaning they will be stronger in terms of maybe  
4 negotiating per-haul price for that price. Right now it  
5 is a low bid.

6           MR. BROWN: Right.

7           MR. SON: I don't think that is smart, the  
8 smartest way to get more revenue out of our prime  
9 contractors. So that's what I suggested at the  
10 subcommittee meeting.

11          MR. BROWN: Right.

12          MR. SON: I think it is possible that we can  
13 have it. And I also indicated to at least Foster  
14 Wheeler that if -- it will more cost involved, because  
15 now you're dealing with more than what -- one  
16 subcontractor either scheduling, manning the schedule,  
17 or whatever, then the Navy will also consider  
18 reimbursing the primes for that extra effort.

19          But we all know scheduling is scheduling,  
20 whether you have to deal with one company or four. It  
21 is just a matter of how efficient you are and how  
22 effective you are.

23          So I don't see a whole lot of additional cost  
24 that we, the Navy, have to pay out. But as a result,  
25 I'm hoping that the committee as a whole, meaning the

1 trucking community in the community, will benefit more  
2 than just the one company.

3           And then I'm just using trucking as an example,  
4 but it can happen with -- and there were other issues,  
5 such as the future projects that Hunters Point will  
6 have.

7           I will pass the information through the  
8 Economic Subcommittee as they take place, the scope and  
9 the other subcontract opportunities that we --

10           MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

11           MR. TOMPKINS: Good.

12           MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

13           Mr. Mason, did you have any other -- anything  
14 else to add to your report before we move on?

15           MR. MASON: One of our biggest concerns in the  
16 community is that in the beginning with IT engineers, we  
17 had developed the four community truckers to bid on the  
18 project. But at some point, you know, we have one  
19 contractor that's been basically lowballing the others  
20 and -- and what he considers a competitive situation.

21           What Chon Son has basically set up for us is  
22 that, you know, these -- these prime contractors can do  
23 a task order or purchase order to allow all the  
24 contractors to benefit from this.

25           MS. PENDERGRASS: We --

1 MR. MASON: This is what we are looking for.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We understood that.

3 MR. MASON: Okay. I'm just trying to make a  
4 point. Please.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Sorry.

6 MR. MASON: Lost my point.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry.

8 MR. BROWN: Yeah, senior moment.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: No. It was my fault for  
10 setting him off.

11 And I'm sorry, Mr. Mason.

12 MR. MASON: Yeah. And -- and that's our --  
13 that's our biggest concern, because we think that with  
14 all the work that the Navy is projecting, that -- all  
15 the work that the Navy is projecting, we think that the  
16 community needs to benefit.

17 One of our biggest concerns, though, Chon, is  
18 that we need to discuss this, and we need to discuss  
19 this with all the contractors at the Economic Committee  
20 meeting, Foster Wheeler, I.T.S.I., E.R.R.G., --

21 MR. ATTENDEE: Shaw.

22 MR. MASON: -- Shaw, K.C. -- K.C.K. or whatever  
23 it is. They all need to be at our next Economic meeting  
24 because we need to make sure that the community does  
25 participate.

1           In doing a regional bid, there's not an  
2 obligation to the community; but we need to make sure  
3 that there is, because these guys were basically coming  
4 into the community, taking money out. We need to keep  
5 the money here for our community truckers.

6           MR. CAMPBELL: One final thing. The next  
7 Economic Committee meeting will be on August the 12th --

8           MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

9           MR. CAMPBELL: -- at 3 o'clock at the library.

10          MR. MANUEL: I have a question, madam.

11          MS. PENDERGRASS: Are you --? Is this  
12 regarding their subcommittee report?

13          MR. MANUEL: Yes, it is. Yes.

14          You know, I guess one of my concerns is -- is  
15 as we did have the last meeting, people are put in a  
16 position to vote for and expect certain progress along  
17 the lines of getting more community participation in,  
18 for example, the trucking and the hauling, et cetera.

19          And I think that what I'm hearing is: There's  
20 a possibility of something, and I think it would be good  
21 for people to know particularly what they can do as a  
22 mechanism to participate instead of every meeting we  
23 have something new that people get their hopes up for  
24 and then end up getting let down.

25          And what I would like to suggest is the

1 possibility that you form a community-based consortium  
2 of truckers and as a block bid on these items, because I  
3 don't -- I -- I don't believe that the Navy is at  
4 liberty to get beyond arm's-length transaction with  
5 their sub -- with their contractor.

6           So I believe that the best possible thing would  
7 be -- is if the truckers in the community get together,  
8 form a group and bid as a block; and then that way they  
9 can rotate who goes out and does the -- the jobs.

10           MR. MASON: I need to let you know -- I need --

11           MS. PENDERGRASS: But let me just touch this  
12 kind of just for one minute, because, Mr. Manuel, I  
13 appreciate your comments. However, this -- this is an  
14 alternate and certainly another way -- option of  
15 thinking about how to address the problem that you  
16 restated.

17           The subcommittee is the place to talk that  
18 through and to make those recommendations and one  
19 recommendation come to -- come to the full RAB board.

20           MR. MANUEL: Okay.

21           MS. PENDERGRASS: So just --

22           MR. MANUEL: I would agree with you, madam, but  
23 the only problem is -- is that we customarily vote on  
24 these at these meetings, and I think people are being  
25 not served by us if we don't make sure that what they

1 are buying into is viable. I think we are here to make  
2 sure that we progress and the community progresses, not  
3 to have people make an offer that they can't keep.

4           And I believe that if we go and get an expert  
5 in these areas of government contracting -- I do have a  
6 friend who's an -- also an attorney who wrote the book  
7 on government contracting. So I think if you could --  
8 if you've got someone who knows what they are doing,  
9 then we could put a mechanism together that we can be --  
10 once and for all get it done so the people don't keep  
11 getting their hopes up. That's all I'm saying.

12           MS. PENDERGRASS: I -- and I think that's --  
13 that's an excellent idea; and if that can be  
14 incorporated into the subcommittee next meeting, I think  
15 that that would be -- that would solve that problem in  
16 terms of what to bring to the full board. So we have --

17           Yes.

18           MR. CAMPBELL: One thing. We do have an  
19 association --

20           MR. BROWN: Right.

21           MR. CAMPBELL: -- trucking association that is  
22 doing that exactly.

23           MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

24           MR. CAMPBELL: So that's one.

25           We also have references to contractor database,

1 and Chon will tell you what the target goals are. I  
2 believe the Economic Committee report talks about  
3 certain percentages --

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

5 MR. CAMPBELL: -- and what the target  
6 percentages are with the Navy.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. I -- I do,  
8 though, hear Mr. Manuel's concerns.

9 And if we could make sure that those concerns  
10 are brought to the subcommittee level, Mr. Manuel, so  
11 that --

12 MR. MANUEL: Sure.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- that those -- you know,  
14 that all of those things can be brought into  
15 consideration when a final recommendation is put forth  
16 to the full board.

17 Okay. What's the next committee . . . ? Risk  
18 Assessment.

19 Karen, are you ready? Are you going to read  
20 your report or --?

21 MS. PIERCE: I think --

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Were you on that one?

23 MS. ASHER: Yeah. I mean --

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Which --? Now, which  
25 committee is this one?

1 MS. ASHER: It's Technical & Risk Review.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

3 MS. ASHER: And this is -- actually, everybody  
4 got the notes from Lea, who's not here today. So I'm  
5 not -- I was there present. So I'm just going to  
6 paraphrase a few things in here.

7 The regulators were present, and we talked  
8 about groundwater and groundwater monitoring, the number  
9 of wells and how you should -- groundwater measuring  
10 should be done and that -- at the same day or within a  
11 short period or amount of time. And we talked about  
12 the -- the pipes underground that would affect the  
13 groundwater.

14 So let's see. We talked about that groundwater  
15 does, in fact, go into the bay; and that's another  
16 reason to locate the groundwater conduits, the pipes.

17 Excuse me if I'm butchering the meeting, but  
18 I'm just making a short presentation.

19 Okay. We talked about groundwater movement and  
20 plume movement. There's general agreement amongst the  
21 BCT that we need a basewide groundwater monitoring plan  
22 to set up a systematic approach to monitoring  
23 groundwater on a regular basis.

24 And lastly, we talked about the breach of the  
25 barrier wall for the landfill extraction system and

1 because of -- we didn't have enough time to talk about  
2 it, but it's an issue that this committee is concerned  
3 about; and we want to bring -- you know, discuss it  
4 further with the Navy.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Did you -- did you have any  
6 recommendations or questions?

7 MS. ASHER: We -- we had -- did not have a  
8 chance opport- -- an opportunity to address it in the  
9 meeting 'cause we didn't have enough time. But we  
10 like -- we would like to know the details of the breach  
11 in the -- in the barrier wall.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: And when's the next meeting  
13 or --?

14 MS. ASHER: I don't know.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

16 MS. LUTTON: I need to add something.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you, Ms. Asher.

18 Yes, ma'am.

19 MS. LUTTON: In terms of the Technical  
20 Review --

21 MS. PIERCE: Speak up.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

23 MS. LUTTON: In terms of the Technical Review  
24 Subcommittee, there is a -- a letter that we have  
25 circulated among a lot of people. And basically, it's

1 addressed to Mr. Forman, and it is about the  
2 time-critical removal actions which you have and we had  
3 talked about together.

4           It's not that we want -- We -- we know that we  
5 need to speed things up, and we don't want to be  
6 dragging our -- dragging prog- -- program along.

7           So we just outlined three different criteria  
8 for t- -- time-critical removal actions that we would  
9 like the Navy to commit to. And it's in this letter,  
10 and if there's anybody else that would like to sign onto  
11 this, and we just want this in the record that . . .

12           MS. ASHER: -- while you are at it.

13           MS. PENDERGRASS: Now, did you -- did you  
14 all -- did you all prepare a letter for the full board  
15 to review before submitting a letter?

16           MS. ASHER: Lea -- Lea wrote this letter, and  
17 I'm passing it around because she's out of town, and  
18 that's sort of the nature of it.

19           MS. PENDERGRASS: Let -- let's just try to make  
20 sure that we are all kind of clear about it, because I  
21 think one of the things that the subcommittees are  
22 supposed to do is make sure that you discuss things  
23 fully and kind of come to kind of consensus, but then  
24 bring it to the full board so that everybody can be on  
25 board with it, and then make some kind of recommendation

1 about what to do, either pass a motion or what have you.

2           So you have a letter here, and it sounds to me  
3 like you want to -- you want to --

4           MS. ASHER: You know what, I appreciate your  
5 remarks --

6           MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry.

7           MS. ASHER: -- but I'm not respon- --

8           We put together this letter.

9           MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

10          MS. ASHER: I see your point, but we didn't get  
11 that far with this whole thing.

12          MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

13          MS. ASHER: She asked me to bring it to this  
14 meeting in her absence; and, you know, I think --

15          MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

16          MS. ASHER: -- that it's a very short letter.  
17 There's -- and it talks about critical removal actions,  
18 and I -- I'm not really qualified to discuss that like  
19 she is.

20          So -- But if anybody who hasn't seen the  
21 letter would like to read it, and it's up to you whether  
22 or not you'd like to sign it.

23          MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

24          Any other discussion on that point? I mean,  
25 I'm totally out of order myself for even mentioning

1 that.

2 Karen? Okay. Fine? Okay.

3 So the minutes will reflect that a letter was  
4 passed to the Navy with signatures -- the letter was not  
5 read --

6 MS. LUTTON: (Inaudible.)

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- regarding the  
8 time-critical removal action, and that's -- that's all  
9 we have.

10 So is there anything to add to the action items  
11 in terms of reply or response?

12 (No verbal response elicited.)

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

14 MS. PIERCE: So --

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

16 MS. PIERCE: So to finish that committee  
17 report, though, we didn't set a date for the next joint  
18 meeting; and since there was an issue that came up in  
19 this meeting for the Risk Review Committee, we have set  
20 a date.

21 The next Risk Review Committee meeting will be  
22 August 19th, 5:30 to 7:00, at the HEPA office in Milton  
23 Meyers gym, 195 Kiska Road.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: What date was that again?

25 MS. PIERCE: 19th. Tuesday, the 19th of

1 August.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Very good.

3 MS. LUTTON: What time?

4 MS. PIERCE: 5:30.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

6 Okay. We have got Membership & Bylaws, Risk &  
7 Technical -- did we do radiological issues?

8 MS. RAB MEMBER: No.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

10 And do you have that for him?

11 MS. JORGENSEN-RISK: You need a microphone?

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you. Joni, you're  
13 doing a great job.

14 MS. JORGENSEN-RISK: Working.

15 MR. DA COSTA: So the Radiological Subcommittee  
16 meeting was held at the Greenhouse on June the 25th, and  
17 we had in attendance Willie Ratcliff, Mesha Irizarry,  
18 Ahimsa Sumchai, Andrew Bozeman, Keith Forman, Marty  
19 Offenhauer, Pat Brooks, Sam Wright, Dana Lanza,  
20 Shoshannah Bramlett, Maurice Franklin, Caroline  
21 Washington --

22 MS. HARRISON: Maurice or Marie?

23 MR. DA COSTA: Maurice Franklin, Caroline  
24 Washington, and Karen Williams. The introductions were  
25 made.

1           And I'm -- I'm reading this on behalf of Ahimsa  
2 Sumchai, and I'll --

3           MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

4           MR. DA COSTA: -- try to be brief.

5           MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Da Costa, can I just ask  
6 you to just -- if there's any action items or summary of  
7 that, 'cause we -- it -- we're really running short on  
8 time.

9           MR. DA COSTA: Okay.

10          The introductions were made, and the new lead  
11 Remedial Project Manager for the Hunters Point who was  
12 replacing Dave DeMars was introduced; and former RAB  
13 community co-chair, Caroline Washington, and a guest,  
14 Maurice Franklin, came.

15          (Reading): . . . the questions regarding the  
16 status of the shipyard development plans.

17          "Keith Forman provided an update on  
18 Radiological operations and the two major on-going  
19 projects . . . ."

20          Mr. Forman responded to a specific question  
21 about the status of funding for the cleanup . . . .

22          Mr. Forman reported on the status of the  
23 radiological investigation on the base and reassured --  
24 and reassured those in attendance that off-base sites  
25 near Mariner's Village housing development had "been

1 confirmed to have been used for administrative purposes  
2 only . . . ."

3 "Mr. Forman's presentation was followed by a  
4 discussion which touched upon" some issues, "including  
5 the status of FUDS on Parcel A West" and "the Parcel A  
6 Conveyance Agreement . . . ."

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Da Costa, please, don't  
8 read the rest of the report.

9 MR. DA COSTA: It's a big report, and I'm just  
10 reading a few sentences.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes.

12 MR. DA COSTA: Okay. I think so -- it's doing  
13 an injustice, okay, because the Radiological  
14 Subcommittee is playing an important role. Mr. Forman  
15 was there. We had a very long meeting. And if you  
16 don't allow everybody here to know exactly what happens,  
17 I -- I think it's doing injustice to the RAB.

18 And by the way, I've not only participated in  
19 this RAB, but I've participated in many other RABs. And  
20 what I'm doing is just reading a few sentences.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, sir. Thank you.

22 MR. DA COSTA: So be patient with me, please.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, sir.

24 MR. DA COSTA: (Reading): A lively discussion  
25 about Parcel E followed with Mr. Forman -- with --

1 Mr. Forman emphasized that the "close out report for the  
2 landfill gas is mandatory prior to an effort to transfer  
3 Parcel A . . . ."

4           And there's much more, but I'll just conclude  
5 by saying that the next Radiological meeting will be  
6 held on the 27th at the Greenhouse from 6 to 8 p.m.  
7 Thank you.

8           MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.

9           And before -- before we adjourn, is -- are  
10 there any questions from the audience tonight?

11          MR. TISDELL: Who?

12          MS. PENDERGRASS: Do we have comments from the  
13 audience?

14          MR. MANUEL: Just one little brief one.

15          Maurice, were you at this meeting, or was it  
16 Marie Franklin he's talking about?

17          MS. HARRISON: No. It was Maurice. There  
18 is -- It was Maurice Franklin. It is a gentleman.

19          MR. MANUEL: Oh, there's another one?

20          MS. HARRISON: Yes.

21          MR. MANUEL: Oh, okay.

22          MS. HARRISON: There's a definite difference.

23          MR. MANUEL: Okay. I just want to make sure.

24          MS. HARRISON: There's a she and there's a he.

25 Take my word for it. There's a definite difference.

1           MR. MANUEL: I thought maybe they got married  
2 or something.

3           MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Before we move  
4 on, is -- are there any other announcements  
5 from the full RAB before we ask audience if they have  
6 any announcements or questions?

7                         (No verbal response elicited.)

8           MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

9           Mr. Forman, did you have --?

10          MR. FORMAN: Yes. I just had a quick  
11 announcement. I'm speaking for -- as you know, Laurie  
12 Lowman from the Radiological Affairs Support Office is  
13 not here this month. We will be dealing with other  
14 issues.

15          She's still working very hard on the HRA with  
16 her team. They have recently put out a new schedule  
17 this week. And she needs to complete the interviews and  
18 then complete interviews generated from those  
19 interviews. She also needs to do more work on the rest  
20 of the documents and complete reviewing all of the  
21 recently declassified documents.

22          So she's got a lot of work to do. And instead  
23 of the draft final HRA coming out in August, she's now  
24 set the date at November 4th as the release date. And  
25 between now and then, she's going to be working very

1 hard to tie up all the loose ends.

2           She also wants to say thank you to  
3 Mr. Campbell. They have been in touch with you. And  
4 you've helped in trying to locate Tom Olson. She  
5 appreciates that, and the work that was generated from  
6 that is going to be added into the HRA.

7           MS. HARRISON: So she actually got in touch  
8 with him?

9           MR. FORMAN: Yes, I believe so. But there are  
10 follow-ups that need to be done.

11           MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Are there any  
12 questions from anybody that's a witness today?

13           Yes, ma'am.

14           MS. SANTANA: Just two brief questions. One is  
15 specifically on the radiological assessment. I was just  
16 wondering if as part of the -- as part of the  
17 radiological assessment report whether the work that was  
18 done specifically on the USS Killen that was -- was in  
19 Operation Hardtack was also going to be part of that  
20 report.

21           MR. FORMAN: Yes. In fact, we have had two  
22 presentations where -- in fact, the last one when Laurie  
23 was here, she talked about the USS Killen and Operation  
24 Hardtack.

25           MS. SANTANA: Right. I -- I saw the -- the

1 minutes. I was wondering if you have more detail. It  
2 just said something about experiments, but it was really  
3 no details, not the kind of detail that I saw in the  
4 Operation --

5 MR. FORMAN: Yes. She's going to provide --  
6 You'll see more details in the HRA. Anything that she  
7 has on it, she will include it.

8 MS. SANTANA: Okay.

9 And the other question, I'm just curious,  
10 where -- if there's going to be any discussion, would it  
11 be at the RAB meetings, or would it be at one of the  
12 subcommittee meetings about the recent fires and some  
13 concerns that I've heard expressed about what connection  
14 they may have with -- with the -- the capping of  
15 Parcel E and possible movement of -- of toxics? I'm  
16 just wondering where that -- where that discussion might  
17 be taking place.

18 MR. FORMAN: There's no discussion planned.  
19 There is no connection between any of the fires and the  
20 cap on the landfill or any movement of contaminants at  
21 all.

22 The fires -- actually, all of the fires  
23 recently discovered started off the base and then  
24 migrated onto the base, as fires do.

25 A couple of those -- actually, three of them

1 involve a corner of Parcel A; and if you go to the  
2 corner of Earl and La Salle, you'll see the scorched  
3 area there. In total there, it's about -- it's about  
4 2 acres that was scorched.

5           The other fires: A major fire that started on  
6 July 21st started over near this end of Yosemite Slough  
7 in property that is off of the base and spread only  
8 slightly onto the panhandle of Parcel E. But there  
9 really isn't much there except scrub and brush.

10           The other fire was a re-ignition of the original  
11 fire that occurred in the area where concrete blocks  
12 were located in the panhandle on part -- part of the  
13 fire.

14           MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. It would -- it would  
15 seem to me that based on that question and your response  
16 that there might be a little bit more to add to that.  
17 It might be to include that on the next agenda --

18           MR. TOMPKINS: Yes.

19           MS. PENDERGRASS: -- in terms of the list of  
20 the fires and what's happened.

21           MR. FORMAN: Okay. Well, every one of the  
22 fires has been included in a CNP message. I have to  
23 know a little bit more guidance on what to talk about  
24 other than that.

25           MR. CAMPBELL: A critical point, Keith.

1 MR. FORMAN: Sure.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: In that panhandle, there are a  
3 couple of radiological locations that have not been --

4 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't hear you.  
5 With all the other discussions going around this room, I  
6 can't hear you.

7 MR. CAMPBELL: In that panhandle --

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: And -- and we did -- and  
9 you've changed the subject in terms of -- I thought you  
10 were adding to this question.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: No, I am.  
12 There are a couple of locations there -- There  
13 are a couple locations in that panhandle --

14 MR. FORMAN: Yes.

15 MR. CAMPBELL: -- that are radiological  
16 locations that were not remediated.

17 MR. FORMAN: Right.

18 MR. CAMPBELL: And they were going to upgrade  
19 those maps. Those maps were never upgraded. It's in  
20 the panhandle.

21 MR. FORMAN: Yeah, but I -- I know, but you  
22 made the assertion "those maps." What maps?

23 MR. BROWN: The maps.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: When Richard Mach was here, the  
25 last map that covered that particular area, he showed

1 that area with no radiological locations.

2           After we questioned and went back and reviewed  
3 the information, we found out yes, there was some areas  
4 that had not been remediated. Now we are having a fire  
5 again there. So can we have an updated map also?

6           MR. FORMAN: That will be included in the HRA.  
7 And you're right, there were two -- there are -- there  
8 were two areas specifically in the molten slag area that  
9 were hits in that area. Now, it -- but it's worth  
10 mentioning. I want to clarify here --

11           MR. CAMPBELL: Sure.

12           MR. FORMAN: -- that the -- the fire I was  
13 responding to that I was talking about in the panhandle  
14 is not near that slag area in those two hits.

15           MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Thank you.

16           MR. FORMAN: It's actually very close near it.  
17 If you go down there, you know where the lone telephone  
18 pole is sticking out from the fence?

19           MR. BROWN: Right.

20           MR. FORMAN: If you go back from that towards  
21 the area where there's a tree that sits in a dip, in  
22 that area is where the fire was located. And that's not  
23 the same area as where the slag area is.

24           But you make a good point for that. That  
25 updated map is going to be included in the HRA.

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

2 MR. FORMAN: Sure.

3 MR. TOMPKINS: In the adjacent property in the  
4 area where the fire occurred, previous discussions was  
5 brought up that DDT was in the adjacent property, and  
6 that DDT breaks down to DD5, which we know acts in women  
7 as estrogen, which is catalyst for breast cancer.

8 I would suggest that when -- as I repeatedly  
9 asked for, in this -- for the reports when we get  
10 announcements that since we don't know where all the  
11 chemicals are at -- we only know partial -- that they  
12 should be handled as possible hazardous material in a  
13 breakdown.

14 That fire was -- was reported, but no one  
15 talked about the DDT being on the adjacent property  
16 where it emanated from and blew on the Navy's property.  
17 It was a known fact that DDT was there when I first came  
18 on board two years ago. And then DDT breaks down to  
19 DD5.

20 So it's like there's a risk factor that's being  
21 blown off, and I guess we'll have to address it again in  
22 the Health Risk Committee and that where -- the fires  
23 occurring that there are risk factors that are not being  
24 addressed in these fires, and they are not being put out  
25 properly. It's bad science. That's the best way, lot

1 of B.S., bad science.

2 MR. BROWN: We watched the -- we watched the  
3 smoke, Keith and I did. And the smoke went east, but it  
4 circled around back this way, came back in through -- it  
5 did. It did.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. And Ms. Harrison had a  
7 question.

8 MS. HARRISON: I was just wondering, because of  
9 the close proximity of these fires and the -- and the --  
10 the natural movement of the underground gases and stuff  
11 from Parcel E and other areas, are you quite sure that  
12 some of the gas movement is not -- whether it's on the  
13 actual inside of the Shipyard or right outside of the  
14 Shipyard, is not due to some of that -- the movement of  
15 the gases?

16 MR. FORMAN: Yes.

17 MS. HARRISON: The underground gases?

18 MR. FORMAN: Yes. These were scrub and brush  
19 fires that started.

20 MS. HARRISON: But so was the fire on Parcel E  
21 when it originally started. That's my problem.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. But we just -- we just  
23 said that there was a lot that needed to be discussed  
24 around these fires, and maybe we need to put this on the  
25 agenda so that it can be fully discussed and be fully

1 disclosed. So --

2 MS. HARRISON: Yeah, I would make that --

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- can we -- someone want to  
4 put that in a motion?

5 MR. TOMPKINS: I put in a motion that the  
6 Technical Committee and Risk Committee address the  
7 issues of the fire.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. And then --

9 MS. PIERCE: As chair of the Risk Committee,  
10 though, I do want to say that maybe waiting a month is  
11 waiting too long, and maybe we do need to put these  
12 issues out on the table.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Then we need to put them on  
14 the agenda, Ms. Pierce. They don't appear on the agenda  
15 magically. We can put them on the agenda.

16 MS. PIERCE: All I'm saying is, if there are  
17 some answers tonight, we need to get the answers  
18 tonight, because the fires have already occurred, and  
19 waiting another month just makes everybody that much  
20 more uncomfortable.

21 We have four more weeks to go back into the  
22 community and say, "We don't have the answers from the  
23 Navy." So maybe we can get some answers tonight that  
24 would lower our blood pressure.

25 MS. HARRISON: I have to concur with her --

1 MR. MANUEL: Is that a motion?

2 MS. HARRISON: -- because --

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: And -- and before we can do  
4 any more concurring or any more questions, I need to say  
5 this: It is time we need to either have a break so that  
6 our court reporter can get some blood to her fingers, or  
7 we need to adjourn this meeting, or we need to  
8 reschedule this.

9 What is the pleasure of this board?

10 Right now is our time to adjourn. We're into  
11 overtime and we need to take a break. So at this point,  
12 I'm going to take a break, and we decide in five  
13 minutes.

14 MR. TISDELL: Why not take a motion and then  
15 find out if the Board -- if the RAB is interested in  
16 extending the meeting?

17 MS. ATTENDEE: Right.

18 MR. MANUEL: Sounds like -- It makes sense to  
19 me.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: That is -- That's fine with  
21 me. We can do that. But we need to do it after a  
22 five-minute break. Thank you.

23 Take a five-minute break, Christine.

24 (Recess 8:15 p.m. to 8:20 p.m.)

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: We are going to reconvene to

1 the next five minutes for the meeting and determine how  
2 we're going to proceed.

3           The issue on the table right now is whether to  
4 continue the meeting and continue the topic of talking  
5 about the fire. That's one option.

6           The second option on the table is to end the  
7 meeting right now and continue the discussion off line  
8 or, three, take this discussion to the subcommittee.

9           Okay. We have three options. Okay? I'm going  
10 to repeat those options one more time. First option is  
11 to continue the meeting for a period of time and  
12 continue the discussion on record.

13           The second option is to adjourn the meeting now  
14 and continue the discussion off line, meaning off the  
15 record, or three, continue this discussion at the next  
16 subcommittee meeting.

17           MR. MANUEL: Keith --

18           MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Tisdell?

19           MR. TISDELL: I like to make a motion that we  
20 continue the meeting till -- for another half an hour to  
21 forty-five minutes.

22           MR. MANUEL: Okay.

23           MS. PENDERGRASS: Is there a second to that?

24           MS. HARRISON: I have a -- I have --

25           MS. PENDERGRASS: Is there a second to that

1 motion?

2 MR. MANUEL: No.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: There is no second to the  
4 motion. The motion fails.

5 Ms. Harrison or Mr. Manuel. Mr. Manuel, did  
6 you have a discussion on that?

7 MR. MANUEL: Well, actually, I had my hand up  
8 before her, but it doesn't matter --

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

10 MR. MANUEL: -- first.

11 What I think would be appropriate --

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes.

13 MR. MANUEL: -- so that it's fair to everybody  
14 with people who have concerns and the regulators and  
15 anybody else is let us ask Mr. Forman, or whoever would  
16 be here, if there is something that is pertinent to the  
17 health issues that Miss Pierce raised earlier. If so,  
18 what is it -- if you don't have anything pertinent to  
19 say, then anything we stay for half hour or five minutes  
20 or ten minutes is moot.

21 Well, maybe we can just ask him -- if so, then  
22 I make a motion that he lets the community know and  
23 everybody here know where are the issues and the  
24 concerns so that we get --

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We have a motion. Do

1 we --? Do we have a second to that?

2 MS. PIERCE: I second.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. The motion on the  
4 floor is to ask Mr. Forman if he has anything pertinent  
5 to say; and if he does, let him say it.

6 So all in favor?

7 THE BOARD: Aye.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Those opposed?

9 (No verbal response elicited.)

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Abstain? The ayes have it.

11 Mr. Forman, do you have anything pertinent to  
12 say?

13 MR. FORMAN: I do.

14 Again, thank you, Karen, for helping me out  
15 here. Lots of good ideas.

16 What I recommend we do is that we don't wait  
17 till the next RAB meeting. We take care of this at the  
18 next -- the next Risk Review Committee meeting. And at  
19 that time, what I will do is: I will gather the reports  
20 from the fire departments, plural, some of -- sometimes  
21 there's a report from the federal fire department;  
22 sometimes it's from the San Francisco Fire Department.

23 I will gather the reports for those fires, and  
24 they provide greater detail than the summaries that are  
25 given to me by the fire departments that I -- that then

1 are generated in these messages. So I will know a lot  
2 more from -- when I can share those fire reports with  
3 you than I do just from the CNPs.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Mr. Forman, you didn't  
5 answer the question, which was --

6 MR. MANUEL: Thank you.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- is there a health risk  
8 immediate --

9 MR. MANUEL: Right, that's the question.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- right now that maybe we  
11 need to be concerned about --

12 MR. FORMAN: Okay.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- before it -- that would --  
14 so that we were recommending couldn't wait.

15 MR. MANUEL: Right, that you know of. That you  
16 know of.

17 MR. FORMAN: To the best of my knowledge, no,  
18 not at all, and I have no even minor reason to think  
19 that that's the case.

20 I say that only because I have seen the  
21 origination point of the fires, and I've read the  
22 summary of the fires. And the summary of the fires  
23 indicate that they were all brush fires and that -- and  
24 how long it took to put them out, but it didn't indicate  
25 that there were any special concerns expressed by the

1 fire department.

2           But what I will do to gather all the knowledge  
3 out there, I will gather the reports and present them at  
4 the Risk Review Committee.

5           MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

6           Mr. Brown?

7           MR. BROWN: And also gather -- Redevelopment  
8 too because that's their property, and they don't have  
9 anybody up there cutting the grass up there.

10          MR. MANUEL: Gather them.

11          MR. BROWN: Right. They should hire the  
12 cutters.

13          MR. FORMAN: All right. So we will also invite  
14 Don Capobres --

15          MR. BROWN: Right.

16          MR. FORMAN: -- from the San Francisco  
17 Redevelopment Agency to come to the subcommittee meeting  
18 with me.

19          MR. BROWN: Right.

20          MR. TOMPKINS: And one other person -- let's  
21 get this in the record. In an official RAB meeting  
22 stated that the property next to this -- my concerns,  
23 the property caught on fire with state property in that  
24 there was definitely stated -- if you go back to the  
25 records two years, maybe two and a half, almost three

1 years probably, that there was DDT, and that is my  
2 concern.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. But that can be  
4 brought up -- that can be --

5 MR. TOMPKINS: So I ask, one, state -- state  
6 property where and have a identification at the Risk  
7 meeting so we can get this concluded.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you.

9 Do we have anything else that's a question or  
10 add to this Risk Review Committee that --? If there's  
11 nothing regarding that, is this a new question?

12 Mr. Tisdell?

13 MR. TISDELL: Yes? Yes.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, sir. Waiting for you.

15 MR. TISDELL: I like to ask, with them putting  
16 out the fire and the water that they're putting out the  
17 fire with, where is it going?

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Tisdell, we can add that  
19 question, sir, to be addressed at the Risk Review.

20 Mr. Tisdell, that is an excellent question. We'll add  
21 that.

22 Mr. Brown, did you have another question?

23 MR. BROWN: No. I'd like to make a motion.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. We have a motion on  
25 the floor to adjourn.

1 A second?

2 MS. PIERCE: Second.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: We are adjourned.

4 (Off record at 8:26 p.m., 7/24/03.)

5 ---oOo---

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting was reported by me stenographically to the best of my ability at the time and place aforementioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_.

---

CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, C.S.R. NO. 4569