

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

M E E T I N G

HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

December 5, 2002

Dago Mary's Restaurant
Donahue Street @ Hudson Street
Hunters Point Shipyard, Building 916
San Francisco, California

Reported by Christine M. Niccoli, RPR, C.S.R. No. 4569

NICCOLI REPORTING

619 Pilgrim Drive

Foster City, CA 94404-1707

(650) 573-9339

CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS SERVING THE BAY AREA

1 FACILITATOR: MARSHA PENDERGRASS - Pendergrass &
Associates
2
3 CO-CHAIR: LYNNE BROWN - Communities for a Better
Environment, Community First Coalition
4
5 RAB MEMBERS:
6 LANI ASHER - Communities for a Better Environment,
Community First Coalition
7
8 AMY BROWNELL - San Francisco Department of Public Health
9 MAURICE CAMPBELL - Business Development, Inc.; Community
First Coalition; New California Media; NEW BAYVIEW
NEWSPAPER
10
11 MARIE J. FRANKLIN - Shoreview Environmental, Incorporated
12 MARIE HARRISON - Communities for a Better Environment,
SAN FRANCISCO BAY VIEW, Green Action
13 HELEN JACKSON - All Hallows Gardens Residents Association
14 CHEIN KAO - California Dept. of Toxic Substances Control
15 JACQUELINE ANN LANE - US Environmental Protection Agency
16 KEVYN D. LUTTON - Resident
17 J. R. MANUEL - JRM Associates, India Basin resident
18 JESSE MASON - Bayview-Hunters Point Community Advocates,
Community First Coalition, Economic Subcommittee
19
20 SULULAGI PALEGA - Hunters Point Boys & Girls Club,
Housing Authority, Samoan Community Development Center
21 KAREN G. PIERCE - Bayview Advocates, BVHP Democratic Club
22 MELITA RINES - India Basin Neighborhood Association
23 JIM RODRIGUEZ - Portola Place Homeowners Association,
ROSES
24
25 CHRISTINE SHIRLEY - Arc Ecology, Technical Review
Subcommittee

1 RAB MEMBERS [Cont.]:

2

3 AHIMSA PORTER SUMCHAI, M.D. - Bayview-Hunters Point
4 Health & Environmental Resource Center

5 KEITH TISDELL - Hunters Point resident, Membership &
6 Bylaws Subcommittee

7

8 CAROLINE WASHINGTON - Southeast Community College Advisory
9 Board, Network for Elders

10 LEILANI WRIGHT - JRM Associates

11

---oOo---

12

OTHER ATTENDEES

13

14 ARVIND ACHARYA - Innovative Technical Solutions, Inc.

15 JAMES ARLINGTON ANSBRO - Resident

16 DOUG BIELSKIS - Tetra Tech EM Inc.

17 ANDREW BOZEMAN - Heaven's Glade Economic Development

18 PAT BROOKS - United States Navy

19 GEARY L. BROWN SR. - Geary L. Brown & Son Trucking

20 FRANCISCO DA COSTA - Environmental Justice Advocacy

21 DOUGLAS DAVENPORT - Tetra Tech EM Inc.

22 DAVID DeMARS - United States Navy

23 APRIL HAWKINS - Freelance writer

24 BOB HOCKER - Lennar/Bayview-Hunters Point Team

25 CAROLYN HUNTER - Tetra Tech EM Inc.

26 BILL JONES - Jenkins

27 JOHN KAISER - San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality
28 Control Board

29

30 RONALD W. KEICHLINE - Bechtel National, Inc.

1 OTHER ATTENDEES [Cont.]:

2 STEPHEN LA PLANTE - Mariners Village resident

3 LARKIN - Alanique Consultants

4 QUIJUAN MALOOF - Pendergrass & Associates

5 CHARLES R. MAZOWIECKI - United States Navy

6 LAURENT M. MEILLIER - San Francisco Bay Regional Water
 Quality Control Board

7 REGINA MITCHELL - All Hallows Gardens Residents Assn.

8 LaVAUGHAN MOORE-BIBB JR. - Teamsters Local 853

9 MARTIN OFFENHAUER - United States Navy

10 GEORGIA OLIVA - Communities for a Better Environment,
11 CCA member

12 CHUCK PARDINI - Levine-Fricke for Lennar

13 TOM PINARD - United States Navy

14 JIMMIE RAY POTTS - Barbary Coast Trucking & Brokers

15 DEBORAH B. SANTANA - Mills College

16 LEE H. SAUNDERS - United States Navy

17 BETTY SCHMUCKER - Bechtel National, Inc.

18 STEPHEN F. TYAHLA - United States Navy ROICC

19 JUIMUL YOGIS - San Francisco State

20 ---oOo---

1 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, THURSDAY, DECEMBER 5, 2002

2 6:01 P.M.

3 ---oOo---

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: This is a challenging room
5 tonight. Welcome, everybody. I guess something
6 happened and we weren't able to -- to book upstairs. So
7 this room has -- what, six pillars in the middle of the
8 room?

9 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: So we got to kind of talk
11 around it. I'm going to kind of walk around, make
12 sure -- tonight so everybody can hear me. So if at any
13 time I'm too quiet, please -- or don't hear you, just
14 shout it out.

15 Tonight's a little different than our normal
16 agenda because we -- as promised, tonight we're going to
17 have some question and answer. And from what I
18 understand -- I haven't talked to Mr. Brown, but I did
19 talk to Mr. -- Mr. DeMars today. I understand that
20 overwhelming response in terms of questions in advance.
21 So tonight we're going to get a lot of answers to
22 questions that have kind of been put on the back burner.

23 But before we do that, the kind of format for
24 tonight, we still have some business we need to do, take
25 care of some administrative stuff, like reviewing the

1 agenda and all of that and introductions and then
2 approval of minutes and then some brief announcements.

3 Really want to make sure that the subcommittee
4 reports and all of that, if we can do those as quickly
5 as possible. And again, if you have all of those in
6 writing and just hit the highlights, that would be great
7 too.

8 So without further ado, let's start our
9 introductions tonight, and can we start --? Whew, let's
10 start way over here back in the corner. And if you can
11 stand up, say your name loudly and slowly, that would
12 work out really well so we know who's in the room.

13 MR. DAVENPORT: Doug Davenport with Tetra Tech.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay, Doug.

15 MS. HUNTER: Carolyn Hunter with Tetra Tech.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Hi, Carolyn.

17 MR. TYAHLA: Steve Tyahla with the Navy

18 Construction Office.

19 MR. ACHARYA: Arvind Acharya with I.T.S.I.

20 THE REPORTER: Arvin what?

21 MR. ACHARYA: Acharya, A-c-h-a-r-y-a.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Little louder than that.

23 MR. ACHARYA: A-c-h-a-r-y-a.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

25 MR. PINARD: Tom Pinard, Navy Public Affairs at

1 Bay Area.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

3 MR. PINARD: Nice to be back and visiting.

4 MR. L. BROWN: Thank you, Tom.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Sir?

6 MR. BOZEMAN: Andrew Bozeman, Bayview . . .

7 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry? I'm sorry?

8 MR. BOZEMAN: Andrew Bozeman, B-o-z-e-m-a-n.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Andrew Bozeman, Bayview
10 businessman.

11 Okay. Let's start back here.

12 MR. HOCKER: Bob Hocker, Lennar/BVHP Team.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Did you get that?

14 THE REPORTER: (Nods.)

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

16 MR. PARDINI: Chuck Pardini, Levine-Fricke.

17 MR. DA COSTA: Francisco Da Costa,
18 Environmental Justice Advocacy.

19 MR. POTTS: Jimmie Potts, Barbary Coast
20 Trucking & Brokers.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

22 MS. HAWKINS: April Hawkins, freelance
23 journalist.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: April Hawkins, freelance
25 journalist.

1 Did you get that?

2 THE REPORTER: I did.

3 MS. MOORE-BIBB JR.: LaVaughan Moore, Teamsters
4 Local 853.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.
6 I'm sorry.

7 MS. LANE: Jackie Lane, EPA.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Jackie Lane, EPA.

9 MS. OLIVA: Georgia Oliva, CBE and Shipyard
10 artist.

11 MR. G. BROWN: Geary Brown, Brown & Son
12 Trucking.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Yes, sir.

14 MR. ATTENDEE: I'm a spectator.

15 MS. MOORE-BIBB JR.: That's my son.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Son David, spectator.
17 We got that.

18 MR. ATTENDEE: And glad to be here.

19 MS. SANTANA: Deborah Santana, Mills College
20 professor.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay, Deborah.

22 MS. JACKSON: Helen Jackson, AHGRA.

23 MR. MASON: Jesse Mason, Bayview Advocates,
24 Economic.

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Maurice Campbell, BDI, New

1 California Media.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

3 MR. L. BROWN: Lynne Brown Co-chair, CFC,
4 Communities for a Better Environment --

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

6 MR. L. BROWN: -- resident.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Amen.

8 MS. HARRISON: Marie Harrison, BAYVIEW-HUNTERS
9 POINT NEWSPAPER and Green Action and representing
10 myself.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

12 MS. FRANKLIN: Marie J. Franklin, Shoreview
13 Environmental Justice.

14 MR. MEILLIER: Laurent Meillier, Regional Water
15 Quality --

16 THE REPORTER: Say it again. I can't hear you.

17 MR. MEILLIER: L-a-u-r-e-n-t, M-e-i-l-l-i-e-r,
18 Regional Water Quality Control Board.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

20 MS. WASHINGTON: Caroline Washington, RAB
21 board.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Caroline Washington, RAB
23 board.

24 MR. OFFENHAUER: Martin Offenhauer, Navy RPM,
25 Parcel C and F.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

2 MR. MAZOWIECKI: Charles Mazowiecki, Navy RPM.

3 MR. DeMARS: Dave DeMars, lead RPM for the
4 Navy.

5 MR. BROOKS: I'm Pat Brooks. I'm the Navy RPM
6 for Parcel B, C, D, and basewide groundwater.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

8 Let's get the person at the table here.

9 MR. BIELSKIS: Doug Bielskis, Tetra Tech.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

11 And can we get the two people in the back
12 before we go to this side of the room?

13 MS. SCHMUCKER: I'm Betty Schmucker. I work
14 with Bechtel. I'm Ron Keichline's counterpart at Point
15 Molate RAB.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, we have a visitor. Okay.
17 Welcome.

18 MR. ANSBRO: James Ansbro, resident.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

20 Mr. Tisdell.

21 MR. TISDELL: Keith Tisdell, RAB member.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

23 MS. SHIRLEY: Chris Shirley, RAB, Arc Ecology,
24 Technical Subcommittee.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

1 MR. KAO: Chein Kao, State Department of Toxic
2 Substances Control

3 MS. BROWNELL: Amy Brownell, San Francisco
4 Health Department.

5 MR. MALOOF: Quijuan Maloof, Pendergrass &
6 Associates.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: And I'm Marsha Pendergrass,
8 okay, with Pendergrass & Associates.

9 All right. Did we get everybody?

10 I don't know about you guys, but do you feel
11 intimate tonight?

12 MR. TISDELL: No.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: So nice and so intimate, kind
14 of like, you know, having a little, you know, crudites
15 in bed or something because we're all --

16 MR. TISDELL: No.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- so close.

18 MR. TISDELL: No.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: No, okay. That sounded more
20 exotic than it was.

21 Okay. The agenda review. Is the agenda --?

22 Anybody have any comments on the agenda? Anybody?

23 Everybody okay, the way we're going to do?

24 All right. Everybody had a chance to review
25 the minutes from 24 October RAB meeting?

1 MS. WRIGHT: No. I didn't receive them.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Did not receive them.

3 MS. WRIGHT: I did not receive them.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

5 D- -- What -- Just before you --

6 Ron, can you --?

7 MR. KEICHLINE: Yes. I'll follow up with that,

8 and let me make sure I have your current address, then,

9 so you will receive them.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

11 MS. WRIGHT: You have my current e-mail

12 address.

13 MR. KEICHLINE: I need both.

14 MS. HARRISON: Okay.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Let's just make sure we

16 follow up on that.

17 Miss Harrison.

18 MS. HARRISON: I got a package with a disk in

19 it that I cannot open.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

21 MS. HARRISON: And -- and so I need to restate

22 this: I have an old computer; and unless you're going

23 to give me an upgraded one, I suggest that you make a

24 way to get it to me 'cause it ain't going to do it. My

25 computer looks at me and laughs.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Very good. All right. So
2 we've got those two follow-up items.

3 So we reserve -- reserve, I'm sure, the
4 opportunity to add any comments you might have at a
5 later date.

6 Otherwise, those of you who have had a chance
7 to review the minutes, is there any discussion or
8 additions to those minutes? If not, I need a motion.

9 MS. SHIRLEY: I move the minutes be accepted.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you, Miss Shirley.

11 MR. TISDELL: Second.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Seconded by Mr. Tisdell.

13 All in favor of accepting the minutes as they
14 stand for --

15 THE BOARD: Aye.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: "Aye."

17 Anybody opposed? Any abstentions on that?

18 MS. WRIGHT: I'm abstaining.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Abstaining? Okay. Miss
20 Wright's abstaining. Okay.

21 All right. We're going to move right on to
22 Navy announcements and then --

23 MR. DeMARS: Can we have action items first?

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, I'm sorry. Action items.

25 MR. DeMARS: Good.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Action items for last meeting
2 were: Navy to invite a representative from ATSDR to
3 attend a future risk health -- Risk Review & Health
4 Assessment Subcommittee meeting and make presentation
5 and answer questions.

6 MR. DeMARS: Actually, that's been changed.
7 The request was for the ATSDR representative to attend
8 the full RAB meeting, and we have extended that
9 invitation, and preliminary indications are they will
10 come to the January 23rd RAB meeting and make the
11 requested presentation.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So that action item
13 has been met and will be handled on the 20 -- on the 3rd
14 [sic]. Okay.

15 The second one was "Membership & Bylaws
16 Subcommittee solicit RAB membership among
17 Chinese-American community." There wasn't a date to
18 this. And Mr. Tisdell? Miss Rines? I don't know if
19 that happened or not, so we'll just have to move on with
20 that one.

21 MS. LANE: They discussed it in the bylaws.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Did they? Do you have --?
23 Can --?

24 (Inaudible.)

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: But there was a follow-up to

1 that, but I don't know.

2 MS. LANE: Right. I think it will give out in
3 report.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

5 And then Risk Review & Health Assessment
6 Subcommittee to provide meeting agenda and/or a list of
7 questions for the Navy.

8 And Dave, that's -- was part of your -- that's
9 going to happen on the 3rd [sic]?

10 MR. DeMARS: Well, basically, you know, we'll
11 take any questions anytime and pass on to ATSDR to help
12 them focus their January presentation.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

14 MR. DeMARS: So any kind of questions anyone
15 has we'll be happy to take.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

17 So is that clear to everybody? Since we are
18 going to have A.S. -- ATSDR at the January 3rd [sic]
19 meeting, it's important if you have any questions that
20 you need to have -- that they might need to give a
21 little thought to, that those questions be forwarded on
22 to Mr. DeMars; and I would suggest that by the first of
23 the year so -- gosh, that's only another week or so
24 away, so we really need to get on that. Okay?

25 All right. We've got some new folks. Can we

1 stop a moment before we have -- and make sure we get
2 everybody introduced here?

3 Let's see. Anybody new over on that side? No.

4 Okay. Let's see. Oh, let's start with Melita,
5 since she's the only one standing. Come on.

6 MS. RINES: What am I doing?

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Introduce.

8 MS. RINES: Oh. Melita Rines, resident, RAB
9 member.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

11 MS. ASHER: Lani Asher, Shipyard artist.

12 MS. LUTTON: Kevyn Lutton, resident.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

14 MS. WRIGHT: Leilani Wright, RAB member.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: "Leilani Wright." Did you
16 get her? Okay.

17 MS. PIERCE: Karen Pierce, RAB member,
18 resident.

19 DR. SUMCHAI: Ahimsa Sumchai, RAB member.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

21 MS. WRIGHT: J. R. Manuel's in the parking lot.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

23 MR. LA PLANTE: Hi. Steve La Plante, resident.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Did we get everybody
25 over here?

1 Got everybody, okay. Good job.

2 All right. Let's move forward, then, with the
3 agenda here.

4 All right. Mr. DeMars, did you have a report?

5 MR. DeMARS: Basically just one announcement I
6 had to reiterate what you had said. We were very
7 impressed with the questions we received, and we're very
8 thankful to everyone who took our e-mail that I sent out
9 to heart and did send questions in in advance. That was
10 really helpful to us.

11 It gave us enough time to research and do fact
12 finding to prepare the best answers to those questions
13 we could; and we do appreciate receiving questions in
14 advance, and we'll do our best to answer them to
15 everyone's satisfaction tonight.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All right. That's it
17 for your report?

18 MR. DeMARS: That's all.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

20 Mr. Brown.

21 MR. L. BROWN: Yes, thank you.

22 I'd like to say, the artists that -- couple
23 artists and other people saw a oil sheen over there in
24 the submarine piers when bubbles was coming out of the
25 water.

1 Also was noticed was holes that's over there.
2 You know, I think that it should be some kind of fencing
3 around the -- around the submarine piers so a accident
4 wouldn't happen. The holes are pretty big. That's
5 around over here on Parcel B.

6 Also, this is -- it's a shame that the
7 Redevelopment Agency couldn't, wouldn't, didn't change
8 their date for the CAC land use committee meeting about
9 community benefits on the Shipyard. As a community and
10 RAB member, we are working hard on the cleanup of the
11 Shipyard. It's very important that we don't have to
12 choose between the CAC and the RAB meetings again.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Are you directing that as a
14 question?

15 MR. L. BROWN: No.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

17 MR. L. BROWN: No.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

19 MS. HARRISON: It's a statement.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Just want to make sure.

21 Okay. Very fine.

22 MR. L. BROWN: The redevelopment.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: I got it. Okay. I got it.

24 Okay. Mr. Brown, did you have anything else that you'd
25 like to announce tonight?

1 MR. L. BROWN: No, no, no.

2 No, I don't. Thank you, Marsha.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So let me -- let
4 me think about this for just a second.

5 I just want to make sure we're all clear on how
6 this is going to go tonight. But I know we have some
7 subcommittee reports. Do we want to think about doing
8 those before we start our question and answer so that we
9 can finish or --?

10 MS. WRIGHT: Yeah, let's do that first,
11 subcommittee.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Do we want to do that really
13 quick so we don't have to stop? I'm sorry. I should
14 have thought of that earlier when we were doing agenda
15 review.

16 Mist- -- Mr. DeMars, do you have a problem with
17 that?

18 MR. DeMARS: No, not at all.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Does everybody else
20 have --? Is that okay?

21 All right. So why don't we have our
22 subcommittee reports, and we could start over here.

23 Dr. Sumchai, did you have a report? Okay.

24 So we don't have a report. Any other committee
25 leaders that have -- that want to make reports?

1 ATTENDEE: I do, yeah.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry. Miss Pierce?

3 MS. PIERCE: Yeah. The Risk Assessment
4 Committee. There is a very brief written report, and
5 I'd just like to read the recommendations into the
6 record.

7 The report -- The -- the meeting was held
8 November 15th, 2002. And the recommendations were that
9 a presentation on the meeting of RMR and what is being
10 done at this time be given by the Navy to the Risk
11 Assessment Committee at its next meeting.

12 The second recommendation is that -- is to
13 request that the Navy facilitate a presentation by ATSDR
14 to the full RAB, including provision of the data upon
15 which they made their decisions concerning the
16 August 2002 [sic] fire at the January meeting or the
17 next available meeting.

18 And finally, a request to follow -- for a
19 follow-up report of the progress being made on gathering
20 the oral history of the Hunters Point Shipyard. And if
21 there's still no process, we request -- if there's still
22 no progress on that, we request a process to begin and
23 support the project.

24 Put these on the table.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. And your next meeting

1 is --

2 MS. PIERCE: -- to be announced. We don't have
3 a date yet.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

5 And, Dr. Sumchai, did your -- did your group
6 have a meeting scheduled, the next meeting scheduled?

7 DR. SUMCHAI: No.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All right.

9 She said to be determined. Okay.

10 Who else do we have?

11 Mr. Mason?

12 MR. MASON: Yeah. You know, the Economic
13 Committee has been meeting; and, you know, there's some
14 recommendations that we've been making. And one of the
15 recommendation's that we'd like to have community
16 businesses inside the Shipyard.

17 But one of the most important things that we're
18 talking about is the economic situation as opposed to
19 what's going on with the Navy and the developers and how
20 much the community is involved economically, you know,
21 what's the comparison of the -- of the moneys.

22 So there's some questions that we have that I
23 think we also put on line to Mr. DeMars to be answered
24 tonight. So we'll be looking forward to hearing some of
25 the answers.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Do you have a next
2 meeting?

3 MR. MASON: Yeah.

4 And -- and I also like to say that -- that we
5 had a economic meeting on the -- What was that? Was
6 that Thursday? I guess it was a Thursday, yeah. We
7 were expecting Keith --

8 MR. L. BROWN: Keith and Dave.

9 MR. MASON: -- Keith and Dave, and I didn't
10 know why, because I invited you guys the last time. You
11 guys didn't show up. There was some things that we
12 wanted to discuss, and I guess at the next Economic
13 meeting, which is going to be held -- let me go here --

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Now, whose meeting do you
15 usually --? Don't you guys usually have meetings kind
16 of like the same times, isn't it?

17 MR. L. BROWN: No.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Miss Shirley? No.

19 MR. L. BROWN: No.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: You're trying not to?

21 MR. MASON: We're trying not -- I'm going --
22 I'm going to suggest that my economics meeting is held
23 on the 10th of January at 1790 Yosemite and . . . about
24 4 o'clock?

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah.

1 MR. MASON: Four p.m. And I'm also inviting
2 the BRAC -- Keith Forman and Mr. -- Mr. DeMars again.
3 And I'm hoping that you guys could put that information
4 down, because we'd also like to invite the base
5 commander also that the same three that we wanted to
6 have at the last time.

7 So, you know, I'm extending the invitation now
8 and hoping that I don't have to call you to confirm.

9 MS. HARRISON: Excuse me, Jesse. What time?

10 MR. MASON: At 4 o'clock.

11 MR. ATTENDEE: Where?

12 MR. MASON: 1790 Yosemite.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Technical Review.

14 MR. MASON: Can I -- can I -- can I -- can I
15 say one more thing? I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I need to
16 say one more thing.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Sure.

18 MR. MASON: And -- and as -- as the economic
19 chair, you know, I'm looking around the room, and I see
20 the majority of the contractors that have been involved
21 on the Shipyard. I do not see Foster Wheeler.

22 And you know, I'm asking that Foster Wheeler be
23 present at the next RAB meeting because there's some
24 questions that -- that -- that we need to ask them also;
25 and we need to find out what's going on with Foster

1 Wheeler and what do they -- what -- what's -- what's
2 their plan, what are they doing out there.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So you're asking that
4 as a general board -- a general RAB board in terms of to
5 get them here, or how do you want to handle that?

6 MR. MASON: Well, you know, we like to get a
7 presentation from Foster Wheeler as to, you know, what
8 they're doing in the community, how many employees from
9 the community have and -- and --

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

11 MR. MASON: -- you know.

12 MR. DeMARS: We -- we can go ahead and arrange
13 that.

14 MR. MASON: Yeah.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All right. Okay.

16 Technical Review.

17 MS. SHIRLEY: Yeah. We met on November 19th,
18 and unfortunately, I left the minutes on my printer at
19 home.

20 Anyway, we had no specific recommendations, but
21 we did have an excellent meeting at which we reviewed
22 the Navy's Web site about the landfill gas removal
23 action.

24 And I urge folks to come to the next meeting,
25 which will be January 21, and I don't have a location.

1 It's -- It depends partly on what we do. We had it at
2 1790 Yosemite last time because we could get access to a
3 computer.

4 MR. CAMPBELL: That's not a problem, Chris. If
5 we need access to a computer conference room, then, and
6 AVs --

7 MS. SHIRLEY: Okay.

8 MR. CAMPBELL: -- BDI can provide that.

9 MS. SHIRLEY: Anyway, it was -- it was very
10 educational reviewing the Web site. Are you going to
11 give that address tonight for that Web site?

12 MR. DeMARS: Yeah.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Very good. All right. Thank
14 you. And --

15 MR. KEICHLINE: Can we get a time for that?

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm sorry?

17 MS. SHIRLEY: Six o'clock.

18 MR. MASON: What's the date? I'm sorry.

19 MS. SHIRLEY: 1/21, January 21.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So -- and
21 Membership & Bylaws, last one.

22 MR. TISDELL: I'd like to put a motion on the
23 floor that we disregard the -- the categories that was
24 brought up at the last meeting for approval by the

25 RAB --

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

2 MR. TISDELL: -- which -- come on, where they
3 at?

4 MR. KEICHLINE: The old bylaws?

5 MR. TISDELL: Yeah.

6 MR. KEICHLINE: Okay. They were revised --
7 draft revised bylaws were presented at the last RAB
8 meeting.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Right.

10 MR. TISDELL: Yeah. I put a motion on the
11 floor that they be disregarded.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

13 MR. L. BROWN: I second it.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay, second.
15 Is any discussion regarding that?

16 MS. FRANKLIN: I have a question.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, ma'am, Miss Franklin.

18 MS. FRANKLIN: I would like to [unintelligible]
19 meeting; and also, I have a question as to our
20 organization, and my name is not adequately described.
21 Is that a part of the "disregard" that we are speaking
22 of?

23 MR. MASON: I -- I didn't hear that.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: The question Miss Franklin
25 just asked, she wasn't at that meeting; and during that

1 meeting in the minutes, her name and, I guess,
2 affiliation was --

3 MS. FRANKLIN: Organization.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- organization was not
5 described properly. But I'm hearing that -- and sh- --
6 her question is -- to Mr. Tisdell is that does that mean
7 that all of that will be disregarded?

8 Is that what you said?

9 MR. TISDELL: No, no, no, no, no, no. This
10 just -- We made a motion last -- last RAB meeting to --

11 MS. RINES: Categorize.

12 MR. TISDELL: -- categorize, and it -- the RAB
13 didn't accept it. So I'm making a motion that -- that
14 we put before at the last RAB meeting disregard --

15 MS. RINES: The bylaws.

16 MR. TISDELL: -- the bylaws that was -- that
17 was presented to the RAB, not -- not -- not -- I think
18 you said your organization or misrepresentation. Not
19 that, no, no. That's -- We talking about the -- the
20 bylaws that was presented last week for the RAB
21 approval.

22 MS. RINES: I -- I think I can answer her
23 question. We -- The category we have for you presently
24 to fit into the categories we want is resident at-large.
25 If you -- Did you see the --? Did you happen to pick

1 up that handout?

2 MS. FRANKLIN: I have it right here.

3 MS. RINES: Okay. You are listed, but we have
4 you under "resident at-large" because our logic was:
5 Pe- -- The RAB members we have now, we need to place
6 them into categories before we can expand it any more.

7 So we have to make people fit because we are
8 not removing anybody. We are making people fit, and
9 this is how based on people's initial applications what
10 they listed as their affiliation and when we received
11 them where we ended up placing them.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: But that doesn't disregard
13 the fact that you might represent an organization or a
14 business or anything --

15 MS. RINES: Correct.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- like that. It does not
17 negate that.

18 MS. RINES: Correct.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Is that correct?

20 MS. RINES: It's how we fit you now so that we
21 can get everybody in --

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. So --

23 MS. FRANKLIN: Also -- just a minute. Also, my
24 name is Marie J. Franklin; and so since it doesn't
25 matter, why won't you list the organization also? It

1 doesn't matter anyway.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yeah. I --

3 MS. RINES: Well, it doesn't matter in that
4 sense.

5 MS. FRANKLIN: Okay.

6 MS. RINES: But here to fit in the category, if
7 we put you under an organization, we're all -- that
8 category's full. So we wouldn't be able to fit you on
9 the RAB based on the categories.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: So she's just saying, since
11 you put her in resident at-large, you could also list
12 underneath her name the -- her affiliation, which
13 wouldn't be a problem. You're still listing her as a
14 resident --

15 MS. RINES: Right.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- at-large --

17 MS. RINES: Not a problem.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- which is a good problem --
19 I mean, which is not a problem.

20 Okay. So -- yes.

21 MR. L. BROWN: I got one question.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: 'Cause we have a motion on
23 the floor.

24 MR. L. BROWN: Okay.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: And then the discussion needs

1 to be around whether or not we are -- The motion on the
2 floor is to disregard the bylaws that were distributed
3 at the last meeting.

4 So we're -- not only are we not going to vote
5 on them today, they are to be disregarded. And so
6 there's a motion on the floor to that. It has been a
7 second.

8 Is there any more discussion regarding that
9 motion?

10 MS. PIERCE: Call the question.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm calling the question.

12 Yes, ma'am.

13 MS. WRIGHT: So you're just disregarding the
14 bylaws in their entirety or --?

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: No. Just the -- just the
16 draft that was distributed at the last meeting, which
17 has not been adopted.

18 MS. WRIGHT: The entire draft?

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Just the draft, and I -- I'm
20 going to just interject here: I assume that the
21 subcommittee will be presenting another draft at a later
22 date.

23 MS. RINES: Yes.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Is that correct? Okay.

25 I'm going to call the question. All in favor

1 of rescinding those and destroying those -- that draft,
2 say, "Aye."

3 THE BOARD: Aye.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: All opposed?

5 Any abstentions?

6 Okay. So those are kind of null and void at
7 this point.

8 Mr. Tisdell, did you want to go ahead and
9 continue with your report?

10 MR. TISDELL: Mm-hmm.

11 And as everyone can see, we came up with a
12 categ- -- a category that everybody fit in, and -- and
13 make a motion to the RAB that the -- the categories be
14 accepted by the RAB as it go into the bylaws as written
15 and the categ- -- just the categories now, just the
16 categories.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Has everybody had a
18 chance to review this document . . .

19 MR. L. BROWN: No.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: . . . in advance?

21 MR. L. BROWN: No.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So you might want to
23 make a motion -- you know, amend your motion to review
24 it and vote on it for next time.

25 MR. TISDELL: All right. I'll make a motion to

1 have this put before the RAB for acceptance at the next
2 RAB meeting.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So review at this
4 point.

5 MS. RAB MEMBER: Seconded.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All in favor of
7 reviewing the Membership & Bylaws Subcommittee document
8 regarding categories and having it ready to be voted on
9 next meeting, say, "Aye."

10 THE BOARD: Aye.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: All in -- All opposed? I'm
12 sorry.

13 Any abstentions on that?

14 Okay. So that has passed, so we'll move on
15 from that.

16 Anything else to add to your -- to your
17 presentation?

18 MR. TISDELL: Oh. The -- the 14th of January,
19 6:00 to 8:00, library.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

21 MS. RINES: Also, just one other point also
22 on -- in the notes: We also were asking -- there was a
23 question of making -- leaving positions open for Asian
24 American and the --

25 MR. TISDELL: Indians.

1 MS. RINES: Right, but I -- we won't -- I
2 will --

3 MR. TISDELL: Ohlone Indian tribe.

4 MS. RINES: -- totally butcher the names. I'm
5 not even going to try.

6 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

7 MS. RINES: But the Indian tribe communities.
8 We have -- We're holding two positions open. That's
9 where they fall under the resident at-large, so we don't
10 have to worry about trying to fit them on.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: So Native Americans?

12 MS. RINES: Yes, the specific Indian tribe
13 community, right, that one, the one I can't say.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Very fine.

15 MR. TISDELL: Mukawauka [phonetic].

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

17 MS. RINES: Right. And then we're looking to
18 that.

19 And we're also looking for anyone since we
20 don't have a personally -- Keith and I don't have a
21 specific person that we know of, we were told that
22 people who have contacts, to give them applications or
23 direct them to us for us to send them an application.

24 But it's like we're putting it out to everybody
25 on the RAB if you -- to actively seek them if you know

1 of someone to fit. So we don't want to limit anybody
2 just based on us. And that -- But that's what we're
3 looking for. So we have filled that one pending action
4 item from before of seeking two -- two positions.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Thank you. Thank
6 you.

7 Okay. So let's just talk about how we're going
8 to proceed for the rest of this agenda. And -- and
9 Mr. Maloof, if you don't mind assisting me tonight,
10 you're going to need to scribe tonight and put up there
11 tonight. That would be great.

12 Okay. What we need to do just to make sure
13 that this kind of runs smoothly and that everybody gets
14 a chance on this, because this -- as I said, this is
15 phenomenal that we got 40 questions and -- you know, a
16 lot of those.

17 So what we decided to do with those was to kind
18 of group them by kind of subject matter so that we can
19 answer the questions; and it came down to about 17, if
20 I'm not mistaken, 17 subjects, different subject
21 headings on that.

22 Now, keep in mind that -- that -- that
23 17 themes that, you know, they researched and found the
24 answers to those, and they're going to report on those
25 tonight.

1 There's going to be some times for clarifying
2 questions or to -- as part of the presentation. But
3 hopefully, the presentation will cover any other -- any
4 other questions.

5 But this is what we need to do: Anything that
6 can't be explained in depth tonight -- for example, if
7 we ask a question about a presentation item and the Navy
8 cannot answer that question -- I've asked them to say,
9 "I can't answer that tonight." What we're going to do,
10 because we can't answer it in depth tonight, we're going
11 to put it up, and that's going to be a future agenda
12 item.

13 So we -- some things won't -- you know, we
14 can't physically go in depth tonight, and we want them
15 to do a good job on that.

16 The second thing is -- is if your question that
17 you've submitted or if you have another question that
18 doesn't get answered tonight in these 17 areas, put it
19 down on a piece of paper, because we are committed to
20 getting that -- to getting those answered.

21 MR. KEICHLINE: And we have sheets back here
22 for that too.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Right.

24 Third, I think they prepared in writing kind of
25 their whole presentation around these 40 questions.

1 So what I -- what I've suggested is: Since
2 they've prepared it in writing, hand it out while they
3 are talking about it so you can kind of go through and
4 circle anything that makes sense or that resonates with
5 you or kind of jogs your memory for a -- for a follow-up
6 question or whatever so that -- so that they don't have
7 to read it, and I don't want to give it to you later and
8 then say "They didn't say that," that kind of go hand in
9 hand.

10 Does that make sense to you guys? Does anybody
11 have a problem with that? Okay. That's going to work?
12 All right.

13 And then at the end -- as we go, let's try to
14 be respectful of the fact that we need to stay focused.
15 These questions are about cleanup environmental issues
16 of the Hunters Point Naval Shipyard.

17 Anything around that that doesn't include in
18 the Shipyard isn't covered tonight. Anything that has
19 to do with conveyance isn't going to be talked about
20 tonight. Anything that has to do with budgets in terms
21 of, you know, how much money are we spending all total
22 in the nation on cleanup, I mean, those are issues that
23 are kind of outside the focus and scope of what we're
24 going to do tonight.

25 So I'm trying to frame this, and I'm going to

1 be just a tad bit different than I have been in the
2 past.

3 MS. ASHER: May we have another copy over here?

4 Excuse me.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh, I'm sorry.

6 A little bit heavy-handed tonight. I want to
7 make sure that we kind of all kind of stay on focus,
8 because the real impetus here is: Let's get these
9 questions answered and off of the back burner and -- you
10 know, and everybody leave here with the right
11 understanding so that we can go about the business of
12 getting this site cleaned up and transferred to the
13 city. Make sense?

14 Yes, Miss Wright. Yes, ma'am.

15 MS. WRIGHT: This is -- last is different than
16 what was talked about, and I just want to make sure that
17 it's officially in the minutes.

18 THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I can't hear. I'm
19 sorry.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Miss Wright said that she'd
21 like to make sure that J. R. Manuel is recognized as
22 being here tonight, make sure that that happens. As
23 long as he signed in, I think that happens.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Do we have a full list of all
25 the questions?

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: You have a full list of all
2 the -- so okay. Yes.

3 MR. CAMPBELL: Just wanted to make sure.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All right.

5 So are we all ready? Mr. DeMars, are you
6 ready?

7 MR. DeMARS: Yes.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So you're going to
9 start with your presentation; and again, we're going
10 to -- if there's clarifying questions, we can -- we can
11 do that. Okay?

12 MR. DeMARS: Before we get started, I do want
13 to follow on with what Marsha said briefly.

14 We received some very outstanding questions
15 concerning contracting and business issues at Hunters
16 Point Shipyard. Unfortunately, they were such good
17 questions, we in the environmental team frankly couldn't
18 answer them.

19 So I had to farm those questions out to our
20 contracting officers and our contracting group. And as
21 soon as they provide us answers, we'll make sure to pass
22 them on to you. And if you want, we can discuss those
23 at the next RAB meeting or the next subcommittee
24 meeting. We do apologize for that. We really did want
25 to get those questions answered tonight.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: I've also asked that any of
2 those questions that fall outside the purview of what
3 can -- you know, of the environmental cleanup, if they
4 are outside of that purview for Mr. DeMars and his team,
5 to identify who nee- -- what agencies and who needs to
6 discuss that and -- and give that information to you all
7 so that, you know, if you need to follow up on a
8 separate venue at another time, that's totally, you
9 know --

10 MR. CAMPBELL: So -- so in my understanding, it
11 will be an action item on the next agenda that we will
12 have answers to those questions.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

14 MR. DeMARS: That's correct.

15 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Answers in terms
17 of if -- if it's within the scope of what they can
18 answer.

19 MR. CAMPBELL: Well, he --

20 MR. L. BROWN: No.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: -- just --

22 MR. L. BROWN: He just --

23 MR. CAMPBELL: He just talked about their
24 contracting person specifically answering certain
25 questions that you would not be able to answer at this

1 RAB meeting, but they would have prepared answers at the
2 next RAB meeting.

3 MR. DeMARS: Correct.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

5 MR. DeMARS: We can provide answers --

6 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

7 MR. DeMARS: -- at the next meeting.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Very fine.

9 MR. CAMPBELL: So that is an action item.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, sir.

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Thank you.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you for clarifying
13 that. Okay.

14 All rightie. Mr. DeMars, do you want to go
15 ahead? Thank you.

16 MR. DeMARS: All right.

17 MR. BROOKS: Okay. Since I'm the RPM for
18 Parcel B, I'll go ahead and cover some of the Parcel B
19 questions. We have four of them up here. First
20 question was: Please give an update on the progress and
21 the plans for cleanup of Parcel B.

22 Parcel B is the area where we have done most of
23 the cleanup work on the Shipyard. Approximately
24 120,000 cubic yards of soil have been excavated --
25 that's contaminated soil -- excavated and disposed of

1 off site. Fuel and steam lines have been removed.

2 We have a groundwater monitoring program in
3 place on Parcel B, and we have completed 12 quarters of
4 groundwater monitoring, and this is to help us document
5 the conditions of the groundwater at Parcel B and just
6 assure that contaminants that are resulting from spills
7 or what have you are not traveling in the groundwater to
8 the bay.

9 And we completed a storm drain evaluation at
10 Parcel B, because some of the storm drains are beneath
11 the water table; and if the water leaks into those storm
12 drains, they can create a preferential pathway for
13 contaminants to just go right down the storm drain pipe
14 into the bay.

15 So we completed that evaluation, and at
16 Parcel B we don't have a problem with the storm drains
17 performing as a preferential pathway to transport
18 contaminants to the bay.

19 Can we show the map here real quick? And then
20 we can come back to questions.

21 These colored areas are areas where we have
22 done the excavation. And some of these where you can
23 see kind of a linear feature, that would be a fuel line
24 there.

25 MS. HARRISON: Can we clo- --?

1 MR. BROOKS: One of the other things that we
2 have done at Parcel B is: We have done a --

3 MS. HARRISON: Can we close --?

4 MR. BROOKS: -- soil vapor extraction treat- --

5 MS. HARRISON: Excuse me. Can we close the
6 door so we . . . ? Lot of people coming in. I thought
7 it was just somebody upstairs.

8 MR. BROOKS: At Parcel B we have done a soil
9 vapor extraction treatability study, and this is a
10 technology used to remove solvents that have been
11 spilled into the soil. It was done here at
12 Building 123, and . . . now I've lost my train of
13 thought.

14 But those are the things that we have done now.
15 So that kind of summarizes the progress that we have
16 made on Parcel B.

17 As far as the plans for --

18 Take me back to the question page.

19 The plans for cleanup on Parcel B. We are
20 doing a couple of things. We have done -- you saw the
21 excavation map there, and 120,000 cubic yards of soil
22 has been removed.

23 So with all -- when all that soil's been
24 removed, a lot of our soil samples that we use to
25 characterize the risks to human health in the

1 environment -- those have been taken off the Shipyard.
2 And so we've had to go through our database and account
3 for that so we can come back and reestimate the risk
4 that remains at Parcel B.

5 And what I'm trying to say here is -- is how we
6 are going about planning future cleanup of Parcel B. We
7 look at risk that is left by seeing the soil samples
8 that are still there and kind of help us estimate what
9 that risk is.

10 We do that, and we look at a couple of
11 different scenarios. We look at the unrestricted-reuse
12 scenario. We have a redevelopment plan that we are
13 working with the City with.

14 And it has other reuses besides residential.
15 It has open space. It has cultural and educational. It
16 has these other kind of scenarios that we evaluate and
17 calculate the risk and see what areas need additional
18 cleanup.

19 So we're working with the City. We are working
20 with the BCT. The process is really just starting right
21 now, because we have done a lot of cleanup work. We
22 have had to go back in there and look at what -- what
23 kind of risk is left and -- and basically what is left
24 to be done at Parcel B, and that's going to be kind of a
25 team process with the EPA and the DTSC and Water Board,

1 City.

2 So that kind of sums up our plans for -- it's
3 really kind of a process, a planning process for the
4 remainder of the cleanup at Parcel B.

5 Why are you amending the ROD, and will it in
6 any way degrade the cleanup standards at Parcel B?

7 There's a couple of reasons that we want to
8 amend the ROD; and of course, it hasn't been amended
9 yet. But we want to amend the ROD to take into account
10 some other technologies that we can use to remove
11 contaminants from Parcel B.

12 I described the soil vapor extraction that we
13 are doing at Building 123, and that's to remove the
14 solvents that have been spilled into the soil. That's
15 not accounted for in the existing Parcel B ROD. We
16 don't have really the ability to call that a CERCLA
17 remedial action according to the Parcel B ROD that we
18 have. So that's one thing that we want to do. That's
19 one reason why the Navy would like to amend the ROD.

20 Second, the Navy would like to have the
21 flexibility to evaluate not only the unrestricted-reuse
22 scenario, but also the reuse scenarios that are
23 documented in the approved reuse plan that the City has.
24 And that includes, like I say, there's open space along
25 the shoreline. There's cultural and educational, and

1 there's quite a bit of residential reuses that's called
2 out for also in that redevelopment plan for Parcel B.

3 Thirdly, just to make things consistent, what
4 we are doing on Parcel C and D is: The way we do the
5 risk assessment, instead of the way it's done on
6 Parcel B now, you look at the risk due to each
7 individual contaminant separately.

8 So let's say you have contaminant A, and it has
9 a risk of, let's just say, 1; and you have
10 contaminant B, and it has a risk of 1. Well, you just
11 look at those separately, and those are your cleanup
12 goals. If you can get a 1 on contaminant A, you're
13 in -- you're okay, you're good to go. If you get a 1 on
14 contaminant B, again, you're good to go.

15 But the way we want to do it is: To make it
16 consistent with Parcel C and D, you would add those two
17 together. So you'd add A and B together; you'd get a 2.
18 Now you have to look at how to bring that back down to a
19 cleanup level that meets your goal.

20 And I hope everybody understands that. It's
21 kind of complicated.

22 Yes, Karen.

23 MS. PIERCE: I don't understand that at all. I
24 mean, if you're taking oranges and apples and putting
25 them together and saying that now we have 2 so we have

1 to get back down to 1 --

2 MR. BROOKS: Okay. Well, let me --

3 MS. PIERCE: -- I don't understand how that --

4 I mean, looking at -- let me relate it back to this
5 question. I don't see how that would not degrade the
6 cleanup standards.

7 MR. BROOKS: Actually, it lowers the cleanup
8 standards. It would actually -- it would actually
9 make -- You would have to reduce the contaminants down
10 to a level where when they add together, they can only
11 equal 1.

12 So instead of looking at them separately, you
13 look at them together, because it's not -- it's not a
14 thing where you're just affected by one thing, okay? So
15 we look at them together as a group.

16 MS. PIERCE: Okay. Thank you.

17 MR. BROOKS: And we are looking at that
18 Parcel C and D. We want to be consistent at Parcel B.
19 So if we have an opportunity to change the ROD, that's
20 one of the things that what we want to incorporate, and
21 I think, Chein, that's something that we have talked
22 about in the BCT meetings, and I think DTSC is a
23 proponent of the cumulative risk assessment.

24 Yeah. He's nodding his head yes.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

1 MR. BROOKS: So it really -- for the
2 residential reuse, the -- the cleanup values can go
3 down.

4 Now, for a reuse scenario like open space, it
5 could go up. The cleanup values could go up for open
6 space because it's a different reuse.

7 The example I used with Dave earlier tonight, I
8 said, "You know, I keep my kitchen counter cleaner than
9 I keep my -- my workbench in the garage." So if you
10 have an open-space reuse, the cleanup standards can go
11 up.

12 Now, we're also looking and working with the
13 City in thinking about the creation of a wetland on
14 Parcel B. Now, in that cases [sic], the cleanup values
15 could go down again, because criteria for creation of a
16 wetland in many cases is more restrictive than even
17 residential. So it can go either way.

18 Okay. What's the update at this time of the
19 SVE unit in Building 123?

20 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

21 MR. BROOKS: Soil vapor extraction system in
22 Building 123 has removed about 80 pounds of volatile
23 organic compounds from the soil. Predominantly that's
24 trichloroethylene. It's a real common degreasing
25 solvent that's a -- now has widespread industrial

1 applications.

2 So some of it was spilled in that building, and
3 the soil vapor extraction system has removed about
4 80 pounds. We turned that off to look and see if we get
5 any -- any increase in concentrations if we just let the
6 thing sit dormant for a little while.

7 And so we're evaluating that, and we're looking
8 to see, comparing the -- what we have left in the soil
9 now as compared to what we -- what we had when we
10 started.

11 But overall, it looks like it's been a pretty
12 effective technology over there at Parcel B; and that's,
13 you know, one of the reasons if we do amend the ROD,
14 we'd like to pull that technology into our tool kit and
15 use that in the amended ROD.

16 Next question: "Does the Navy plan on capping
17 any IR site in Parcel B?" Well, we started this RMR
18 process. At least --

19 MR. MASON: Hold up. Hold up. Hold up. Hold
20 up. You're skipping around, and you know, you're not
21 telling us what page it's on.

22 MS. RINES: This does -- this does not follow
23 on what we were --

24 MR. MASON: It doesn't follow.

25 MS. RINES: -- handed out.

1 MR. MASON: It doesn't follow the handout.

2 MS. RINES: That's why.

3 MS. ATTENDEE: What they did is: They put all
4 the Parcel B questions --

5 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, we tried to put them all
6 together. Shall we try and find that one on the page
7 here?

8 MR. TISDELL: Yeah.

9 MR. BROOKS: Okay.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: These aren't all put
11 together. Okay.

12 MR. BROOKS: You know, it might just help you
13 if you just listen to me instead of trying to follow
14 along on the page.

15 MS. ATTENDEE: We want to make sure you say the
16 same thing that's written.

17 MR. BROOKS: I mean, I work with this stuff
18 every day, so I'm -- I'm very comfortable talking about
19 it.

20 And really, the short answer to this one is
21 "Does the Navy plan on capping any IR site in Parcel B?"
22 The short answer is: No.

23 I've looked at all the data, including the new
24 database scrub that we did that takes out all the soil
25 samples that have been excavated. And for the sample

1 data that we have -- and it's important for me to say it
2 that way -- I don't see any reason why we should have
3 any kind of cap on Parcel B.

4 Now, we do have a plan to do some additional
5 sampling, and that's on the shoreline at Parcel B over
6 kind of adjacent to the property line over there by
7 the -- if you know where Ferrari Brothers' property is
8 or if you walk down that easement road by Lola's Cafe,
9 down in that area.

10 MR. L. BROWN: In IR -- excuse me. IR-18 and
11 07.

12 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, that's right, that's right,
13 IR-18 and 07.

14 MS. ATTENDEE: Right -- right behind you.

15 MR. BROOKS: Okay. So we're going to do some
16 sampling along that shoreline, and the reason being is
17 because when we did our excavations, we dug into a
18 buried rip-rap wall and got to the point where we could
19 really dig no further; and we had some concentrations of
20 some contaminants that were exceeding our cleanup
21 levels.

22 So what we want to do is: We want to find out
23 has that stuff actually got down into the beach, and is
24 there a potential for it to have got into the bay.

25 And one of the things that makes me feel

1 confident or at least hopeful that we won't have to do
2 any capping over there is: I've seen the data also for
3 the -- that section of the San Francisco Bay sediment
4 there adjacent to the beach, and it's not very bad over
5 there. In fact, I think it already meets the cleanup
6 levels, even the most stringent ones that we're
7 discussing.

8 So I'm hopeful that we won't even have to do
9 any capping over there. I don't see anything on
10 Parcel B that would require a cap.

11 MS. RINES: That was on page 12.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Just real quick,
13 I heard a comment over here from Miss Jackson. Can you
14 just briefly describe or show on the map where Parcel B
15 is, just outline it for folks? I don't know if
16 everybody --

17 MR. BROOKS: Yeah.

18 Leave a map back there, Doug?

19 MR. BIELSKIS: Yeah, okay. Parcel B.

20 MR. BROOKS: Okay. Here is Parcel B --

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

22 MR. BROOKS: -- and here is the little piece of
23 shoreline I'm talking about where we want to do the
24 sampling.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

1 MR. BROOKS: And this is the area where I've
2 seen the sediment data, and it already meets the cleanup
3 goals out here.

4 So I'm pretty sure that we don't have -- even
5 though we have some stuff that we couldn't dig out here,
6 I don't think it's out here, but that's why we're doing
7 the sampling on the beach --

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

9 MR. BROOKS: -- just to be sure.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Very good.

11 MR. TISDELL: Is that over there by them dry
12 docks?

13 MR. BROOKS: The dry docks are over here.

14 MR. TISDELL: I mean those piers.

15 MR. BROOKS: Sub piers, yeah. Right out here.

16 MR. TISDELL: Over there.

17 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, yeah, yeah, right down from
18 here.

19 MR. TISDELL: All right. I was trying to make
20 sure where I am.

21 MR. DA COSTA: Can I ask a question?

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Certainly.

23 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, sure.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: We are taking clarification
25 questions.

1 MR. DA COSTA: I heard you mention the word
2 "cultural," and earlier somebody spoke about the Muwekma
3 Ohlone, and the Muwekma Ohlone were the first people.
4 And we have -- we have information and documentation of
5 shell mounds.

6 So as you are doing the coring samples, any
7 ecological experts there? And I want to know how much
8 of the Shipyard has been ecologically surveyed.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: We need to -- we need to ask
10 that question in writing so -- because that doesn't
11 pertain to Parcel B at this point, and we are not taking
12 clarification questions.

13 But I think that's a -- we need to write that
14 question down to make sure we get an answer to that.

15 MR. BROOKS: Yeah. Let's answer that one
16 later.

17 If I could real quick kind of just show you
18 with the laser pointer where the old shoreline was,
19 because most of this Shipyard is artificial fill. It's
20 been created. It's a reclaimed tideland.

21 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

22 MR. BROOKS: So most of this area in here and
23 then on in this way, it's a reclaimed tideland. Most of
24 the land on Hunters Point is a reclaimed tideland. It
25 used to be submerged.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you. Okay.

2 MR. DeMARS: All right. Let's move on to the
3 next set of questions. Again, instead of trying to keep
4 up reading here these written responses, we really want
5 for you all to go ahead and take home and read all, come
6 back with additional questions. But we brought our
7 entire team here tonight to try to give, you know, live
8 answers, if you will, to these things.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Before you move on, we
10 had one question here behind the pit -- pillar.

11 MR. DeMARS: Yes.

12 MR. KAO: I do want to make clarification. I
13 don't mean to correct you, Pat, but IR-07 and 18
14 previously mentioned is not part of that shoreline rip
15 rap.

16 MR. BROOKS: No. It's -- it's upstream from
17 there.

18 MR. KAO: Okay. So we're talking about the
19 capping of -- of shoreline aside from IR-07 and 18,
20 which I'm surprised to hear you to say there will be no
21 capping for that area.

22 MR. BROOKS: On Parcel B or on the shoreline?

23 MR. KAO: On Parcel B on IR-07 and 18.

24 MR. BROOKS: I said that I'm hopeful that
25 there's not.

1 MR. KAO: Okay.

2 MR. BROOKS: But we are going to look at the
3 data; and then, of course, the data will help us make
4 that decision.

5 MR. KAO: Okay.

6 MR. BROOKS: But I'm hopeful because I'm
7 hopeful by nature.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Mr. DeMars?

9 MR. DeMARS: Okay. We have two very good
10 questions concerning removal actions and specifically
11 concerning -- apparently, there's some concern about the
12 Navy's use of the removal action.

13 And real quick, I want to define what removal
14 action is for those of you who don't know.

15 Removal actions is what we define as interim
16 cleanup actions that happen during the investigation of
17 a site before we sign the Record of Decision. That's
18 opposed to a remedial action, which happens after the
19 full investigation takes place; we sign a Record of
20 Decision. And then any cleanup based on the Record of
21 Decision is called a remedial action.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

23 MR. DeMARS: As part of this answer, I'd like
24 to clear up a couple of misconceptions.

25 First of all, doing a removal action does in no

1 way -- is -- in no way is a violation of CERCLA or
2 bypasses CERCLA or shortcuts CERCLA.

3 The governing regulations that enacts CERCLA is
4 a regulation called the National Contingency Plan.
5 That's Title 40 in the Code of Federal Regulations, and
6 I included a quote from that regulation here tonight,
7 and very clearly it states that removal actions are
8 indeed a part of CERCLA process.

9 And in our case, the Navy, being the lead
10 agency, can go ahead and do a removal action almost at
11 will. That sounds kind of blunt to say. It's not
12 entirely true. But there's a lot of criteria listed in
13 the regulations that allows us to take removal actions.
14 And so basically, bottom line is: This is something we
15 are -- we are allowed to do under the law.

16 Now, as part of taking a removal action,
17 however, there are community concerns that we have to
18 follow. We just don't go into removal action and walk
19 away from the site and not let anyone know.

20 During the San Diego teams' watch, we have done
21 about half a dozen or so removals. And in each one of
22 these removal actions, the corresponding dates is
23 associated with the document we call an Action
24 Memorandum.

25 Before we go ahead and perform a removal

1 action, we have to publish an Action Memorandum. We
2 have to public notice it in the newspaper, and we do
3 have to take comments from both the BCT and the
4 community. So in no way are we doing these removal
5 actions without people's notice.

6 An excellent example of this is the landfill
7 gas removal action that we are doing out of the landfill
8 right now.

9 When we first started to attack this problem,
10 our initial strategy was to extract the landfill gas and
11 use some kind of thermal destruction or burner in order
12 to destroy the gas.

13 After meeting with the BCT and the RAB
14 subcommittee and the RAB, we were told that this is not
15 what the community -- this is not the approach that the
16 community necessarily wanted to take. And we switched
17 over to our passive venting system to get rid of the gas
18 versus burning.

19 So that's a perfect example of how the
20 community truly does have an input to the removal
21 action.

22 Now, that's not to say that we are going to
23 continue to do removal actions in lieu of cleanups.
24 Actually, these removal actions here and the ones that
25 we may or may not do in the future are really a very

1 small percentage of the cleanups that we are going to do
2 post-ROD but after we sign the Record of Decision.

3 Probably 80 or 90 percent of the cleanups that
4 we have done on Hunters Point and will do will
5 definitely -- they go through the whole process and are
6 done post-Record of Decision.

7 The only other thing I need to add to that is:
8 I want us to get away from the conception that we just
9 run out and do removal actions as we feel like, or
10 willy-nilly.

11 If we are out investigating an area and we see
12 a site that -- we run across a site that has
13 contamination that causes particular concern, I think it
14 behooves us and it benefits the community if we go ahead
15 and clean up that site ahead of time to reduce the risk
16 immediately versus letting that site sit untouched for
17 maybe three or four or five years down the road until
18 the Record of Decision is signed.

19 So in some cases, you know, it does benefit
20 everyone to have the contamination removed up front.

21 And any comments to that?

22 MR. CAMPBELL: Yeah. I -- I think you gave
23 a -- an excellent example on the landfill gas removal of
24 the way a removal action worked and worked well.

25 Want me to turn up the volume, Keith? Okay.

1 Dry Dock 4, there was some resistance, I
2 believe, from the community. There was some resistance
3 from the regulators. And before the community had a
4 chance, the cement trucks were there filling in those
5 lines. Would you care to elaborate on the -- on the
6 differences of the two removal actions?

7 MR. DeMARS: Well, obviously, the landfill gas
8 removal action was more of an emergency nature because
9 the landfill gas had drifted off of our property onto
10 another property, and we had to take action immediately.

11 The Dry Dock 4, you're right, that was kind of
12 a strange situation that we kind of ran into.

13 MR. CAMPBELL: And especially, we looked back
14 in some of the records, and we saw where IT was paid
15 millions of dollars some years before to remediate that
16 location.

17 MR. L. BROWN: Two contracts. Two contracts.

18 MR. DeMARS: Our predecessors attempted to do
19 removal action at Dry Dock 4 to take the sediment that
20 had collected in the drainage pipes --

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Right.

22 MR. DeMARS: -- and try to get rid of that.
23 They went as far as they could under that removal
24 action.

25 The problem was: That sediment had been in

1 those pipes for so long, it had compressed; that it had
2 literally turned into rock, and they couldn't even
3 jackhammer the stuff out of there.

4 So we went back and looked at the situation.
5 Unfortunately, we were against a -- the time clock was
6 against us, unfortunately. We knew that the tenant at
7 Dry Dock 4, AMC, was going to be leaving. When they
8 left, they were going to take their portable pumps with
9 them.

10 And after they were gone, as you can see right
11 now, the dry dock has pretty much filled back up. Once
12 that dry dock's filled back up, it could stay that way
13 forever. I don't believe that that dry dock has future
14 use as a dry dock. It could turn into a marina,
15 whatever.

16 Knowing this, we were under the gun to get in
17 there and complete the removal action on those culverts
18 before AMC left and before the dry dock started filling
19 back up with water.

20 We, the Navy, right now have no mechanism or no
21 way to pump water out of those dry docks. That's beyond
22 the scope of what we can do.

23 So to continue with removal action on Dry
24 Dock 4, we came in with the cement slurry mixture to --
25 you saw the cement trucks; and we basically filled the

1 pipes and encased them in concrete. We think in doing
2 that that there's no way the sediment is going to get
3 out, come in contact with the bay.

4 Now, just because we say that doesn't
5 necessarily mean it's true.

6 MR. ATTENDEE: Right.

7 MR. DeMARS: This site, like all others that we
8 have a removal action done with, are still taken forward
9 to the feasibility study. In the feasibility study, we
10 have to prove that what we do in the removal action
11 could, in fact, be the final remedy. We run a risk of
12 every removal action up here that that may not be the
13 case.

14 In the feasibility study, due to any number of
15 factors, maybe the cleanup levels change. PRGs change
16 all the time. Some go up, some go down. We may well
17 have to go back out and do additional work for each and
18 every one of these, and we won't know until we hit the
19 feasibility study stage.

20 Yes.

21 MS. OLIVA: Mr. DeMars, will the contaminants
22 that you removed before remedial action Bayview --

23 THE REPORTER: I can't hear. I'm sorry.

24 MS. OLIVA: Will the contaminants that were
25 removed before the actual remedial action to make things

1 go a little bit faster -- were any of these contaminants
2 tested? Is there lab results on what went out, where it
3 is, and --?

4 MR. DeMARS: Oh, absolutely. In fact, that's
5 one thing I failed to mention. Not only do we do an
6 Action Memorandum prior to the removal action, we do a
7 close-out report after the action's completed, and those
8 reports --

9 MS. OLIVA: Where -- where are those available?

10 MR. DeMARS: In the information repositories in
11 the library, and we usually do make those available. We
12 usually bring -- we can bring in extra copies to the RAB
13 and to the BCT.

14 MS. OLIVA: Thank you.

15 MR. DeMARS: Right now, unfortunately, the
16 close-out reports for most of these removal actions have
17 not been written yet. That's why you haven't seen them.
18 A lot of these are still ongoing.

19 MS. OLIVA: They have not been written yet?

20 MR. DeMARS: Correct. Either the removal
21 action itself is ongoing, or the reports are still being
22 written.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. We're going to
24 take a break now. Are you --?

25 MS. SHIRLEY: I have one clarification I want

1 to make. There's one removal action that's not on
2 there, which is the time-critical soil removals.

3 MR. MASON: Can't hear you, Chris.

4 MR. DeMARS: Those are -- those are right here
5 [indicating].

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Parcel --

7 MS. SHIRLEY: Okay. Thank you.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. We need to take a
9 break, and we're going to reconvene in -- I don't know,
10 quarter after. We need to --

11 MR. TISDELL: Stenographer stay and take notes.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Quarter after.

13 (Recess 7:02 p.m. to 7:13 p.m.)

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Mr. DeMars, can
15 you continue?

16 All right, everybody, we need to get back
17 started, please. We have a full agenda tonight. Okay?

18 We have a full agenda tonight. We have a lot
19 of questions to be answered; and everybody's going to be
20 throwing, you know, vegetables at me before the end of
21 the night anyway, so I'm just trying to hurry that
22 process because we have a lot of questions.

23 I've asked -- I've asked the presenters
24 tonight, or the answerers of the questions, the Navy and
25 their team, to lump the questions together, give us the

1 highlights. You can read the details. They didn't put
2 them in order, so that's a little bit of a challenge
3 here, but -- and Mr. DeMars?

4 MR. DeMARS: All right.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. But we need to -- we
6 need to move along so we don't -- we don't -- and we're
7 going to have a check-in at a quarter till, okay? All
8 right.

9 MR. DeMARS: The next set of questions concern
10 the Historical Radiological Assessment; and Marty
11 Offenbauer, the RPM for that, will address these
12 questions.

13 MR. OFFENHAUER: All right. The questions are
14 both similar: "What is the status of the revised HRA?"
15 And the second points out that there were errors in the
16 first draft HRA, which came out as of March 29th.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can you stand up and talk a
18 little louder, please?

19 MR. TISDELL: Slow down a bit.

20 MS. ATTENDEE: And also, who are you? I missed
21 that.

22 MR. OFFENHAUER: I'm Martin Offenbauer. I'm
23 the Parcel E rep and radiological RPM.

24 "What is the status of the revised HRA?"
25 Because the draft was put out on March 29th. And then

1 the second question points out that there were errors
2 and corrections needed in the draft HRA.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: You need to talk a little bit
4 louder, I mean, if you -- I know you might be shouting,
5 but --

6 MR. MARTIN: This is my voice.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. All right.

8 ATTENDEE: Move to the middle.

9 MR. OFFENHAUER: The Navy Radiological Affairs
10 Support Office, RASO, is in the process of working on
11 revising the HRA, taking comments that have been
12 presented from the BCT, from the public, and also a lot
13 of new information that they have been working on.

14 As example, there was a gentleman who was the
15 director of the NRDL laboratory here at Hunters Point.
16 He had long since retired and was planning to write a
17 book about the activities of the NRDL at Hunters Point.

18 He unfortunately passed away before he
19 completed the book. His family contacted RASO only a
20 short time ago and offered his files on the NRDL to
21 RASO, information that they did not have in the
22 production of the draft HRA.

23 So they have since obtained that information
24 and have learned a lot more about things that they had
25 only partial data on.

1 So there is a lot of work being done to clarify
2 things that weren't well understood, to correct the
3 mistakes that were made.

4 And the draft HRA is scheduled -- I'm sorry.
5 The draft final HRA is scheduled to be submitted
6 January 31st, next year, 2003.

7 And also, before I take your question, all of
8 the comments from BCT and from the public are included
9 in this version and are answered and dealt with. So I
10 want to make that clear.

11 Lani, question?

12 MS. ASHER: Yeah. I find it -- It's kind of
13 interesting that some mysterious files reappeared after
14 the mysterious death of this director of --

15 MR. OFFENHAUER: There was nothing mysterious
16 about it.

17 MS. ASHER: Well, anyway, what sort of methods
18 are you using to gather additional information that you
19 didn't use the first time other than -- other than --?

20 MR. OFFENHAUER: I should say these files that
21 became available.

22 We also discovered in one of the buildings some
23 maps, plans of Hunters Point -- it's amazing what's
24 still left in a lot of these buildings -- and also
25 additional research based on what we found in the first

1 place.

2 And also, you know very well that New World
3 Technologies is conducting surveys of all parcels, and
4 we have learned new information from those surveys.

5 Now, the draft final HRA will include only
6 information on what was in the draft up to March 29th.
7 Things that we learned after that will be provided in
8 follow-on parcel-specific reports.

9 The draft HRA -- I'm sorry. The draft final
10 HRA -- HRA is a snapshot. It's a picture in time. It's
11 not a video. It's a still. And it is only intended to
12 give a history of a base in reference to radiological
13 affairs up to a certain point. And it recommends
14 further work in certain areas wherever it is
15 appropriate.

16 So the additional new information we find will
17 be presented in the individual reports that will not be
18 a part of the draft final HRA

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

20 MR. OFFENHAUER: Can we go back to Lani?

21 MS. ASHER: So -- so you're continuing to use
22 the same method of using historical records? You're
23 uncovering new historical records as opposed to --?

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can everybody hear?

25 MR. ATTENDEE: No.

1 MR. ATTENDEE: No.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can you speak a little
3 louder?

4 MS. ASHER: Yeah. I'm talking about the
5 methodology that they're using, that they're using --
6 they're going by historical record, which seems -- I --
7 I still feel -- I know it's your usual method of
8 investigation, but we had talked at some previous RAB
9 meetings about doing it by a grid method and not going
10 by historical record alone. And is this a -- something
11 that you're considering?

12 MR. OFFENHAUER: No. We're not going by grid
13 method, but we're going by information that shows where
14 radiological materials were used.

15 MS. ASHER: But -- but as you --

16 MR. OFFENHAUER: -- to investigate --

17 MS. ASHER: -- yourself said, the inaccuracies
18 and, like, you've -- certain files pop up and a lot of
19 things are lost.

20 MR. OFFENHAUER: And should evidence come up
21 that indicates --

22 MS. ASHER: -- sure that that's a good way to
23 proceed.

24 MR. OFFENHAUER: It is a good way to proceed
25 because you have to know where you're going to look.

1 And when new evidence comes up, either from historical
2 records or from survey work that's being done in the
3 field by New World Technologies, then those areas will
4 be investigated.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. I think there's
6 some -- there's some information missing from -- from
7 Miss Asher's comments and from your responses. It
8 sounds like there needs to be a little bit more
9 information on other methods or methodologies for doing
10 this.

11 MS. ASHER: No. I --

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Is that what you're asking?

13 MS. ASHER: No, that is not what I'm asking.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

15 MS. ASHER: What I'm saying is that the Navy's
16 going by historical record as a way to look for
17 radiological information is not adequate, and we have
18 talked about this in other RAB meetings.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

20 MS. ASHER: Okay? That's -- that's --

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So you're saying that
22 it's not adequate and --

23 MS. ASHER: -- that they are not changing their
24 methodology.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

1 MS. ASHER: They are relying on stuff that
2 mysteriously pops up or that they find.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

4 MS. ASHER: I -- I just find that inadequate
5 and that produced the same sort of inade- -- inadequate
6 report that was produced the first time.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

8 MS. ASHER: Thank you.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: So I think what -- what I'm
10 trying to get to here is what -- what do we need to do
11 for next step so --

12 MS. ASHER: I don't feel that I need an
13 interpretation. Thank you. I think I made my point.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

15 MS. ASHER: Okay? Thank you.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Miss Asher, your -- your --
17 okay. Excuse me for just a second here. Okay. I want
18 to make sure that the questions that are asked and the
19 questions that are answered -- that everybody gets the
20 benefit of that information. And if there's something
21 that's not completely asked, then it's a hanging
22 question. This will never go off the table.

23 So I'm trying to get some resolution or a
24 method to get resolution, and that's where I'm at here.
25 I'm not trying to mirror anybody or any of that.

1 MR. MANUEL: You're doing your job.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So Miss Harrison --

3 MS. HARRISON: I believe --

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- and then Mr. Campbell.

5 MS. HARRISON: -- that Mr. Campbell was first,
6 so I will --

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes, sir.

8 MR. CAMPBELL: We had people like Janice Gale
9 come before the RAB when RASO was here and put in
10 testimony, because you can go back into some of the RAB
11 transcripts, and you can see that she talked about
12 spills in labs that were here.

13 RASO promised that they were going to make
14 contact with her. There was no contact ever made.
15 There was nobody with oral histories.

16 Lani Asher asked about the labs that were
17 around before the major lab was built, the wood labs.
18 We have lots of photographs. We can take you to
19 Building 101, and we can show you we had labs all over
20 the place.

21 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

22 MR. CAMPBELL: Where did the wood and the
23 foundations from those labs go? We asked Vinnie from
24 RASO. He doesn't know, okay. So that's problematic to
25 a community that could potentially be exposed later on.

1 We have New World Technology going out doing
2 radiological remediation. They're remediating a spot.
3 And guess what. Right next to where they are working
4 they discover another spot. Why? Because the initial
5 PRC study didn't find the other spot.

6 Now, the HRA is doing paper surveys, paper
7 surveys. Hey, you know, this is real life. This is
8 real people. This is real ground. Care to comment?

9 MR. OFFENHAUER: What is your question?

10 MR. CAMPBELL: What is my question?

11 MR. OFFENHAUER: A question?

12 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Where are the labs? One.

13 Two, do you know where -- where the
14 radiological sites are on the base?

15 Your HRA seems to be pretty flawed, because we
16 looked at it. We saw over a hundred incidents of
17 inaccuracies, and we pointed it out.

18 We asked Vinnie where the buildings, the labs,
19 were put into the landfill, which landfill, where. No
20 answer. No response. Doesn't know.

21 Your historical record does not prove that
22 information?

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: This -- To me, this sounds
24 like an in-depth --

25 MR. CAMPBELL: It's a question.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: It's a good question, and it
2 sounds like an in-depth -- there needs to be an in-depth
3 answer more than just superficial at this point.

4 So I think in all fairness, this is a --

5 MR. CAMPBELL: Well, he asked what my question
6 was.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: I got you. I got you. And
8 we got that question. So I think this is something that
9 we need to put on the agenda for a RAB to have a
10 presentation on and some discussion about the
11 methodology. Does that make sense?

12 MR. DeMARS: Just so you know, since the draft
13 come out, RASO has been gathering additional
14 information. We don't know yet how much --

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

16 MR. DeMARS: -- they have gathered.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: So --

18 MR. OFFENHAUER: I think your question is being
19 well answered.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So, well --

21 MR. CAMPBELL: Well, can we have that as an
22 action item?

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

24 MR. CAMPBELL: Because it would be in the
25 transcript.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: That is.

2 MR. CAMPBELL: Okay. Thank you.

3 MR. DeMARS: Sure.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Mr. Maloof, can you record
5 that as a -- as a -- in a future agenda topic item?

6 Yes, Miss Harrison.

7 Miss Harrison and then Dr. Sumchai.

8 MS. HARRISON: My question is real simple.

9 In light of the fact that all of this new
10 information is popping up and in light of the fact that
11 RASO has not had this information, I find it a little
12 hard that the Navy -- because I used to work for the
13 Navy, and I know they do everything in triplicate, so I
14 actually would like to request a copy of all this
15 information for RAB members who are interested in
16 obtaining it so that we can also follow along with you
17 with some sense of clarity, because, I mean --

18 MR. OFFENHAUER: It will be provided to you in
19 the report.

20 MS. HARRISON: I -- I wa- -- I -- I don't want
21 to wait for you -- I don't actually want your report.
22 I want the information so that we can follow along with
23 your report is what I'm asking for.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

25 MR. OFFENHAUER: It's quite voluminous.

1 MS. HARRISON: You know, and I understand that.

2 MR. OFFENHAUER: As you say, the Navy does
3 everything in triplicate.

4 MS. HARRISON: And this is why I know that
5 unless we have this information, we are never going to
6 get to the root problem of what's going on.

7 I mean, from the days when I worked out here,
8 things are a whole lot different. Things that I recall
9 happening and things that I -- I recall being shifted
10 off, buildings replaced with other buildings; and then
11 nobody seems to have knowledge of them. I mean, there's
12 something historically wrong with that whole picture.

13 So if I have the additional information that
14 you have, we then will have the benefit of following
15 along with your report and seeing where it differs with
16 our -- what our visual, our knowledge from folks who
17 used to work out there and all those things, because,
18 see, we use all of that. We don't just use a paper
19 trail.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Dr. Sumchai and then
21 Mr. Brown.

22 DR. SUMCHAI: As a matter of diplomacy, it
23 probably is a good idea to have issues pertaining to,
24 you know, the radiological affairs on the base at least
25 routed through the subcommittee, I think, as a matter of

1 diplomacy and a matter of a format that we have adopted
2 with other issues.

3 I also wanted to say that the HRA conforms
4 to -- in -- in my understanding, it certainly is spelled
5 out in the HRA. But the MARSSIM survey requires or
6 mandates or suggests that an HRA be part of a format in
7 which there's not a -- it's not a paper survey.

8 I mean, it's -- there are actual surveys,
9 radiological surveys, that are conducted on site. It's
10 based upon the development of an HRA, which is a
11 historical basis for trying to identify where the sites
12 were.

13 Then I also wanted to say in defense of Mr. --
14 Mr. Offenbauer that the HRA did have a public comment
15 period; and, you know, some of the issues that were
16 raised tonight could have been formally submitted as
17 part of that public comment and then incorporated into
18 the document.

19 The specific questions that I had were related
20 to Lani's concern and in clarification of the concerns
21 about it being a paper document. The -- There's
22 technical information that I hope is included in the
23 HRA.

24 One, is the EPA scan-van result of Parcel A
25 going to be included in the HRA?

1 Two, the survey that was done for Building H --
2 excuse me -- 821 for which you now have a DHS
3 concurrence, is that going to be included?

4 Three, Amy Brownell has provided some very
5 valuable documentation that you have alluded to with
6 regard to Building 815, and I would think that that
7 information would be included in the HRA.

8 So I'm just asking about those technical --
9 technical adjunct, including you -- you talked about the
10 RCD scan of Building 815. Is that going to be included?

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: So it sou- -- it sounds like
12 again that this -- this is more in depth and can't be
13 answered today because you obviously don't have this
14 information today. We're going to put it down for an
15 agenda item.

16 But there's also some follow-up questions that
17 people are filling out that we want to make sure there's
18 some written response to prior to having that, and it
19 might be reiterated on a future agenda, but we need to
20 go into this a little bit more in depth.

21 Last question on this topic, Mr. Manuel. I'm
22 sorry. I'm sorry, Mr. Manuel. Mr. Brown was first. He
23 was. He was.

24 I am so sorry, Mr. Brown.

25 MR. L. BROWN: I'd like to say, in Lisa Davis's

1 article, she got the guy who worked on the ship that
2 came from OPERATION CROSSROADS that went down to 253
3 where he said he broke this -- took the stuff off the
4 ship and put it in every spot that he could use out here
5 at the Shipyard.

6 Also, what about the guy who was on the tractor
7 that built IR -- yeah, bulldozer that built IR-01,
8 slash, 21? She got in touch with them, and he -- he
9 said, well, how they would call him at nighttime, and
10 they would -- had animals encased in the concrete and he
11 would bury.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: How interesting.

13 MS. HARRISON: Something we really need to
14 know.

15 MR. L. BROWN: Right. Right, we should.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: So we need to have those
17 things --

18 MR. L. BROWN: That goes to the oral history
19 side of it.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Mr. Manuel.

21 MR. MANUEL: Yes. As a person who's owned a
22 hazardous waste disposal business myself, I'd like to
23 help clarify something as well and ask a question.

24 Now, as far as I know, you're required to
25 file -- to follow federal and state guidelines for

1 surveying and sampling the soil and what have you to
2 establish what the levels are and whether or not they
3 need to be remediated. You're doing that, right?

4 So it's not simply a historical paper thing
5 that someone's finding or limiting to you or whatever.
6 But as the doctor here said earlier, public comment
7 is -- is important, because if you're doing an
8 investigation --

9 MS. HARRISON: Yeah.

10 MR. MANUEL: -- you can get help, because
11 everyone knows -- everyone in this room knows that our
12 government does not keep perfect records.

13 ATTENDEE: Amen.

14 MR. MANUEL: We don't -- We're not -- Most of
15 us don't know when we were born, you know, historically.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: I was there.

17 MR. MANUEL: No, you weren't.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Sorry.

19 MR. MANUEL: So what I'm trying to say is -- is
20 that we need to help these people get down to the
21 nitty-gritty of things, and we need to understand what
22 process they are required to follow.

23 The EPA is here --

24 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

25 MR. MANUEL: -- and they are the ones that's

1 going to make sure the procedure's done correctly. And
2 if we don't like the procedure, we need to get
3 Congresswoman Pelosi and everyone else to rewrite the
4 procedure so these people do something different.

5 But we -- we can't have it both ways. We
6 either make sure they do the job the way they are
7 supposed to do it, or we make sure that the rules are
8 changed. And -- and I believe they know they are going
9 to have to do the thing right, because everyone here is
10 going to be watching what they are doing. And if they
11 do something wrong, you got a cause of action.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can we -- can we close, and
13 can you write that question down?

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Well, no. It's -- it's just
15 basically a point.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

17 MR. CAMPBELL: Would you have him explain what
18 a Historical Radiological Assessment is. Is it a pa- --
19 is it a paper chase, paper document?

20 MR. OFFENHAUER: Not at all.

21 MR. CAMPBELL: What is it?

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, can we have --? That's
23 part of the in-depth discussion that we need to have,
24 because I don't think that he can adequately --

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Answer it, okay.

1 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- answer it today.

2 So let's not do it halfway. Let's do it fully
3 at a meeting where we can have all of our questions
4 answered and fully explored, 'cause I think we're very
5 interested in that.

6 MR. CAMPBELL: Yes, we are.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Can we move on to another
8 area? Thank you, Mr. Campbell, for your patience.

9 And I'm sorry for overlooking you, Mr. Brown.

10 MR. DeMARS: Well, we're starting to fall way
11 behind here, so we are going to go through this next set
12 of questions real fast.

13 This has to do with the Community Relations
14 Plan.

15 Go ahead.

16 Right now we are at a very critical juncture as
17 far as --

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: Talk louder.

19 MR. DeMARS: We are at a very critical juncture
20 right now as far as updating our plan. You'll see by
21 the schedule here, Thursday next week Lynne Brown is
22 holding a subcommittee meeting; and at the subcommittee
23 meeting, the interview list of people and interviewed
24 questions are going to be discussed and decided on.

25 Shortly after that the interviews are going to

1 take place, and that's a really key thing. We need to
2 go out and interview the community and take those
3 results and put those in our plan in order to really
4 successfully update the plan.

5 So we are at a very key juncture here. If
6 you're interested in participating in this, please go to
7 the subcommittee meeting next week, and we really want
8 to get your input and the community's input to help
9 update the plan.

10 Can we go to the other list?

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Miss Jackson?

12 MS. JACKSON: As a community person myself, I
13 want to know, the questions that you receive now that
14 you're going to approach the community with, those
15 questions were not all put in as a community for you to
16 go back and ask them. They were put in from leaders'
17 standpoint of view.

18 I was at that meeting. I didn't want to give
19 any, and I didn't give any questions because as of to
20 date, you have not brought anything to the community to
21 ask questions about.

22 MR. DeMARS: I'm not sure I understand the
23 question, but --

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm not either, because --
25 well, let me just make sure I got the --

1 MS. JACKSON: On the meeting that's going to be
2 held with Mr. Brown and the community -- community
3 input.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: But -- but what I'm -- but
5 what I'm understanding is -- and correct me if I'm
6 wrong, 'cause I heard this at the last meeting -- this
7 is the community action [sic] plan to actually go to the
8 community.

9 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: So it's not going to the
11 community before they plan how to go to the community.
12 So the input is how to approach the community.

13 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: So that's what the impetus of
15 this meeting is. Did I get that wrong?

16 MR. DeMARS: No. You're right. You're right.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Is that correct? Okay.

18 So, Miss Jackson, at this point, these -- they
19 are not ready to go to the community yet, and your input
20 into how they should approach the community is what they
21 are looking for.

22 MR. L. BROWN: Right. And your name is on the
23 list.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So can we --?

25 MR. DeMARS: And very quickly, I know that the

1 last RAB meeting there was concern about the inadequacy
2 of the '96 plan and how that wasn't being followed.

3 But I have to say that in the year 2002 -- and
4 a lot of this is due in large part to Keith Forman's
5 efforts -- we have really stepped up our community
6 outreach --

7 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

8 MR. DeMARS: -- at Hunters Point, and we look
9 to continue to improve that once we get the plan put
10 together.

11 You can switch to the next.

12 But these are some examples of what we have
13 done this year, which I think are way better than what
14 we have done in 2001 and 2000; and we hope to get even
15 better. And this list is in your written response, by
16 the way.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

18 MR. DeMARS: Any more on community relations?

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Next. Next topic.

20 MR. DeMARS: Next topic.

21 MR. BROOKS: Okay. Risk management review
22 process: "What does it entail? And what action is
23 being taken within this process?"

24 And I got to ask you, were you referring to the
25 historical risk management review process or the one

1 that we are getting ready to do? This is your question,
2 Kevyn, because I can go either way.

3 MS. LUTTON: Actually, I would -- I would like
4 to know the answer to both.

5 MR. BROOKS: All rightie. Then both.

6 The first one that we did, I think it was -- it
7 was actually before my time, back in about 1999.

8 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

9 MR. BROOKS: Looked at all of the soil data on
10 Parcel C and D and trying to evaluate it against some
11 preliminary risk screening numbers, and those numbers
12 were the preliminary remediation goals that the EPA
13 publishes for a couple of scenarios: One's industrial,
14 one's residential.

15 And so we compared the soil contamination that
16 was on those parcels to those goals to help us identify
17 sites where there could be an action that we might want
18 to -- might want to take, like soil excavation, which is
19 what happened. Basically, the RMR kind of helped the
20 Navy identify sites where -- that we subsequently
21 excavated on both Parcel C and D.

22 Now, the second round of the risk management
23 review process, since soil has been excavated on
24 Parcel B as part of the remedial action, the post-ROD
25 stuff, and then we had some removal actions on Parcel C

1 and D. So conditions have changed, and our risk
2 assessment no longer reflects the current conditions on
3 those parcels.

4 So the first thing we do is: We update our
5 database. We do new risk estimates. And like I said
6 before, we look at an additive risk.

7 And there's a bunch of different scenarios that
8 you can do your risk assessments with. We can look at
9 total risk, everything.

10 Some of the rock out here -- and you've heard
11 it before from -- from other folks -- manganese. The
12 serpentine rock has manganese in it. It has arsenic in
13 it. It has iron in it. All these things can be risk
14 drivers.

15 So the first thing that we looked at is total
16 risk, everything, stuff that has been spilled as a
17 result of activity at the Shipyard, stuff that is there
18 as just a result of where the Shipyard is located, you
19 know, on the geology that it's located on. So we look
20 at that total risk.

21 We look at separating what we think is
22 contaminants that can be attributed to spills and
23 releases at the Shipyard and subtract away stuff that we
24 think is -- represents ambient conditions.

25 Those are all these different scenarios we

1 looked at, industrial, residential, and we -- we spread
2 that out across the table with EPA and the Water Board
3 and the DTSC -- and Chein's a huge fan of this, I can
4 tell.

5 We do all this. It's painstaking, but we try
6 to identify the sites that -- where the risk exceeds our
7 cleanup goals where we're going to need to do some more
8 action, whether it's going to be soil excavation,
9 whether it's going to be soil vapor extraction, do we
10 have a problem in the groundwater that needs to be
11 addressed, because sometimes stuff can come from the
12 groundwater and get into the indoor air.

13 So that's kind of the risk management review
14 process.

15 We have gone previously further probably than
16 we should have in the pres- -- preparation of these
17 documents.

18 Parcel D, for example, the feasibility study,
19 we got up through proposed plan on Parcel B, Dave? Got
20 up through proposed plan, bang, got knocked all the way
21 back to starting the feasibility study over again.

22 So we don't want that to happen again. We want
23 to make sure that the Navy, the regulatory community,
24 the community that lives here, are all pretty much
25 agreed upon the path that the Navy is going to take.

1 And that's why we call it the risk management review
2 process. We are trying to get buy-in agreements on how
3 to address the risk that's left at the Shipyard.

4 MS. LUTTON: In -- in terms of the manganese, I
5 know that it's a naturally occurring thing in that
6 serpentine rock. But once it's ground up and moved,
7 earth movers and stuff, it becomes more available for
8 people to inhale. Isn't that correct? And is that
9 included in part of the risk?

10 MR. BROOKS: In -- That would be included in,
11 like, the total risk assessment where we do look at
12 that.

13 Now, you also have to look at fill was placed
14 on the Shipyard from the serpentine rocks. There's
15 places on the Shipyard where the bedrock is exposed at
16 the ground, and you got natural weathering processes,
17 and that stuff is also readily available now as dust and
18 what have you. Nature breaks rock down to dust. That's
19 just the way it happens.

20 And there's -- So there's, you know, from the
21 surface basically on down to however deep you want to
22 go, we have some -- we have those conditions that we
23 have to deal with. And that's why we want to do -- look
24 at the total risk.

25 MS. LUTTON: And how is the community involved

1 in the --?

2 MR. BROOKS: RAB meetings, RAB presentations.
3 Community involvement is also a real important part when
4 we get to the proposed plan.

5 So naturally we present to you, like, our
6 results of the risk management review process or risk
7 management review process updates. We get into the
8 feasibility study where we say "These are the spots that
9 we have identified that are going to need some further
10 action," and "these are the range of things that we can
11 do to remove this risk from the Shipyard, excavation,
12 soil vapor extraction, what have you."

13 And then when we get into the proposed plan,
14 this is where the Navy and the regulatory agencies --
15 actually, I think it's the Navy will say, "This is what
16 we think the best alternative is."

17 And so we have gone through a bunch of
18 categories that are in the NCP. We have threshold
19 criteria that says: "Do these alternatives meet the
20 letter of the law? Are they protective of health and --
21 human health and the environment?"

22 And then we get down to how about community
23 acceptance? What about regulatory acceptance?

24 This is all stuff that we have to look at when
25 we decide which alternative or which remediation method

1 we use to remove the risk.

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right, Mr. Brooks, thank
3 you.

4 Yes, sir.

5 MR. L. BROWN: Over there on Parcel C, that
6 chert, the nature's done a very well job, 'cause it's
7 very uniform than the powder over there. You got two
8 layers of that. And you said nature done it, broke all
9 the manganese down. I have a big old rock of manganese,
10 and the manganese over here is separate from the
11 serpentine.

12 MR. BROOKS: Go over like -- heck, just go over
13 here across the street from Lola's and look at that cut
14 slope there in serpentine rock.

15 MR. L. BROWN: I live over -- I -- I live
16 there and I separate the rock. It's all serpentine
17 rolling down, and then it's the maganese is separated.
18 But it's not chert now like Kevyn said. This is very
19 uniform out there on Parcel C.

20 MR. BROOKS: Rock weathers. There's just no
21 getting around it. Rock weathers. It --

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: So Mr. Brown and Mr. --
23 Mr. Brooks, do we need to talk about this more in depth?

24 MR. L. BROWN: Of course. Of course.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: So is this something that we

1 can elevate to a topic for an agenda and have a full
2 presentation on this?

3 MR. L. BROWN: We can go back out there and
4 look at it.

5 MR. BROOKS: Yeah, yeah, that would be good.
6 That would be good.

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: I think we have some
8 differences in opinion here, and I think that needs to
9 be flushed out. Okay. So if we can put that on our --
10 on our list there of things to -- future agenda items.

11 And before I take your question, can I -- I
12 just need to do a time check. We're -- The time is
13 winding, and we have 14 or 13 minutes before we are
14 supposed to, you know, end this meeting; and we have one
15 other -- something that needs to be announced.

16 But I want to say that the reas- -- the way
17 these questions are being answered tonight is in -- is
18 in direct response to how many people asked that
19 question.

20 MR. L. BROWN: Right, right.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: So these questions were the
22 most-asked questions and people wanted to know the most
23 about, and that's why we're choosing that.

24 The other questions are -- on the -- on paper
25 have an answer to them, so we may not get to them

1 tonight.

2 What I'd like to do now before we move on any
3 further is: What -- what do we do about that? What's
4 the pleasure of this RAB in terms of having
5 presentations or talking through the rest of the
6 questions?

7 Miss Harrison, you're dying to say something.

8 MS. HARRISON: You know, actually, I'm not.
9 I'm dying not to say something. To tell you the truth,
10 I'm dying not to say something.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Miss Pierce?

12 DR. SUMCHAI: Well, I've -- I've had an
13 opportunity to do a cursory review on the questions;
14 and, you know, I -- I do as usual have some challenges
15 to the answers; and I would very much like an
16 opportunity to have clarification on these issues,
17 because some of the information that is imparted here is
18 just not correct.

19 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

20 DR. SUMCHAI: So at some point, I think we need
21 to revisit each of the questions one at a time.

22 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

23 Yes, Mr. Manuel.

24 I'm sorry. Miss Pierce. Didn't see you in the
25 hole.

1 MS. PIERCE: I'm not suggesting that we go
2 through all of them tonight, but it's -- from -- from my
3 review of the written responses, while a few of them are
4 more detailed than the answers that were given, for the
5 most part, the answers of the oral report is more in
6 depth than what's here on the paper.

7 And so I think we need to find the time to
8 continue this, because the written document I don't
9 believe is sufficient simply by listening to what's been
10 said.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Mr. Manuel?

12 MR. MANUEL: I -- I believe that in all
13 fairness to not only the community at large, but the
14 members of the RAB and the professionals that are here
15 giving this presentation, I think we should hear more
16 from our dear EPA representatives because they can
17 explain -- being that they are the agency that's --
18 that's there to watchdog in our behalf, I think they can
19 explain the propriety of what's being done or what the
20 effect or what the benefit or what the whatever is
21 and -- because there's, you know, people that are doing
22 the work are going to have a presentation about it.

23 And so that there's not this give-and-take
24 thing going on, I think it would be good for the EPA to
25 be able to assure the community as well as the members

1 here that this is the proper way that things have to be
2 done and that there's nothing else that is required or
3 there is more that's required and they are going to have
4 to amend the way they are doing it to -- to meet that.
5 I -- I think -- because we always have this
6 give-and-take going on --

7 MS. PENDERGRASS: Right.

8 MR. MANUAL: -- where the community needs to
9 have someone to assure them that this is the right thing
10 to do.

11 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. Miss Harrison.

12 MS. HARRISON: Actually, I was just wanting
13 to -- excuse me. I simply just wanted to restate
14 Ahimsa's questions. I think that if she -- and being
15 the expert that if she has questions about those
16 answers, that maybe we need to split them up and take
17 them maybe at our next meeting, set out the time just
18 for answering that -- that portion and then at the next
19 RAB meeting take the other section and -- and set aside
20 some time to really get into those --

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

22 MS. HARRISON: -- because there's going to be
23 questions.

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: And that's the whole point of
25 this is so that we can get some answers, and I'd like to

1 make --

2 MS. HARRISON: I want to make sure that was an
3 action item that we have some time to really go into
4 these questions and answers.

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. I -- I do have a
6 recommendation, but this -- audience participants --
7 yeah.

8 MS. OLIVA: I'm Georgia Oliva, and I have a
9 question for Mr. Brooks.

10 MR. BROOKS: Sure.

11 MS. OLIVA: I'm curious to know if this
12 particular item would be in your risk management review.

13 In January of the year -- no -- December of
14 2000, posted in all the bathrooms in Building 101 was a
15 declaration of the existence of U-235 and its daughters.
16 Now, is that considered part of your risk management
17 review to find out about that?

18 MR. BROOKS: Well, this is kind of where I drew
19 the longer straw than Marty, because the feasibility
20 studies address the nonradiological contaminants.

21 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

22 MR. BROOKS: And so --

23 MS. OLIVA: Don't you think you should address
24 the radiological contaminants?

25 MR. BROOKS: They're -- You asked me if it's

1 in the risk management review, and I'm telling you that
2 it will not be in the risk management review because the
3 risk management review is a way to go from where we are
4 now to a complete feasibility study.

5 But the radiological cleanup is being handled
6 separate, and it has to -- has to come in at the end
7 toward the Record of Decision end. And so it's --

8 MR. DeMARS: And that's being addressed in
9 RASO's reports and RASO's investigations.

10 MS. OLIVA: On paper?

11 MR. DeMARS: Yes.

12 MS. PENDERGRASS: Yes. Okay.

13 MR. BROOKS: So that will identify the
14 radiological contaminants. Marty will identify the
15 radiological contaminants, recommend cleanup methods.
16 All that's coming back in at the proposed plan, so the
17 community gets to comment on the entire remedy. But the
18 risk management review process, it's only for the
19 nonradiological contaminants.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: One more question on this
21 before we need to make a decision.

22 Yes, Mr. Campbell.

23 MR. CAMPBELL: In regards to J. R. Manuel
24 having everything turned towards the EPA, we have DTSC,
25 which is an FF Federal Facilities partner in this DTSC,

1 which is the state EPA, and seeing what has been coming
2 out in the news lately about the EPA and how a lot of
3 the EPA's remedies have been cut, I would refer --
4 prefer to put the community's faith in DTSC and the
5 Water Resource Board and places like that.

6 And yes, as far as Congress, sure, I'd like to
7 see it from Congress. Maybe you can start it moving.

8 MR. MANUEL: If you understood the law, the
9 state EPA is subordinate to the federal --

10 MS. PENDERGRASS: Excuse me. We are going to
11 stop that conversation at this point. What -- what --
12 what we need to do now is -- is kind of we agree on a
13 process.

14 And I do have a recommendation. I think
15 Miss Harrison is correct. We do want to discuss these a
16 little further. So we need everybody kind of in
17 agreement on this.

18 How about if we take some of these m- --
19 these -- the questions, and I'm going to ask Mr. Brown
20 and it would be mist -- Mr. Forman if he was here and
21 Mr. DeMars if you can act in his stead at this point,
22 take the questions and divide them into their
23 committees.

24 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: And we need to break those

1 down and have those addressed more in detail at the
2 committee level so there can be more questions.

3 MR. DeMARS: Sure.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Is a possibility? So that --
5 and I would suggest that we at -- for our next meeting
6 have specific times and dates ready for the subcommittee
7 meetings what we are going to talk about these issues in
8 depth so that we can -- we can get this resolved and,
9 you know, the community can be apprised as well.

10 The second thing I would suggest is that in
11 addition to that, that we make sure that those sessions
12 are recorded so that we can --

13 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- get that information out
15 to the larger body who can't attend. Does that make --?
16 Does that sound like a reasonable kind of solution --

17 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- to making sure that
19 everybody gets the information and that the in-depth
20 questions get answered?

21 MR. MANUEL: That's a great idea to me.

22 MR. L. BROWN: Right.

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Does that make sense? And
24 are you agreeing to that? Are you agreeing to that,
25 Mr. Brown?

1 MS. PIERCE: So moved.

2 MR. L. BROWN: Yes.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

4 MR. MANUEL: Got a motion?

5 MS. PENDERGRASS: I can't motion anything.

6 MS. PIERCE: I did. I said, "So moved."

7 MR. MANUEL: Okay. I second.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Any discussion
9 about that?

10 None. Great.

11 Yes.

12 MS. FRANKLIN: I would like to clarify, make my
13 recommendation. I'm impressed with all the knowledge
14 and research that everyone's done, and I appreciate
15 the --

16 My name is Marie Franklin, by the way. Sorry.
17 And I appreciate --

18 MR. MANUEL: Marie J. Franklin.

19 MS. FRANKLIN: -- everyone being interested in
20 going -- getting ready to go to our community. So I'm
21 hoping that when you -- once you do enter, will you
22 realize that the community are not familiar with the
23 terms, so if there's someone breaking this down in a
24 community friendly presentation, it would be
25 appreciated, and we would also like to say that that

1 version be printed --

2 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

3 MS. FRANKLIN: -- as a document also.

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. And I think that is --
5 that is so -- that is -- just needs to be underscored
6 and highlighted that we do need to talk about this in
7 kind of, you know, real people-to-people terms.

8 You all know exactly what you're talking about;
9 but as you talk to people who don't, we need to make a
10 bridge there. And so breaking it down a little bit
11 helps a lot.

12 And Mr. DeMars, you've been doing that very
13 well. So thank you for doing that. We just want to
14 underscore that.

15 So with that --

16 MR. KEICHLINE: What's the motion?

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: Oh. The motions on the floor
18 is to accept my recommendation to have Mr. Brown and
19 Mr. DeMars break -- split the questions into the various
20 committees, make -- ensure that each committee leader
21 has a definite time, place ready to be published for
22 next -- for our meeting so that at that time, all of
23 these questions can be answered and handled in depth and
24 that further amended to that motion is that the sessions
25 be recorded and the answers printed. Does that come --?

1 Okay.

2 MR. MANUEL: There's a motion.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm going to call the
4 question. All in favor of that motion?

5 THE BOARD: Aye.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Anybody opposed to that?

7 MR. ATTENDEE: Don't look over here.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: I'm just asking. I'm just
9 asking.

10 Okay. Any abstentions?

11 MR. L. BROWN: May I say something? Can
12 Carole --? Can Carolyn Hunter speak up pertaining to
13 the Community Relations Plan?

14 MS. PENDERGRASS: But wait. We have to call --

15 MR. L. BROWN: Okay, okay, okay.

16 MS. PENDERGRASS: This motion is passed, okay.
17 We have -- Mr. Brown, I'll let you have the final word
18 on the floor.

19 MR. L. BROWN: Okay.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: We have one announcement and
21 you have the final, and then we're -- okay. We have two
22 announcements. And we are going to get those out of the
23 way, and then you have the final adjourning.

24 MR. TISDELL: Okay. In my -- in my
25 subcommittee report, I forgot to say that we had a

1 application -- only one application that was recommended
2 to the full RAB.

3 And Georgia, where you at?

4 MS. PENDERGRASS: Well, she's there.

5 MR. TISDELL: Oh. Georgia Olivier [sic],

6 Olivia? The -- the Membership & Bylaws Committee
7 accepted her application and presented for a full RAB
8 for her membership into the RAB.

9 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay. So your motion
10 is . . . ?

11 MR. TISDELL: Accept Georgia Olivier [sic] as a
12 RAB member.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

14 MR. MASON: I second it.

15 MS. PENDERGRASS: It's been seconded.

16 All in favor?

17 THE BOARD: Aye.

18 MS. PENDERGRASS: All opposed?

19 Any abstentions?

20 We have a new RAB member.

21 (Applause.)

22 MR. TISDELL: One more announcement. All RAB
23 members, please get these --

24 MS. PENDERGRASS: You only have one
25 announcement.

1 MR. TISDELL: Well, please get Membership &
2 Bylaw -- I mean --

3 MR. L. BROWN: The categories.

4 MR. TISDELL: -- categories because they will
5 be voted on at the next RAB so you can be prepared.

6 MS. PENDERGRASS: Miss Lu- --

7 MR. TISDELL: Thank you very much.

8 MS. PENDERGRASS: Thank you.
9 Miss Lutton, did you have another announcement?
10 Oh, I'm sorry. Miss Shirley.

11 MS. SHIRLEY: Arc Ecology has a -- and the
12 Bayview Community Advocates have a project called
13 Windows on the Shipyard. They are working on a booklet
14 about the landfill, history of the landfill, and also a
15 fact sheet on a helicopter pad at the Shipyard.

16 And we are having a workshop to help us review
17 that -- those documents on Saturday. So if you want to
18 come talk about the landfill and the heliport, we will
19 be at the E. P. Mills Community facility at 100 Whitney
20 Young Circle from 1:00 to 3:00 on Saturday.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: This Saturday?

22 MS. SHIRLEY: This Saturday --

23 MS. PENDERGRASS: Okay.

24 MS. SHIRLEY: -- right.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Mr. Brown?

1 MR. L. BROWN: Carole -- Carolyn, can you give
2 us a little update on what you going to plan on doing on
3 Saturday, the 12th?

4 MS. HUNTER: On Thursday?

5 MR. L. BROWN: Thursday. Come on. Come on.

6 MS. HUNTER: On next Thursday, the 12th, we are
7 going to meet here in this room from 6:00 to 8:00 p.m.,
8 and we are going to discuss the potential interviewee
9 list that we are coming up with and getting the
10 subcommittee's suggestions on different people to
11 interview in the community.

12 And we're also going to go over the interview
13 questions that we have proposed and get your feedback on
14 them and see if there are additional questions that we
15 would like to ask once we go out there and do interviews
16 and also get any concerns that the subcommittee has.

17 And so I'm going to go ahead and call everybody
18 who signed up last month to be on the subcommittee to
19 remind you guys next week. And anybody else who wants
20 to join, please come on Thursday.

21 MS. PENDERGRASS: If anybody else who would
22 like to join, please give your name to Carolyn before
23 you leave --

24 MS. HUNTER: Yes, please.

25 MS. PENDERGRASS: -- so she can give you a

1 call. And -- and --

2 MR. MASON: I have an announcement.

3 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right, Mr. Mason.

4 MR. MASON: And -- and what we like to also
5 know -- let you know is that CFC, which is the Community
6 First Coalition, also has a task force that is taking
7 action and information from the emergency helicopter
8 services also, and we meet tomorrow at --

9 What time?

10 ATTENDEE: One.

11 MR. MASON: One o'clock, yeah. There's a task
12 force on that also.

13 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Ron, quickly.

14 MR. KEICHLINE: Yeah.

15 Anybody who has filled out an unanswered
16 question survey, make sure they get it to me, please.

17 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right.

18 And Mr. Brown, you have the final before we --

19 MR. L. BROWN: No. It's already taken care of.

20 MS. PENDERGRASS: All right. Very good.

21 All right. You all, this has been extremely
22 difficult, and you all have been extremely patient. We
23 will get all these questions answered, and I say "We" in
24 the collective. I'm part of this community, and we
25 really want to see this answered. So thank you for your

1 patience.

2 We are adjourned.

3 (Off record at 7:59 p.m., 12/5/02.)

4 ----oOo----

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify that the foregoing meeting was reported by me stenographically to the best of my ability at the time and place aforementioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand this _____ day of _____, ____.

CHRISTINE M. NICCOLI, C.S.R. NO. 4569