

1

2

3

4

5

6

RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING

7

8

9

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 30, 2001

10

11

12

NATIONAL CITY, CALIFORNIA

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

REPORTED BY: Nancy A. Lee, CSR No. 3870

23

24

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

A T T E N D A N C E

NAVY REGION SOUTHWEST: Ms. Theresa Morley

SOUTHWEST DIVISION NAVAL  
FACILITIES ENGINEERING  
COMMAND: Mr. Darren Belton  
Mr. Julius Miller  
Mr. Edward Dias

DTSC: Mr. Douglas Bautista  
Ms. Leticia Hernandez  
Mr. Greg Sweel

BECHTEL NATIONAL: Mr. Jerald Bailey  
Ms. Karen G. Collins  
Ms. Carol Yamane

PUBLIC ATTENDANCE: Ms. Anita Boyd  
Ms. Nancy Lee

RAB MEMBERS: Mr. Peter Bishop  
Mr. Eugene Mullaly

18:20:11 1 NATIONAL CITY, CA., TUES., OCT. 30, 2001, 6:40 P.M.

18:34:32 2

18:34:32 3 MS. MORLEY: We should get started, even  
18:40:43 4 though we only have two RAB members, but they're  
18:40:47 5 very important two members.

18:40:49 6 Welcome and introductions. I think you  
18:40:52 7 guys have met almost everybody.

18:40:55 8 Carol, have you met everyone?

18:40:55 9 MS. YAMANE: I'm Carol Yamane with Bechtel.

18:40:59 10 MR. BISHOP: I'm Pete Bishop.

18:40:59 11 MR. MULLALY: Gene Mullaly.

18:40:59 12 MS. MORLEY: And she's the Project Manager  
18:40:59 13 for IR Site 3. We did finally get money to award  
18:41:08 14 that project.

18:41:22 15 Have you guys met Julius Miller? Julius  
18:41:36 16 is one of the new project managers taking over for  
18:41:40 17 Kathie at Southwest Division, and I told you the  
18:41:43 18 story of how I took Julius' job back when he was at  
18:41:45 19 Naval Station, and I've made him proud, I know.

18:41:52 20 And you know Jerry. And Nancy is the  
18:41:54 21 court reporter from DSP. And it's great because we  
18:41:54 22 don't have to spell out our acronyms. We can talk  
18:41:54 23 like we're used to.

18:41:58 24 And you know Douglas and you know  
18:41:58 25 Leticia. Greg Sweel is the geologist for DTSC.

18:42:11 1 He's been on with us for a long time like three  
18:42:14 2 years, four years?  
18:42:15 3 MR. SWEEL: At least.  
18:42:17 4 MS. MORLEY: I think this is your first RAB;  
18:42:19 5 right?  
18:42:19 6 MR. SWEEL: First RAB.  
18:42:21 7 MR. BELTON: Can we give a warm welcome.  
18:42:22 8 (Applause.)  
18:42:28 9 MS. MORLEY: And a couple of things before I  
18:42:32 10 get started, are either of you interested in  
18:42:36 11 reviewing the Remedia IR Site 4? If so, we have  
18:42:42 12 copies for you here if you wanted to look that over.  
18:42:47 13 MR. BISHOP: Sure.  
18:42:51 14 MR. MULLALY: Yes.  
18:42:52 15 MS. MORLEY: We were having problems this  
18:42:55 16 time with the Red Lion, so we're thinking next time  
18:43:00 17 of having the RAB in a Thai restaurant.  
18:43:12 18 What do you guys think about that? What  
18:43:14 19 do you guys think about having a more informal  
18:43:14 20 setting? Also, this room costs us \$150 a meeting.  
18:43:22 21 MR. MULLALY: So it's \$150 and you'll have  
18:43:24 22 dinner for all of us?  
18:43:28 23 MS. MORLEY: I don't know if we're allowed to  
18:43:30 24 do that. I'll check into it, though. We were  
18:43:31 25 actually thinking of the Admiral Kidd Club before,

18:43:35 1 which is my base, Anti-Submarine Warfare Center, and  
18:43:38 2 I did get their menu and stuff. But after September  
18:43:42 3 11th, they cancelled all their engagements, so I  
18:43:48 4 don't know how difficult it is to get people on base  
18:43:48 5 anymore. They're really tight with security. I'll  
18:43:54 6 check into that, though.

18:43:56 7 MR. MULLALY: That's fine.

18:44:03 8 MS. MORLEY: With that, I'll introduce  
18:44:05 9 myself, since I'm the next speaker. I'm Theresa,  
18:44:05 10 and I'm going to be talking about our FY 2002  
18:44:17 11 budget.

18:44:35 12 Just to note, this is our proposed  
18:45:20 13 budget. This is what we have been told that we're  
18:45:24 14 going to get, but right now the government is  
18:45:27 15 operating under a Continuing Resolution Authority, a  
18:45:30 16 CRA, which means that our elected people that go to  
18:45:34 17 Congress have not signed a budget yet, and until  
18:45:37 18 they sign the budget, we don't have any money. So  
18:45:40 19 we're kind of behind schedule.

18:45:42 20 As you can see, out of the total of \$4.8  
18:45:44 21 million, a large majority of that was supposed to  
18:45:48 22 come in the first and second quarter, which for the  
18:45:48 23 government October, November, December is first  
18:45:51 24 quarter; January, February, March is second quarter.  
18:45:54 25 So, unfortunately, we're a little behind the 8 ball

18:45:56 1 because we can't award contracts until we get the  
18:45:59 2 money because it -- basically we don't have any  
18:46:03 3 money. That's what it is.

18:46:05 4                   So that's what we're proposing. But it  
18:46:07 5 could come that if the budget is cut or there's any  
18:46:10 6 conflict, they take money out of environmental for  
18:46:13 7 operations to sustain the war, then this figure will  
18:46:16 8 be reduced when we actually do get our funds. So  
18:46:18 9 that's the other reason they can't award contracts.

18:46:22 10                   But right now this is what we're hoping  
18:46:24 11 for. As you can see, Site 1. This is the  
18:46:41 12 Feasibility Study and the ROD, Record of Decision.  
18:46:44 13 The RI, Remedial Investigation, has been awarded,  
18:46:47 14 and the work plan and field working report have  
18:46:51 15 already been awarded. The follow-on to that would  
18:46:52 16 be the Feasibility Study.

18:46:57 17                   Site 2 same thing. The RI, the Remedial  
18:47:01 18 Investigation, has already been awarded. That's on  
18:47:04 19 hold right now pending the conclusion of the removal  
18:47:08 20 action because we want to start with the current  
18:47:10 21 picture, but the Feasibility Study and the ROD is  
18:47:15 22 what we're planning to award.

18:47:19 23                   Now, if we go to further action, then  
18:47:22 24 it's not the ROD. It's just the FS; right?

18:47:24 25                   MR. BAILEY: It would still be a ROD for the

18:47:28 1 further action. That is what is required, yes.

18:47:31 2 MS. MORLEY: Okay. Now, as you can see, the  
18:47:31 3 big chunk of money goes to Darren, \$2.7 million  
18:47:33 4 because he's a very expensive boy. That is to  
18:47:38 5 complete the current removal action.

18:47:40 6 Remember last time -- I think, Gene, you  
18:47:40 7 were absent, and I don't know why you thought a  
18:47:44 8 vacation was more important than the RAB, but you  
18:47:48 9 did. So we talked about the removal action at  
18:47:55 10 Sub-Site 2A, and we expanded our boundaries from  
18:47:57 11 what we had originally proposed.

18:47:59 12 So even though we've made some  
18:48:00 13 cost-saving initiatives, we need more money to go  
18:48:03 14 out there and that will complete the whole site.  
18:48:06 15 It's quite a bit bigger. And that's what that  
18:48:08 16 money's proposed for, to finish up the report and  
18:48:10 17 everything for our Sub-Site 2A. But that should  
18:48:11 18 help us for most of the year.

18:48:16 19 Site 3, as you can see, there's two for  
18:48:19 20 Site 3. The first one again is the RI, the Remedial  
18:48:27 21 Investigation, is funded but we need money for the  
18:48:29 22 Feasibility Study and the ROD, the Record of  
18:48:31 23 Decision.

18:48:33 24 Right now we believe that this southern  
18:48:36 25 area -- remember when we did like three years ago, I

18:48:38 1 think, or four years ago we did that removal action?  
18:48:41 2 That was the first one we did in Site 3, which was  
18:48:43 3 the lead and PCBs. It was out by Harbor Drive.  
18:48:46 4 Remember that one? Well, the area north of that --  
18:48:50 5 that is now what's called the southern portion --  
18:48:52 6 north of that was where P.W.C. parked all their  
18:48:54 7 vehicles, all those white vehicles that were parked  
18:48:57 8 there. There's a couple of hits there, so we think  
18:48:59 9 we may need to go in and do like a hot spot removal,  
18:49:01 10 so we're kind of planning for that.

18:49:02 11                   We're still doing the sampling. That's  
18:49:04 12 what's been awarded. And if it does turn out that  
18:49:07 13 we need to do some hot spot sampling, we can go back  
18:49:08 14 and finish that out.

18:49:12 15                   And Site 4, same thing, the Remedial  
18:49:14 16 Investigation has been awarded. That's the work  
18:49:16 17 plan that you guys are going to read, but we still  
18:49:20 18 need to follow that with a Feasibility Study and the  
18:49:20 19 ROD.

18:49:23 20                   Site 7, we're almost done with that one.  
18:49:26 21 This last bit of money is to do the finalization of  
18:49:29 22 the decision documents for no further action, and  
18:49:32 23 that we'll get. Even though once we get regulatory  
18:49:35 24 concurrence by letter, you still need a Record of  
18:49:38 25 Decision that the public reviews and that you have

18:49:40 1 meetings and stuff to completely close that site out  
18:49:43 2 of the IR or the CERCLA process.

18:49:45 3                   And then Site 8. Well, it was  
18:49:51 4 originally awarded for long-term monitoring of the  
18:49:53 5 bioslurping, but we kind of are changing our plans.  
18:49:56 6 We're going to do excavation there. We've gotten  
18:49:59 7 down -- remember those sites? The northern plume  
18:50:01 8 and the southern plume? The northern plume is  
18:50:03 9 almost all done, but there's still some remaining on  
18:50:03 10 the southern plume, and it's gone against the quay  
18:50:07 11 wall.

18:50:08 12                   So we're going to go in there and cut  
18:50:09 13 out a trench and kind of slip out of that trench and  
18:50:11 14 see if we can speed that process up a little bit.  
18:50:16 15 And we just gave that work plan to the Water Board  
18:50:18 16 today, so we're hoping that happens in December.

18:50:22 17                   Site 13, which was the sandblast area,  
18:50:28 18 we just have a little tiny bit left. There's one  
18:50:29 19 vault that used to be the -- it held the sandblast  
18:50:34 20 grit when it was a rail car -- when they came in and  
18:50:34 21 dumped it by rail. We have to remove that stuff in  
18:50:40 22 there -- it's like 200 cubic yards -- and then  
18:50:42 23 finalize that report, and that should finalize that  
18:50:44 24 site. So that's one less little bit.

18:50:47 25                   And then Site 15. Is that the SIMA

18:50:54 1 transportation? I'm used to its SWMU number, solid  
18:50:58 2 waste management number. I think next time we're  
18:51:04 3 probably going to talk about solid waste management  
18:51:06 4 units, but no investigation has been done on that  
18:51:06 5 site yet, so this will finally get that one started.

18:51:12 6                   And that's our plan. Do you guys have  
18:51:14 7 any questions on that or suggestions or complaints?

18:51:21 8                   MR. BISHOP: I notice that our funding is  
18:51:22 9 allocated to quarter one. Since we're under a  
18:51:27 10 Continuing Resolution, then I would presume would  
18:51:31 11 everything be shifted back a quarter?

18:51:34 12                   MS. MORLEY: We hope not. We hope that as  
18:51:36 13 soon as the CRA is lifted that we can start  
18:51:39 14 beginning awards. And you guys -- correct me if I'm  
18:51:42 15 wrong -- are already negotiating, that you're  
18:51:45 16 getting through -- actually, once you've gone  
18:51:46 17 through negotiations, you can start that process.  
18:51:49 18 And then after negotiations, it only takes a week or  
18:51:53 19 two to award. It's negotiations that is the time  
18:51:55 20 consuming part, so you can have everything  
18:51:57 21 negotiated and ready to go.

18:51:59 22                   But we're hearing now that the CRA may  
18:52:02 23 be extended through the end of November, which is  
18:52:04 24 going to push everything to December. But you want  
18:52:06 25 to try to award those first two quarters because

18:52:08 1 anything that you leave hanging, you run the risk of  
18:52:08 2 someone else taking it. If they have a higher  
18:52:08 3 priority site -- let's say they start a removal  
18:52:08 4 action and run out of money, they'll take it from  
18:52:08 5 someone that hasn't awarded their money because they  
18:52:16 6 have to finish what's been started. So you try to  
18:52:23 7 front load everything in the first two quarters.

18:52:25 8 MR. BISHOP: Well, when the contracts are  
18:52:26 9 let, is that going to take care of all the money  
18:52:29 10 that is seen here or are we looking at different  
18:52:33 11 contracts being let in different quarters?

18:52:35 12 MS. MORLEY: No. That will take care of all  
18:52:37 13 of it.

18:52:38 14 MR. BISHOP: So it will all be committed.

18:52:39 15 MS. MORLEY: And if you receive -- after the  
18:52:41 16 CRA is lifted and you give us our budget and it's  
18:52:44 17 less, we'll have to reprioritize again what we had  
18:52:47 18 planned to award.

18:52:51 19 MR. BELTON: For example, at Site 2 we're in  
18:52:52 20 negotiations with the contractor already, and we  
18:52:55 21 have proposals in. So assuming the money comes in,  
18:53:01 22 we're going to award.

18:53:04 23 MR. BISHOP: I guess the only other question  
18:53:08 24 I have is looking at all the sites we have, I know  
18:53:13 25 the number of sites is getting smaller, but the list

18:53:13 1 doesn't seem to be getting shorter.

18:53:18 2 MS. MORLEY: Well, some of those are on there  
18:53:20 3 twice, though.

18:53:21 4 MR. BISHOP: Yes, I know. But I see 2's and  
18:53:22 5 3's.

18:53:24 6 MS. MORLEY: Because it's really only Site 1,  
18:53:24 7 2, 3, and 4 which are really the big ones that are  
18:53:28 8 left. Site 7 that will close it. Site 8 that will  
18:53:30 9 close it. Site 13 that will close it. And then 15  
18:53:32 10 is a new one.

18:53:34 11 So we're really stuck with the big four  
18:53:36 12 right now. The other ones are kind of going through  
18:53:37 13 the closure process or the last little bit that they  
18:53:41 14 need to close.

18:53:42 15 MR. BISHOP: When do you think we're going to  
18:53:42 16 have them zipped up?

18:53:44 17 MS. MORLEY: I was told by Captain Hering  
18:53:46 18 that I had till April. I don't think I'll make  
18:53:49 19 that.

18:53:53 20 I think on everything but those big four  
18:53:55 21 we're getting close. Site 3 may probably be the  
18:53:58 22 next one 'cause it's smaller. Site 10 is smaller.  
18:53:59 23 It may be coming in pretty soon.

18:54:04 24 But, especially 1 and 4, I just don't  
18:54:08 25 know because until we do additional sampling, we

18:54:09 1 don't know if we're going to have to do additional  
18:54:11 2 work.

18:54:12 3 MR. BAILEY: We are preparing a fact sheet  
18:54:13 4 that provides the status and an expected closing  
18:54:14 5 date for all the sites that's coming out probably  
18:54:18 6 within 30 days or so.

18:54:21 7 MS. MORLEY: And that has updated each site,  
18:54:24 8 what's proposed, and what its expected closure date  
18:54:26 9 is. It's a lot in 2002 and 2003. I think we had  
18:54:30 10 gone over before the --

18:54:33 11 MR. DIAS: DPGs, defense priority goals.

18:54:40 12 MS. MORLEY: They've ordered the Department  
18:54:42 13 of Defense because they're unhappy with the progress  
18:54:45 14 that's been made in the program, and it's not just  
18:54:48 15 the Department of Defense. Superfund is under  
18:54:51 16 attack, too, for not having cleaned up a lot of what  
18:54:54 17 they've gotten money for.

18:54:54 18 But the defense planning goals are to  
18:54:55 19 have all the high risk sites closed by 2007 and the  
18:55:04 20 medium and low by 2014. So they want the whole  
18:55:06 21 program closed out by that point. So I think we'll  
18:55:11 22 beat that, but it's probably going to be another  
18:55:11 23 couple of years, so you guys are going to see us for  
18:55:11 24 a while.

18:55:18 25 MR. BISHOP: That's good. The coffee's good.

18:55:19 1 The company's great.

18:55:23 2 MS. MORLEY: And one thing we're doing next  
18:55:27 3 year is with the RAB's support money that we get is  
18:55:30 4 a high school curriculum. I think we talked about  
18:55:35 5 this before. The middle school one was such a  
18:55:38 6 success, it's on the CNO Web site, Chief of Naval  
18:55:38 7 Operations web site. And I think there's more of a  
18:55:42 8 demand for high school, so we'll be working on that  
18:55:45 9 next year, too.

18:55:47 10 I think we have another couple of years,  
18:55:49 11 but we're getting close.

18:55:53 12 MR. BISHOP: Well, I'm teaching high school.

18:55:55 13 MS. MORLEY: I know. That's why I picked  
18:55:56 14 that one. Guess who's going to head up that  
18:55:57 15 committee? Pete.

18:56:09 16 MR. BISHOP: I have to introduce the next  
18:56:10 17 person. Our next presenter will be Darren Belton  
18:56:15 18 from Southwest Div who'll give us an overview of  
18:56:16 19 Installation Restoration Program Projects for FY 02.

18:56:22 20 MR. BELTON: Thank you, Pete.

18:56:26 21 This is a unique RAB because we get to  
18:56:28 22 look at the entire year and find out what project is  
18:56:31 23 coming up, and this is actually a follow-on to  
18:56:34 24 Theresa about the budget.

18:56:37 25 Again, I'm Darren Belton with the Navy,

18:56:37 1 and I'm going to give you an overview of the IRP  
18:56:41 2 projects for this coming financial year.

18:56:44 3                   These are our sites, basically scattered  
18:56:48 4 all over the wet side.

18:56:59 5                   Does anyone ever wonder what we do with  
18:56:59 6 all those wells that's all over the basins when we  
18:57:02 7 do our investigations -- monitoring wells? Does  
18:57:10 8 anyone know what we do with them? Well, basically  
18:57:11 9 we have to maintain them. The county has informed  
18:57:14 10 us that we have to maintain our wells so we don't  
18:57:17 11 impact groundwater.

18:57:20 12                   So it was recently brought to our  
18:57:20 13 attention that we haven't been maintaining our  
18:57:22 14 wells. So what we did is ask Bechtel to help us  
18:57:27 15 out, our CTO 196, which is funded, so it's not  
18:57:28 16 budget dependent. We're going to go ahead and do  
18:57:34 17 some repairs on the wells and make sure they're  
18:57:34 18 properly maintained for future use.

18:57:40 19                   This is the test schedule: Conduct well  
18:57:41 20 inspections at IR Program sites October to December  
18:57:45 21 time frame. Pete Stang is working on that, and he  
18:57:48 22 wants to meet with me tomorrow. I don't know if I  
18:57:48 23 can do that.

18:57:54 24                   MR. MULLALY: So is this done just during the  
18:57:54 25 period they're using them as monitoring wells?

18:57:59 1 MR. BELTON: We should maintain our wells  
18:57:59 2 throughout their life until they're destroyed,  
18:58:03 3 meaning that they're properly maintained, they're  
18:58:05 4 above the ground so water flow doesn't go into them.  
18:58:12 5 Protecting the groundwater.

18:58:13 6 MR. MULLALY: So it could be a long term --

18:58:15 7 MR. BELTON: It could be long term throughout  
18:58:16 8 the long term cycle.

18:58:18 9 So we will budget next year to continue  
18:58:18 10 this operation.

18:58:21 11 MS. MORLEY: We have some wells that date  
18:58:22 12 back to the late '80s.

18:58:26 13 And another thing is if we repair  
18:58:29 14 them -- sometimes you're not required to bring them  
18:58:30 15 up to current standards, but sometimes you might  
18:58:30 16 want to do that just to make sure that your wells  
18:58:36 17 are good. We're proud of our wells.

18:58:39 18 MR. BELTON: When we put in monitoring wells,  
18:58:41 19 there's a long term duty for us to maintain them.  
18:58:45 20 And thanks to Bechtel, we're going to do that, and  
18:58:47 21 Susan with P.W.C.

18:58:50 22 Is everyone familiar with the term  
18:58:55 23 Remedial Investigation? Anyway, we're going to do a  
18:59:01 24 Remedial Investigation for Site 1. Basically what  
18:59:02 25 that means is we're going to go in there and find

18:59:04 1 out what's there exactly, to what extent and what  
18:59:07 2 contaminants.

18:59:10 3                   We're going to look at Basins 1 and 2.

18:59:11 4 Those are the two new basins that we found, and

18:59:14 5 hopefully we can drop them out with attached memos

18:59:18 6 after we find that there's nothing there, hopefully.

18:59:20 7 Knock on wood.

18:59:22 8                   Groundwater characterization. Soil

18:59:24 9 sampling confirmation and SI at Basins 1 and 2.

18:59:31 10 This basin will require soil and groundwater

18:59:33 11 samples.

18:59:34 12                   Any questions on this?

18:59:36 13                   MS. COLLINS: Just for an aside, Theresa had

18:59:38 14 asked that documents that will be available for RAB

18:59:42 15 review are in yellow, so those are kind of in yellow

18:59:47 16 for your information.

18:59:49 17                   MR. BELTON: I was wondering what yellow

18:59:51 18 meant.

18:59:52 19                   Site 2, my project. Removal Action and

18:59:56 20 Remedial Investigation. Well, we're still doing

19:00:00 21 removal action there, and like Theresa said, we

19:00:04 22 budgeted for about \$2 million, and we're in

19:00:06 23 negotiations with a contractor to do the remedial

19:00:09 24 action when we receive funds.

19:00:15 25                   Excavation of hot spots, confirmation

19:00:15 1 report, then we're going to follow on with a  
19:00:15 2 Remedial Investigation. Basically we're going to go  
19:00:17 3 back and see if we left anything.

19:00:21 4 Another RI for Site 3. RAB documents  
19:00:28 5 should come out in March 2002. Basically we're  
19:00:30 6 going to go in there and find out what's at the  
19:00:34 7 site, and we're budgeted.

19:00:38 8 MS. MORLEY: Is Site 3 awarded?

19:00:41 9 MR. BAILEY: Yes.

19:00:43 10 MR. BELTON: This is Site 4. RAB document  
19:00:48 11 October 2001, another RI, and this site is also  
19:00:51 12 awarded, so this is not dependent on any budget  
19:00:53 13 restraints or anything like that, unless Bechtel  
19:00:55 14 runs out of money, which I don't think that would be  
19:01:01 15 the case.

19:01:03 16 Any questions on Site 4?

19:01:06 17 MR. BISHOP: I had a question on Site 3.

19:01:07 18 Well, maybe it's a question on Site 4, too.

19:01:10 19 I thought we went in there a couple of  
19:01:12 20 years ago.

19:01:15 21 MS. MORLEY: We did Site 3, and what happened  
19:01:21 22 was we had originally done removal site evaluations,  
19:01:21 23 and the IR process -- the CERCLA process -- I wish I  
19:01:29 24 had a slide that explains it -- but the first step  
19:01:31 25 is the Preliminary Assessment Site Inspection, then



19:02:48 1 acres.

19:02:50 2 MS. MORLEY: So we still have to go back and  
19:02:51 3 look at the other 23 acres, the total.

19:02:55 4 And at Site 4 we haven't down removal  
19:02:58 5 there.

19:02:58 6 MR. BISHOP: Okay.

19:02:59 7 MR. BELTON: Site 4, the draft document to  
19:03:08 8 the RAB in 2001. Again, this is going to be our RI,  
19:03:11 9 not budget dependent.

19:03:19 10 The Decision Document for Site 6. This  
19:03:21 11 is the UXO. Basically what the Navy is doing is  
19:03:24 12 saying, "Hey, it's our property. Our people are on  
19:03:26 13 it." So we're going to go ahead and do a decision  
19:03:28 14 document, inform the public of what we're going to  
19:03:31 15 do in the future for institutional controls.

19:03:35 16 There's been a lot of conflict on this  
19:03:37 17 of what the Army's responsibility is and what the  
19:03:37 18 Navy's responsibility is, so hopefully, this helps  
19:03:37 19 clarify some of that.

19:03:44 20 MS. MORLEY: We can never close a UXO site.  
19:03:50 21 That's what they say.

19:03:52 22 MR. BAILEY: Currently.

19:03:54 23 MS. MORLEY: Currently we can never close a  
19:03:56 24 UXO site.

19:03:57 25 MR. MULLALY: So this isn't on the budget?

19:04:02 1 MR. BELTON: This is awarded. I believe  
19:04:04 2 Bechtel has funds to do this.  
19:04:09 3 Any questions on this one?  
19:04:12 4 MR. BISHOP: Well, I don't see Site 6 on the  
19:04:15 5 budget plan.  
19:04:16 6 MS. MORLEY: It's already awarded.  
19:04:20 7 MR. BISHOP: All right.  
19:04:22 8 MR. BELTON: This is not a budget dependent  
19:04:23 9 on the new year. This is awarded last year.  
19:04:27 10 MR. BISHOP: Since this never closes,  
19:04:28 11 shouldn't we have an ongoing funding line for that?  
19:04:32 12 MR. BELTON: Hopefully, this goes into the  
19:04:32 13 next year. Since we're not going to use any money  
19:04:34 14 for the site this year, you wouldn't budget for any  
19:04:40 15 fund.  
19:04:40 16 MS. MORLEY: And really, our responsibility  
19:04:42 17 because it is an Army site, it's not -- the Army is  
19:04:47 18 responsible for sweeping. Every five years they  
19:04:49 19 have to come out and sweep the area. If they find  
19:04:52 20 anything -- check for erosion and vegetation cover,  
19:04:56 21 do a survey, survey the residents.  
19:05:01 22 All we have to do is we have welcome  
19:05:02 23 packets -- I think we handed those out before -- to  
19:05:05 24 new residents of the Murphy Canyon housing area so  
19:05:08 25 when they move in, they're alerted to the dangers of

19:05:10 1 the site and a contractor handout. So if our public  
19:05:15 2 works people -- if there's a contractor that's going  
19:05:17 3 to do any kind of digging, they get a contractor  
19:05:19 4 package about our institutional controls. The rest  
19:05:22 5 is the responsibility of the Army.

19:05:25 6 MR. BISHOP: So if something has to be  
19:05:25 7 cleaned up, you call the Army and they pay for it.

19:05:30 8 MS. MORLEY: Right. Although we do have --  
19:05:36 9 if it was an emergency, we have explosive ordnance  
19:05:36 10 people at the Navy that are trained to do that.  
19:05:37 11 They would probably respond first.

19:05:42 12 MR. BELTON: Thank you, Theresa.

19:05:44 13 Another RI for Site 7. We're hoping for  
19:05:49 14 no further action, and it's looking that way. Draft  
19:05:52 15 to the RAB in November 2001. After the RI, we're  
19:05:56 16 going to do the decision document. We're going to  
19:05:57 17 wrap Sites 5, 7, 11, and 12 in that decision  
19:06:01 18 document and do a ROD.

19:06:05 19 MS. MORLEY: And that's for closures, no  
19:06:08 20 further action.

19:06:09 21 MR. BELTON: Right. Hopefully we won't see  
19:06:10 22 those sites anymore.

19:06:13 23 Closeout decision documents for Sites 5,  
19:06:29 24 7, 11, and 12. Why do we have 13 there?

19:06:34 25 MR. BAILEY: Maybe 13 if we get it cleaned

19:06:37 1 up.

19:06:38 2 MR. BELTON: Okay. I hope so, too.

19:06:42 3 Draft to the RAB in January 2002.

19:06:48 4 Public meeting presenting proposed plan January

19:06:51 5 2002. Record of Decision April 2002. Again, this

19:06:54 6 is our proposed schedule. This is subject to change

19:06:57 7 and budget restraints and things like that. It's

19:07:00 8 sort of like our wish list.

19:07:02 9 MR. BAILEY: But it is funded. It's

19:07:03 10 currently funded.

19:07:05 11 MR. BELTON: Yes, it is.

19:07:07 12 Site 10. This is kind of up in the air.

19:07:11 13 We really don't know what we're going to build here.

19:07:14 14 Initially we were proposing something, and we've

19:07:15 15 been talking to the regulators and we may go

19:07:18 16 another route, so I'm not going to talk too much

19:07:22 17 about Site 10, and maybe at our next RAB meeting

19:07:24 18 we'll go into more details.

19:07:34 19 IRP for Site 13, revise RSE report to

19:07:36 20 include additional soil and groundwater data.

19:07:36 21 Expect the draft February 2002; final March 2002.

19:07:43 22 Of course, we're going to need regulatory

19:07:44 23 concurrence, and hopefully we'll get that around

19:07:47 24 April/May 2002. Decision documents, if everything

19:07:49 25 goes on schedule, should be around August 2002.

19:07:56 1 Any questions?

19:08:09 2 MR. BISHOP: Back to Site 6, the UXO.

19:08:15 3 When's the last time that anything was

19:08:16 4 found out there? It seems to me I remember

19:08:17 5 something in the newspaper --

19:08:19 6 MS. MORLEY: In our area it was -- actually,

19:08:21 7 you're right. In our area nothing has ever been

19:08:25 8 found because the way that it's situated now, Camp

19:08:29 9 Elliott was nearest Tierrasanta, and it comes down

19:08:31 10 like this and here's Murphy Canyon housing on that

19:08:34 11 ridge. They had the guns pointed this way so

19:08:37 12 everything fired over that way, so they haven't

19:08:39 13 found anything.

19:08:40 14 But on this last sweep, which was -- I

19:08:43 15 think that was completed I think a year ago, they

19:08:48 16 didn't find anything; but on the sweep before that

19:08:50 17 they found a little bit. But primarily I think it

19:08:55 18 was eight years ago, probably even more than that

19:08:59 19 was when they found the majority and swept the

19:08:59 20 majority out. And I think there was a lot -- when

19:09:05 21 they built Tierrasanta, they graded a lot of that

19:09:08 22 area.

19:09:09 23 MR. BELTON: Has the Army swept our entire

19:09:09 24 area or has it just been a portion?

19:09:12 25 MS. MORLEY: A portion of our area.

19:09:15 1 MR. BISHOP: So here's my concern. We give  
19:09:21 2 the folks a check in Murphy Canyon or a handout.  
19:09:26 3 Well, that either goes into the handout file or it  
19:09:27 4 goes in the circular file. And the family lives  
19:09:29 5 there for a number of years and the kids grow up and  
19:09:33 6 they don't remember.

19:09:35 7 MS. MORLEY: Only two or three years. These  
19:09:36 8 are active duty, so they're only there on a  
19:09:39 9 rotation.

19:09:39 10 MR. BISHOP: No, no, not necessarily. There  
19:09:40 11 are people that hang out in San Diego for a while.

19:09:45 12 MS. MORLEY: In Murphy Canyon?

19:09:46 13 MR. BISHOP: Oh, yes. I mean, you can get  
19:09:46 14 repeat tours here. You can get tours from one ship  
19:09:46 15 to another or ship to shore to ship. It has been  
19:09:51 16 known to happen. Not to me. I moved every 18  
19:09:56 17 months. But some people -- for every guy that does  
19:09:58 18 that, there's a guy that camps out in San Diego. I  
19:10:00 19 think that's detail or something.

19:10:03 20 At any rate, you can have kids that grow  
19:10:07 21 up there, and they won't know from anything. But if  
19:10:10 22 they find a piece of unexploded ordnance or a young  
19:10:11 23 kid -- you know, 7, 8, 9 years old -- they're going  
19:10:11 24 to play with it because they don't remember.

19:10:18 25 And as we have this training program

19:10:21 1 that we take to the schools, I think it would be  
19:10:25 2 something to look at to do like an annual briefing  
19:10:27 3 at th schools.

19:10:29 4 MS. MORLEY: They actually do that at the  
19:10:29 5 schools. This is just for our residents. The Army  
19:10:30 6 pays for it. They have -- I think it's every six  
19:10:34 7 months at the school they have a video. They have  
19:10:36 8 like a fireman comes and talks about it and shows  
19:10:39 9 them what it looks like, and they have like  
19:10:42 10 management. It's in the paper.

19:10:44 11 But, actually, our packet isn't just a  
19:10:44 12 handout. It actually has a coloring book with Larry  
19:10:44 13 the Lizard, and it has like crayons made out of soy  
19:10:51 14 beans. They're nontoxic so they can eat them.  
19:10:51 15 They're like magnets and all kinds of stuff, so it's  
19:10:56 16 kind of oriented for the children that they would go  
19:10:58 17 "Oh, coloring book" and stuff. It's real colorful.  
19:10:58 18 It's not just a handout that they would put away.

19:11:05 19 And we've talked to the people. As  
19:11:06 20 their welcome package makes them understand, "Please  
19:11:08 21 look at this, the dangers."

19:11:09 22 And the other thing is they have never  
19:11:09 23 found ordnance. And when they graded a lot of that  
19:11:13 24 area to put the Murphy Canyon housing in, they took  
19:11:17 25 care of -- if there was anything, they would have

19:11:17 1 taken it.

19:11:20 2 MR. BISHOP: So we covered the base then.

19:11:23 3 MS. MORLEY: Right. And the part that we're

19:11:23 4 more concerned about are the native slopes, but

19:11:26 5 they're very steep. Like you know when you're

19:11:26 6 driving up Arrow Drive and you see them, it's

19:11:31 7 like -- they're fenced off, for one, and then they

19:11:33 8 are pretty steep. So I think that we're pretty --

19:11:36 9 and then they do brief them in the schools, too.

19:11:39 10 MR. BISHOP: But kids get up into areas you

19:11:39 11 think they're never going to go to because as

19:11:43 12 parents, you think they won't go there but they go

19:11:43 13 there. I remember I was kid in Tierrasanta.

19:11:51 14 MS. MORLEY: And in the canyons they have all

19:11:51 15 those signs that say "If you see this, call 911.

19:11:52 16 Don't pick it up." But Larry, the Lizard is pretty

19:11:53 17 instructive.

19:11:56 18 MR. BISHOP: Well, if they're getting briefed

19:11:57 19 every year like at the schools, it's a follow-up

19:12:00 20 program, I think that covers it. That's good.

19:12:05 21 Thank you.

19:12:08 22 The next event will be Jerry Bailey,

19:12:10 23 Installation Restoration Program and fact sheet

19:12:15 24 status.

19:12:19 25 MR. BAILEY: Theresa is going to be Jerry

19:12:21 1 Bailey, I think, at this point.

19:12:30 2                   Actually, we are preparing a removal  
19:12:24 3 action fact sheet to document the ongoing removal  
19:12:37 4 action at Sub-Site 2A. That fact sheet is with the  
19:12:40 5 regulators for review and with the Navy for review,  
19:12:42 6 and it should be issued fairly shortly.

19:12:45 7                   To remind you of the CEQA issues that  
19:12:50 8 were discussed for the removal action to confirm  
19:12:52 9 that the ongoing action does not fundamentally  
19:12:55 10 change the original reported removal action, it just  
19:13:00 11 is a wider area to ensure that all the contaminants  
19:13:01 12 are being removed from the site. And I would  
19:13:06 13 imagine we're within a couple of weeks of resolving  
19:13:08 14 the comments, and the public will get copies of that  
19:13:11 15 removal action fact sheet, and it will be No. 6.

19:13:16 16                   MS. MORLEY: And you were hoping to hand it  
19:13:16 17 out. That was why it was on the agenda. But we  
19:13:17 18 were so busy working so hard with the rest of the IR  
19:13:18 19 stuff that the schedules fell through.

19:13:26 20                   MR. BAILEY: It's the contractor's fault.

19:13:28 21                   MS. MORLEY: I didn't say that.

19:13:33 22                   MR. BISHOP: Are we done with Item No. 4  
19:13:38 23 then?

19:13:40 24                   MS. MORLEY: Yes.

19:13:42 25                   MR. BISHOP: Jerry's off the hook.

19:13:47 1 Ms. Karen Collins from Bechtel will pick  
19:13:47 2 up the load then with the Installation Restoration  
19:13:47 3 Program Site 10 Confirmation Sampling.

19:13:58 4 MS. COLLINS: Actually, after our discussion  
19:14:02 5 with DTSC, I think we're going to kind of regroup  
19:14:05 6 and restrategize and come back to the board in  
19:14:08 7 January with a more congealed plan.

19:14:12 8 We submitted the work plan that was  
19:14:14 9 resubmitted and bloodied up, so we have to work some  
19:14:19 10 details out.

19:14:20 11 MS. MORLEY: From the original sampling there  
19:14:23 12 were three locations that we wanted to go back and  
19:14:27 13 look at, and we were going to trench those areas,  
19:14:31 14 and DTSC is afraid that we would be bypassing the  
19:14:37 15 CEQA process if we did that. So we're going to go  
19:14:41 16 back and figure something else out.

19:14:44 17 MR. BELTON: The Navy had some concerns, too,  
19:14:46 18 so it was kind of like a mutual agreement that we're  
19:14:46 19 going to go back and revisit it.

19:14:51 20 MR. BISHOP: It's important to do the job  
19:14:52 21 well. That's what we're here to do.

19:14:59 22 MS. MORLEY: So now we can talk about  
19:14:59 23 whatever we want to talk about. It's a free form  
19:14:59 24 discussion now.

19:15:00 25 MR. BISHOP: Having slid through the last two

19:14:59 1 items very neatly, it's the RAB public question and  
19:15:07 2 answer period.

19:15:09 3 Does the public have any questions?

19:15:11 4 Does the public have any answers?

19:15:16 5 MS. MORLEY: I forgot to ask if anyone had

19:15:17 6 questions on the meeting minutes from July. No?

19:15:17 7 Those are approved.

19:15:29 8 And does anyone have agenda items you

19:15:32 9 want to suggest for the next RAB?

19:15:36 10 MR. BISHOP: I have a question.

19:15:37 11 We mentioned last time that our school

19:15:42 12 project had been picked up by the folks down in

19:15:45 13 Puerto Rico at the bombing range.

19:15:48 14 MS. MORLEY: We had sent it to the Chief of

19:15:48 15 Naval Operations point of contact for Vieques.

19:15:55 16 MR. BISHOP: So any feedback on how that

19:15:56 17 was received?

19:15:56 18 MS. MORLEY: They were really excited. We

19:15:56 19 also got a Spanish translation done pretty quickly,

19:15:58 20 and they were excited but I haven't heard back.

19:16:02 21 That's the Environmental Justice Division of CNO.

19:16:05 22 And she was reviewing it the last time I sent it to

19:16:09 23 her, and she must have passed it onto somebody

19:16:09 24 because the Public Affairs Office from CNO had

19:16:15 25 contacted us about it. So we're pretty happy.

19:16:19 1 Plus I've been talking to some teachers,  
19:16:22 2 and I think I talked to Pete before. One of the  
19:16:25 3 reasons that we're learning that it hasn't been  
19:16:26 4 implemented more widely is that the teachers have --  
19:16:31 5 and you can step in here if I mess this up -- they  
19:16:32 6 have certain standards that they're required to  
19:16:35 7 meet, and these standards are so comprehensive that  
19:16:38 8 it's difficult to get through them all in one school  
19:16:38 9 year, so they were looking at this as an additional  
19:16:40 10 duty.

19:16:44 11 And it was written to me, those  
19:16:45 12 standards, but we're going to put a title sheet  
19:16:47 13 probably in the next one to say "These are standards  
19:16:47 14 that are met by each module" so that the teacher  
19:16:52 15 understands it can be in place of something else  
19:16:58 16 that they were going to teach and won't cost them a  
19:16:58 17 day of school.

19:17:00 18 So that was one lesson that we learned.

19:17:03 19 MR. BISHOP: You know, one way to get that  
19:17:04 20 out to teachers is every year they have a science  
19:17:08 21 meeting of all the science teachers. I'm trying to  
19:17:10 22 think of the word.

19:17:12 23 MS. MORLEY: We did that, remember? The  
19:17:13 24 science education forum or whatever it's called?  
19:17:16 25 NSEU or something like that.

19:17:21 1 MR. BISHOP: Big conference?

19:17:22 2 MS. MORLEY: They were excited about it.

19:17:22 3 There was a lot of --

19:17:25 4 MR. BISHOP: You make a presentation and they

19:17:27 5 all come in.

19:17:28 6 MS. MORLEY: And we handed out the fact sheet

19:17:28 7 and stuff.

19:17:30 8 But, again, I think it went back to we

19:17:30 9 weren't clear on what standards were met, and so

19:17:33 10 they thought this would be extra work, and they

19:17:35 11 didn't really want to learn, so that's why we're

19:17:38 12 going to revise that to put a title sheet that has

19:17:40 13 what standards are met and the same for the high

19:17:43 14 school.

19:17:48 15 Don't you have like so many things you

19:17:53 16 have to meet and you have only so many days you have

19:17:53 17 to do it in?

19:17:53 18 MR. BISHOP: Yes. That's a real problem.

19:17:55 19 Things are so tight in school that you're really

19:18:01 20 almost locked into following the program that is

19:18:03 21 laid out in the textbook. There's very, very little

19:18:04 22 wiggle room left.

19:18:07 23 A lot of projects and things that

19:18:11 24 teachers develop over the years -- really great

19:18:13 25 projects where the kids can learn a lot -- go back

19:18:16 1 in the closet and get hung up because you've got to  
19:18:18 2 get through from A to B and you've got to get an X  
19:18:21 3 in every box that covers all the state standards  
19:18:26 4 because that's where the pressure is. That's what  
19:18:27 5 is being tested.

19:18:29 6                   So if you're going to introduce  
19:18:29 7 something like this, you've got to be able to show  
19:18:33 8 that "Hey, this is going to X off all the stuff, and  
19:18:35 9 it's going to cover it as well as the textbook is  
19:18:38 10 going to cover it to the level of the state  
19:18:40 11 standards" because that's what the kids get tested  
19:18:42 12 on.

19:18:45 13               MS. MORLEY: And I think that's our next big  
19:18:45 14 push is to get that across to teachers so that this  
19:18:50 15 becomes a more popular program. We did get positive  
19:18:53 16 feedback, but it just hasn't been implemented.

19:18:58 17               MR. BISHOP: There's a lot of great stuff out  
19:19:00 18 there in school, but you just don't have time to do  
19:19:04 19 it all.

19:19:07 20               MS. MORLEY: They say that kids should go to  
19:19:07 21 school all year -- not year round school, all year.  
19:19:12 22 Just kidding, now that I'm not in school anymore.

19:19:20 23               MR. BISHOP: The Governor made that proposal  
19:19:22 24 for middle school basically. Add 30 more days of  
19:19:26 25 contact time to middle school, and the middle school

19:19:31 1 teachers didn't think that was such a smart idea.

19:19:33 2 30 more days of the same kids not doing their

19:19:37 3 homework.

19:19:39 4 MS. MORLEY: Does anyone have suggestions for

19:19:41 5 our agenda for our January 30th RAB meeting?

19:19:46 6 I was thinking, since Darren brought up

19:19:47 7 that slide, of having P.W.C. do a demonstration of

19:19:52 8 the well database. It's very interesting for the

19:19:55 9 hundreds of wells that we have just at Naval

19:19:55 10 Station, it's just an access database that you can

19:20:01 11 pull up like pictures of the well and all the

19:20:04 12 information, its elevation and material about that,

19:20:09 13 and you can somehow use that, too. And that's what

19:20:13 14 Bechtel used to go out there and prioritize which

19:20:13 15 wells needed to be fixed first.

19:20:17 16 Do you think that would be interesting?

19:20:20 17 MR. BISHOP: Sure.

19:20:21 18 This is kind of off the wall, but Chula

19:20:22 19 Vista has proposed or is in the process of

19:20:26 20 constructing a water desalinization plant that they

19:20:30 21 are going to tap into the aquifer under the city

19:20:35 22 which is saline water, but it's not as salty as sea

19:20:38 23 water, but because it's brine, they're going to be

19:20:41 24 able to desalinate it much less expensively than

19:20:44 25 normal desalinization.

19:20:47 1                   So they're going to be sucking down a  
19:20:47 2 lot of water over there. I don't know how much.

19:20:52 3                   Was anyone aware of that?

19:20:52 4           MS. MORLEY: I haven't heard that. That  
19:20:53 5 actually kind of surprises me.

19:20:54 6           MR. BISHOP: What effect that might have on  
19:20:55 7 water flow sort of thing?

19:21:01 8           MS. MORLEY: I would hope that they were  
19:21:02 9 going through the Water Board because you're right.  
19:21:04 10 That would -- I mean, when we do like removal of  
19:21:06 11 free product and stuff, we're skimming off the top  
19:21:12 12 of the aquifer and some water comes with it, but  
19:21:12 13 not -- that would be pumping a lot of water, it  
19:21:16 14 sounds like.

19:21:18 15           MR. BAILEY: It may have been easier to take  
19:21:18 16 the ocean water because ships do it every day.

19:21:23 17           MS. MORLEY: Right.

19:21:23 18           MR. BAILEY: That's a known technology.

19:21:24 19           MR. BISHOP: But it's expensive to do that.  
19:21:25 20 But because this is brackish water that they're  
19:21:29 21 taking -- I'm sorry, it's not brine. It's brackish  
19:21:29 22 water -- then it doesn't take as much energy to  
19:21:35 23 desalinate it. You can get fresh water out much  
19:21:38 24 less expensively than using sea water.

19:21:43 25           MR. BAILEY: Correct. But its potentially

19:21:43 1 impacted by the urban development, so that's the  
19:21:46 2 down side to that.

19:21:48 3 MR. BISHOP: And they're taking this out, my  
19:21:50 4 understanding was, out of the Sweetwater River  
19:21:54 5 basin, which is just south of where the Naval  
19:21:58 6 Station is, and it would seem there's a potential  
19:22:00 7 for engendering a southward flow or whatever we've  
19:22:05 8 got here.

19:22:07 9 So I was just wondering if anyone had  
19:22:09 10 thought of that.

19:22:10 11 MS. MORLEY: The Naval Station is going to  
19:22:11 12 start sliding south.

19:22:12 13 MR. BISHOP: No. The water under the Naval  
19:22:11 14 Station has DOL in it, and it's going to start  
19:22:16 15 sliding south. Then we're going to have a big  
19:22:17 16 cleanup mess further south.

19:22:21 17 MS. MORLEY: Well, I'm kind of surprised  
19:22:30 18 because I would think with the rates that you would  
19:22:33 19 be pumping that you'd have some kind of impact on  
19:22:33 20 the aquifer, and that kind of surprises me that that  
19:22:39 21 would -- I mean, maybe it's a proposal at this  
19:22:40 22 point. I would assume that they'd have to go  
19:22:40 23 through --

19:22:44 24 MR. BISHOP: No. It's not a proposal. Two  
19:22:44 25 years ago it was a proposal. They're supposed to be

19:22:47 1 doing it is my understanding.

19:22:50 2 MS. MORLEY: We can find out for you, if you  
19:22:50 3 want. We can probably start with the Water Board  
19:22:53 4 and ask them.

19:22:55 5 MR. BISHOP: It might be interesting to see  
19:22:55 6 if anybody at the Water Board knows. Maybe the  
19:22:55 7 whole thing got lost in the budget.

19:23:08 8 MS. YAMANE: Well, it would have to be  
19:23:08 9 permitted.

19:23:16 10 MR. BELTON: Since Greg from DTSC is new to  
19:23:18 11 the RAB, I've asked them to present next time, and  
19:23:23 12 he has volunteered on what his unit does and how  
19:23:26 13 they interface with the Navy.

19:23:28 14 MS. MORLEY: Okay.

19:23:36 15 MR. DIAS: And what about Site 10?

19:23:43 16 MS. MORLEY: Actually, at that point it's  
19:23:45 17 more Site 1. Oh, that's February.

19:23:58 18 MR. MULLALY: So the meeting is going to be  
19:24:01 19 in January?

19:24:01 20 MS. MORLEY: January 30th.

19:24:03 21 MR. MULLALY: What's the chance we'll have an  
19:24:03 22 update on the budget status?

19:24:07 23 MS. MORLEY: Good idea. Hopefully they'll  
19:24:12 24 have a budget by then. If not, we're in a world of  
19:24:15 25 hurt.

19:24:16 1 Do you remember at the beginning when we  
19:24:18 2 did training on toxicology and some of that? Is  
19:24:22 3 there anything like that that you're interested in?

19:24:28 4 MR. MULLALY: Any of that is always  
19:24:28 5 interesting.

19:24:34 6 MS. MORLEY: Maybe, Greg, besides what your  
19:24:34 7 unit does, can you talk about some stuff like  
19:24:38 8 lithology and clay layers and what that means to a  
19:24:42 9 site and things like that?

19:24:44 10 MR. SWEEL: Sure.

19:24:47 11 MS. MORLEY: We'll have a geology lesson.

19:24:55 12 MR. BAUTISTA: Allow three hours for Greg.

19:25:02 13 MS. MORLEY: Okay. And we won't go on a site  
19:25:06 14 tour because it will probably be raining.

19:25:12 15 Actually, the next time you guys come on  
19:25:13 16 base, Captain Hering's done a lot to make everything  
19:25:17 17 pretty -- not pretty. I don't know that anyone  
19:25:21 18 would ever call Naval Station pretty -- but he's  
19:25:26 19 planted some plants and you can see IR Site 2, the  
19:25:27 20 vegetative swell, the grass around it, and then  
19:25:31 21 he'll put in a volleyball court, and they have the  
19:25:34 22 three flags and a POW memorial. It's really pretty.

19:25:38 23 So you can see some of those changes and  
19:25:41 24 look at how the divers were moved across in their  
19:25:44 25 new digs across the street to get them off the site.

19:25:47 1 Getting rid of, hopefully, the sand blast guys.

19:25:51 2 So it may be in April when we can do a

19:25:54 3 site tour.

19:25:57 4 MR. BISHOP: Great.

19:25:58 5 MS. MORLEY: Do you guys want to be a RAB for

19:26:03 6 subbase?

19:26:03 7 MR. BISHOP: Does subbase need a RAB?

19:26:06 8 MS. MORLEY: Yes.

19:26:07 9 MR. BISHOP: Call my dad. He'll do it.

19:26:10 10 MS. MORLEY: We have to have an intrasurvey

19:26:12 11 to see if anyone's interested.

19:26:15 12 MR. BISHOP: Call my dad. He's on the RAB

19:26:18 13 for NTC. He lives in Point Loma. He's a

19:26:24 14 submariner.

19:26:29 15 MR. BAILEY: What's his name?

19:26:31 16 MR. BISHOP: Charlie Bishop.

19:26:33 17 MR. BAILEY: I know him.

19:26:35 18 MS. MORLEY: The whole Bishop clan.

19:26:39 19 MR. BAILEY: No. It's a submariner.

19:26:42 20 MR. BISHOP: He's the submarine side of the

19:26:43 21 house. I was the surface.

19:26:47 22 MS. MORLEY: So you work for a living. That

19:26:48 23 was actually a slam on the air Navy.

19:26:54 24 We have to do an interest survey for the

19:26:56 25 survey.

19:26:59 1 Unless anybody has anything else, that's

19:27:01 2 it. Thank you for coming.

19:27:08 3

19:27:08 4 (Whereupon, at 7:30 p.m. the RAB meeting

19:27:08 5 was adjourned.)

19:27:08 6

19:27:08 7

19:27:08 8

19:27:08 9

19:27:08 10

19:27:08 11

19:27:08 12

19:27:08 13

19:27:08 14

19:27:08 15

19:27:08 16

19:27:08 17

19:27:08 18

19:27:08 19

19:27:08 20

19:27:08 21

19:27:08 22

19:27:08 23

19:27:08 24

19:27:08 25

19:27:08 1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA )

19:27:08 2 : SS

19:27:08 3 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO )

19:27:08 4

19:27:08 5 I, Nancy A. Lee, CSR No. 3870, do hereby

19:27:08 6 certify that I reported in shorthand the above

19:27:08 7 proceedings on Tuesday, October 30, 2001, at the Red

19:27:08 8 Lion Inn in the USS Jason Room, in the City of

19:27:08 9 National City, County of San Diego, State of

19:27:08 10 California; and I do further certify that the above

19:27:08 11 and foregoing pages numbered 1 to 40, inclusive,

19:27:08 12 contain a true and correct transcript of all of said

19:27:08 13 proceedings.

19:27:08 14 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2001.

19:27:08 15

19:27:08 16

19:27:08 17

19:27:08 18

19:27:08 19

\_\_\_\_\_

19:27:08 20

NANCY A. LEE

19:27:08 21

19:27:08 22

19:27:08 23

19:27:08 24

19:27:08 25

